SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE - CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER DEPARTMENT C23

LE XUAN KHOA,)
PLAINTIFF,)
VS.) NO. 30-2021-1201012
NGUYEN DINH THANG; BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S., INC., AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE,)))
DEFENDANTS.))

HONORABLE DAVID J. HESSELTINE, JUDGE PRESIDING

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024

MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR 8226

COURT-APPROVED OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO TEMPORE

	Page 2	Page 4
	_	
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:	1 EXHIBITS 2 THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024
2	EOD DI ADVENE	2 THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 3 (EXHIBITS PREMARKED UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)
3	FOR PLAINTIFF:	4
4	HOYT E. HART, II, ATTORNEY AT LAW	5 EXHIBIT ID EVID
5	VAN NGUYEN, PARALEGAL	6 238 5/25/20 ARTICLE 48
6 7	P.O. BOX 675670	7 221 RESUME 58
8	RANCHO SANTA FE, CA 92067 HOYTH@PRODIGY.NET	8 222 (PAGE 1 AND PAGES 36 TO 39) GRANT APPLICATION PACKAGE 70
9	HOT THURRODIGT.NET	9
10		233 ARCHIVED LETTER 74
11	FOR DEFENDANTS:	10
12	DAVID C. VOSS, ESQ.	234 ARCHIVED LETTER 83
13	VOSS, SILVERMAN & BRAYBROOKE, LLP	11 252 2/10/06 LETTER 107
14	4640 ADMIRALTY WAY, SUITE 800	352 3/10/96 LETTER 107
15	MARINA DEL REY, CA 90292-6602	13
16	DAVE@VSBLLP.COM	14
17	AND	15
18	STEPHEN J. ERIGERO, ESQ.	16
19	ROPERS MAJESKI PC	17 18
20	801 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET, SUITE 2100	19
21	LOS ANGELES, CA 90017	20
22	STEPHEN.ERIGERO@ROPERS.COM	21
23		22
24		23
25		24 25
26		25 26
	Page 3	Page 5
1	WITNESSINDEY	1 SANTA ANA. CALIFORNIA - THURSDAY. NOVEMBER 7, 2024
1 2	WITNESS INDEX THURSDAY NOVEMBER 7, 2024	1 SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA - THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 2 MORNING SESSION
2	WITNESSINDEX THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024	
2	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024	2 MORNING SESSION
2 3 4	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE	2 MORNING SESSION 3 ******
2	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776)	2 MORNING SESSION 3 ****** 4
2 3 4 5	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46	2 MORNING SESSION 3 ****** 4 5 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE
2 3 4 5 6	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126	2 MORNING SESSION 3 ****** 4 5 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE 6 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)
2 3 4 5 6 7	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126	2 MORNING SESSION 3 ****** 4 5 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE 6 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126	2 MORNING SESSION 3 ******* 4 5 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE 6 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 7 8 THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126 REDIRECT BY MR. HART 136	2 MORNING SESSION 3 ****** 4 5 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE 6 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 7 8 THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V. 9 THANG. IF WE COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126 REDIRECT BY MR. HART 136 CECILE THU TRUONG	2 MORNING SESSION 3 ****** 4 5 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE 6 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 7 8 THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V. 9 THANG. IF WE COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD. 10 MR. HART: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. HOYT HART FOR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126 REDIRECT BY MR. HART 136 CECILE THU TRUONG DIRECT BY MR. HART 145	2 MORNING SESSION 3 ****** 4 5 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE 6 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 7 8 THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V. 9 THANG. IF WE COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD. 10 MR. HART: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. HOYT HART FOR 11 THE PLAINTIFF, LE XUAN KHOA.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126 REDIRECT BY MR. HART 136 CECILE THU TRUONG DIRECT BY MR. HART 145	2 MORNING SESSION 3 ******* 4 5 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE 6 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 7 8 THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V. 9 THANG. IF WE COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD. 10 MR. HART: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. HOYT HART FOR 11 THE PLAINTIFF, LE XUAN KHOA. 12 MR. VOSS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. DAVID VOSS,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126 REDIRECT BY MR. HART 136 CECILE THU TRUONG DIRECT BY MR. HART 145	2 MORNING SESSION 3 ******* 4 5 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE 6 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 7 8 THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V. 9 THANG. IF WE COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD. 10 MR. HART: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. HOYT HART FOR 11 THE PLAINTIFF, LE XUAN KHOA. 12 MR. VOSS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. DAVID VOSS, 13 VOSS, SILVERMAN & BRAYBROOKE, ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126 REDIRECT BY MR. HART 136 CECILE THU TRUONG DIRECT BY MR. HART 145	2 MORNING SESSION 3 ******* 4 5 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE 6 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 7 8 THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V. 9 THANG. IF WE COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD. 10 MR. HART: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. HOYT HART FOR 11 THE PLAINTIFF, LE XUAN KHOA. 12 MR. VOSS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. DAVID VOSS, 13 VOSS, SILVERMAN & BRAYBROOKE, ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. 14 MR. ERIGERO: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. STEPHEN
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126 REDIRECT BY MR. HART 136 CECILE THU TRUONG DIRECT BY MR. HART 145	MORNING SESSION ******* THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V. THANG. IF WE COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD. MR. HART: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. HOYT HART FOR THE PLAINTIFF, LE XUAN KHOA. MR. VOSS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. DAVID VOSS, VOSS, SILVERMAN & BRAYBROOKE, ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. MR. ERIGERO: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. STEPHEN ERIGERO, ROPERS MAJESKI, ALSO ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126 REDIRECT BY MR. HART 136 CECILE THU TRUONG DIRECT BY MR. HART 145	2 MORNING SESSION 3 ******* 4 5 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE 6 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 7 8 THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V. 9 THANG. IF WE COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD. 10 MR. HART: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. HOYT HART FOR 11 THE PLAINTIFF, LE XUAN KHOA. 12 MR. VOSS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. DAVID VOSS, 13 VOSS, SILVERMAN & BRAYBROOKE, ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. 14 MR. ERIGERO: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. STEPHEN 15 ERIGERO, ROPERS MAJESKI, ALSO ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. 16 THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL RIGHT. AND WE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126 REDIRECT BY MR. HART 136 CECILE THU TRUONG DIRECT BY MR. HART 145	MORNING SESSION ******* (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V. THANG. IF WE COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD. MR. HART: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. HOYT HART FOR THE PLAINTIFF, LE XUAN KHOA. MR. VOSS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. DAVID VOSS, VOSS, SILVERMAN & BRAYBROOKE, ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. MR. ERIGERO: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. STEPHEN ERIGERO, ROPERS MAJESKI, ALSO ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL RIGHT. AND WE SHOULD HAVE OUR JURORS HERE SHORTLY IN THE NEXT FEW MINUTES,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126 REDIRECT BY MR. HART 136 CECILE THU TRUONG DIRECT BY MR. HART 145	MORNING SESSION ******* (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V. HANG. IF WE COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD. MR. HART: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. HOYT HART FOR THE PLAINTIFF, LE XUAN KHOA. MR. VOSS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. DAVID VOSS, VOSS, SILVERMAN & BRAYBROOKE, ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. MR. ERIGERO: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. STEPHEN ERIGERO, ROPERS MAJESKI, ALSO ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL RIGHT. AND WE SHOULD HAVE OUR JURORS HERE SHORTLY IN THE NEXT FEW MINUTES, AND WE'LL DIVE IN WITH THE OPENING ARGUMENTS, AND THEN GET
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126 REDIRECT BY MR. HART 136 CECILE THU TRUONG DIRECT BY MR. HART 145	MORNING SESSION ******* (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V. THANG. IF WE COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD. MR. HART: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. HOYT HART FOR THE PLAINTIFF, LE XUAN KHOA. MR. VOSS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. DAVID VOSS, VOSS, SILVERMAN & BRAYBROOKE, ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. MR. ERIGERO: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. STEPHEN ERIGERO, ROPERS MAJESKI, ALSO ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL RIGHT. AND WE SHOULD HAVE OUR JURORS HERE SHORTLY IN THE NEXT FEW MINUTES, AND WE'LL DIVE IN WITH THE OPENING ARGUMENTS, AND THEN GET INTO THE WITNESSES AS IDENTIFIED YESTERDAY.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126 REDIRECT BY MR. HART 136 CECILE THU TRUONG DIRECT BY MR. HART 145	MORNING SESSION ******* (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V. THANG. IF WE COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD. MR. HART: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. HOYT HART FOR THE PLAINTIFF, LE XUAN KHOA. MR. VOSS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. DAVID VOSS, VOSS, SILVERMAN & BRAYBROOKE, ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. MR. ERIGERO: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. STEPHEN ERIGERO, ROPERS MAJESKI, ALSO ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL RIGHT. AND WE SHOULD HAVE OUR JURORS HERE SHORTLY IN THE NEXT FEW MINUTES, AND WE'LL DIVE IN WITH THE OPENING ARGUMENTS, AND THEN GET INTO THE WITNESSES AS IDENTIFIED YESTERDAY. A COUPLE THINGS, JUST BEFORE I FORGET, TO BE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126 REDIRECT BY MR. HART 136 CECILE THU TRUONG DIRECT BY MR. HART 145	MORNING SESSION ******* (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V. THANG. IF WE COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD. MR. HART: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. HOYT HART FOR THE PLAINTIFF, LE XUAN KHOA. MR. VOSS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. DAVID VOSS, VOSS, SILVERMAN & BRAYBROOKE, ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. MR. ERIGERO: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. STEPHEN ERIGERO, ROPERS MAJESKI, ALSO ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL RIGHT. AND WE SHOULD HAVE OUR JURORS HERE SHORTLY IN THE NEXT FEW MINUTES, AND WE'LL DIVE IN WITH THE OPENING ARGUMENTS, AND THEN GET INTO THE WITNESSES AS IDENTIFIED YESTERDAY. A COUPLE THINGS, JUST BEFORE I FORGET, TO BE CLEAR, A COUPLE DAYS AGO NOW WE WENT THROUGH THOSE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126 REDIRECT BY MR. HART 136 CECILE THU TRUONG DIRECT BY MR. HART 145	MORNING SESSION ******* (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V. THANG. IF WE COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD. MR. HART: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. HOYT HART FOR THE PLAINTIFF, LE XUAN KHOA. MR. VOSS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. DAVID VOSS, VOSS, SILVERMAN & BRAYBROOKE, ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. MR. ERIGERO: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. STEPHEN ERIGERO, ROPERS MAJESKI, ALSO ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL RIGHT. AND WE SHOULD HAVE OUR JURORS HERE SHORTLY IN THE NEXT FEW MINUTES, AND WE'LL DIVE IN WITH THE OPENING ARGUMENTS, AND THEN GET INTO THE WITNESSES AS IDENTIFIED YESTERDAY. A COUPLE THINGS, JUST BEFORE I FORGET, TO BE CLEAR, A COUPLE DAYS AGO NOW WE WENT THROUGH THOSE INSTRUCTIONS AND TALKED ABOUT VERDICT FORMS A LITTLE BIT.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126 REDIRECT BY MR. HART 136 CECILE THU TRUONG DIRECT BY MR. HART 145	2 MORNING SESSION 3 ******* 4 5 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE 6 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 7 8 THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V. 9 THANG. IF WE COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD. 10 MR. HART: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. HOYT HART FOR 11 THE PLAINTIFF, LE XUAN KHOA. 12 MR. VOSS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. DAVID VOSS, 13 VOSS, SILVERMAN & BRAYBROOKE, ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. 14 MR. ERIGERO: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. STEPHEN 15 ERIGERO, ROPERS MAJESKI, ALSO ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. 16 THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL RIGHT. AND WE 17 SHOULD HAVE OUR JURORS HERE SHORTLY IN THE NEXT FEW MINUTES, 18 AND WE'LL DIVE IN WITH THE OPENING ARGUMENTS, AND THEN GET 19 INTO THE WITNESSES AS IDENTIFIED YESTERDAY. 20 A COUPLE THINGS, JUST BEFORE I FORGET, TO BE 21 CLEAR, A COUPLE DAYS AGO NOW WE WENT THROUGH THOSE 22 INSTRUCTIONS AND TALKED ABOUT VERDICT FORMS A LITTLE BIT. 23 SO BY TUESDAY MORNING, IF WE COULD HAVE THE 24 1700, I THINK IT WAS. 25 MR. VOSS: I'VE GOT A DRAFT ALREADY GOING.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024 PLAINTIFF WITNESSES: PAGE NGUYEN DINH THANG (776) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HART 46 CROSS BY MR. VOSS 126 REDIRECT BY MR. HART 136 CECILE THU TRUONG DIRECT BY MR. HART 145	MORNING SESSION ****** (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) THE COURT: WE WILL GO ON THE RECORD CALLING KHOA V. THANG. IF WE COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD. MR. HART: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. HOYT HART FOR THE PLAINTIFF, LE XUAN KHOA. MR. VOSS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. DAVID VOSS, VOSS, SILVERMAN & BRAYBROOKE, ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. MR. ERIGERO: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. STEPHEN ERIGERO, ROPERS MAJESKI, ALSO ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS. THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL RIGHT. AND WE SHOULD HAVE OUR JURORS HERE SHORTLY IN THE NEXT FEW MINUTES, AND WE'LL DIVE IN WITH THE OPENING ARGUMENTS, AND THEN GET INTO THE WITNESSES AS IDENTIFIED YESTERDAY. A COUPLE THINGS, JUST BEFORE I FORGET, TO BE CLEAR, A COUPLE DAYS AGO NOW WE WENT THROUGH THOSE INSTRUCTIONS AND TALKED ABOUT VERDICT FORMS A LITTLE BIT. SO BY TUESDAY MORNING, IF WE COULD HAVE THE

	Page 6		Page 8
1	MAYBE REVISING SOME OF THE SPECIALS OR OTHER ONES.	1	SAME WAY HE DID IN THE DEPOSITION, OR I WILL IMPEACH BY
2	SO TO THE EXTENT THERE'S ANY NEW VERSIONS OR	2	READING. THAT'S
3	ANYTHING ELSE, IF WE COULD HAVE THAT, AS WELL AS A DRAFT	3	MR. VOSS: AND IN EITHER EVENT, OR EVEN SO-CALLED
4	VERDICT FORMS, BY TUESDAY MORNING, SO THAT THEN GIVES THE	4	PARAPHRASING IN RELIANCE ON THIS, WE OBJECT TO BECAUSE, FIRST
5	COURT THE OPPORTUNITY. BECAUSE ONCE WE GET GOING THROUGH	5	OF ALL, IT'S NOT HIS COMPLETE STATEMENT. THERE'S NO FURTHER
6	THIS, THIS IS GOING TO GO FAIRLY QUICKLY, AND WE DON'T WANT TO	6	QUESTION ASKED. HE CONTINUES ON BEYOND THE EXCERPT THAT
7	BE BLIND-SIDED, OH, WE'RE AT THE CLOSE, AND WE ARE NOWHERE	7	COUNSEL WOULD LIKE TO PRETEND NO OTHER PART OF THE ANSWER
8	NEAR GETTING THESE THINGS FINALIZED.	8	EXISTS.
9	MR. HART: WOULD YOU LIKE US TO COME IN A LITTLE	9	AND I OBJECTED TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION, AND
10	EARLY ON TUESDAY AND MAYBE START THE DISCUSSION?	10	WHICH, IF YOU TRY TO READ JUST THAT QUESTION TO A JURY OR FOR
11	THE COURT: NO, BECAUSE, ACTUALLY, I HAVE A COUPLE	11	ANY PURPOSE, AND SAY, "WHEN YOU WROTE THOSE COMMENTS WE JUST
12	I HAVE TWO LAW AND MOTION MATTERS I HAVE TO ADDRESS AT 8:00,	12	ARGUED ABOUT FOR THE LAST 30 MINUTES," THAT'S NOT A
13	SO TUESDAY MORNING DOESN'T WORK.	13	FREESTANDING QUESTION.
14	AS LONG AS YOU GET IT IN BY TUESDAY MORNING,	14	NOBODY HAS ANY IDEA WHAT THAT INCORPORATES FROM
15	THEN TUESDAY OR TUESDAY NIGHT, I CAN LOOK AT THEM, AND THEN	15	THE LAST 30 MINUTES. THERE'S NO WAY TO TELL, NOR WAS IT AN
16	THAT WILL GIVE US	16	INTELLIGIBLE QUESTION. IT'S VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS. THE FORM OF
17	MR. HART: THESE DON'T NEED TO BE EFILED, I ASSUME.	17	THE QUESTION IS COMPLETELY IMPROPER. AND THAT'S WHY I
18	WE JUST BRING THEM IN?	18	OBJECTED AT THE TIME. I DIDN'T DO A SPEAKING OBJECTION. I
19	THE COURT: JUST BRINGING THEM IN DOESN'T MAKE THEM	19	JUST OBJECTED TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION.
20	PART OF THE REGISTER OF ACTIONS FOR BEING ANY APPELLATE	20	MR. HART: SO, YOUR HONOR, IF YOU TAKE A REVIEW OF
21	REASON BEING IN THE RECORD, SO I WOULD DO BOTH.	21	THE FOUR OR FIVE PAGES AHEAD OF THAT, YOU'LL SEE THAT IN THE
22	MR. HART: OKAY. VERY GOOD.	22	CONTEXT OR IN THE PROCESS OF TAKING HIS DEPOSITION, THERE WAS
23	MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY INQUIRE. I'M NOT	23	AN EXCESSIVE AMOUNT OF INTERFERENCE AND COLLOQUY FROM MR. VOSS
24	SURE WHETHER COUNSEL INTENDS TO DO IT OR NOT, BUT WE HAVE THIS	24	ABOUT THESE ISSUES.
25	ISSUE REGARDING THE DEPOSITION TESTIMONY AND THE OBJECTION ON	25	WE ALL KNOW EXACTLY WHAT TWO COMMENTS ARE BEING
26	PAGE 89.	26	REFERRED TO BECAUSE THEY'RE THE TWO THAT ARE AT THE HEART OF
	Page 7		Page 9
1	"I HAVE NO IDEA." I WOULD PREFER AN OFFER NOW	1	THIS LAWSUIT. AND IF I READ THIS AS IMPEACHMENT AND IT'S NOT
2	AS TO WHETHER THAT'S GOING TO BE USED IN OPENING, RATHER THAN	2	CLEAR WHAT IT'S IMPEACHING, THAT'S THE RISK OF MY RISK OF
3	HAVE TO OBJECT IN THE MIDDLE OF AN OPENING STATEMENT. CAN	3	NOT PROVING MY POINT.
4	WE	4	THERE WERE ONLY TWO THINGS DISCUSSED: THAT
5	THE COURT: WELL, WE CAN HAVE A QUICK DISCUSSION	5	MR. KHOA MADE A FALSE STATEMENT, AND THAT THAT WAS A CRIMINAL
6	ABOUT IT RIGHT NOW.	6	OFFENSE.
7	MR. HART: OKAY.	7	MY QUESTION TO HIM WAS ATTEMPTED OVER THE
8	THE COURT: POINT OUT, I GUESS, THAT LIKELY, WE'RE	8	COURSE OF THESE FIVE PAGES AND FINALLY GOT THIS POINT AT WHICH
9	AT, FOR THE RECORD, THE DEPOSITION OF DEFENDANT FROM	9	HE SAID, "I HAVE NO IDEA."
10	AUGUST 8TH. ON PAGE 89, THERE'S A QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24.	10	SO I INTEND TO PARAPHRASE THAT IN MY OPENING.
11	THERE IS AN ANSWER ON LINE 25. AND THEN IF WE GO OVER TO PAGE	11	AND IF HE SAYS SOMETHING ELSE, THEN THAT'S MY RISK THAT MY
12	90, THERE IS THE OBJECTION AT LINES ONE AND TWO.	12	OPENING PRESENTATION DOESN'T MATCH WHAT HE SAYS.
13	SO DOES THAT ACCURATELY IDENTIFY WHERE WE'RE AT	13	IF HE SAYS SOMETHING ELSE, THEN I'LL READ THIS
14	FOR THE RECORD PURPOSES?	14	AND WILL INQUIRE WHAT HE WAS TALKING ABOUT, IF IT WAS
15	ALL COUNSEL: YES, YOUR HONOR.	15	SOMETHING ELSE.
16	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND SO WE OBVIOUSLY HAVE A	16	THE COURT: AND THEN, MR. VOSS
17	DEPOSITION OF A PARTY, WHICH CAN BE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE UNDER	17	MR. VOSS: WELL, FIRST OF ALL
18	THE CCP. AND WE HAVE, I'M PRESUMING, THE INTENT TO USE THAT	18	THE COURT: WAIT. THEN FOR COMPLETENESS, WHAT IS
19	OR READ IT OR QUESTION ABOUT IT. IS THAT	19	YOUR THOUGHT ON THAT IF
20	MR. HART: VERY LIKELY I'LL PARAPHRASE THIS IN MY	20	WELL, HERE IS A COUPLE THINGS: FIRST OF ALL,
21	OPENING.	21	WE HAVE OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. THAT'S NOT AN
22	THE COURT: I'M JUST TALKING ABOUT IN GENERAL, ABOUT	22	OBJECTION; THAT'S A WHOLE BROAD CATEGORY OF OBJECTIONS. IS IT
23	USING IT DURING THE EXAMINATION. LET'S CUT TO THE CHASE IN	23	VAGUE? IS IT ARGUMENTATIVE? IS IT COMPOUND? IS IT WHAT
24	TERMS OF THE EXAMINATION A LITTLE BIT SO WE CAN FLUSH THAT	24	MR. VOSS: AND THAT'S WHAT I'M DISCUSSING WITH YOU,
25	OUT.	25	YOUR HONOR.
26	MR. HART: YES. YES, EITHER HE'S GOING TO ANSWER THE	26	THE COURT: NO, NO, BUT THAT HAS TO BE AT THE TIME OF

26

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 11

2 LINE, AND SAY, "I HAVE NO IDEA," WHICH BELIES THE FACT THAT 3 FOR THE LAST HALF-HOUR, HE'S BEEN TESTIFYING ABOUT ALL THE THINGS THAT HE DID TO WHEN HE WAS WRITING THE STATEMENT THAT'S 4 5 AT QUESTION HERE, ALL OF THE THINGS THAT HE RELIED UPON. 6 HE THEN EVEN GOES ON TO SAY -- AND I NOTE AT 7 PAGE 90. BECAUSE THIS JUST IS HOW -- THE COURT REPORTER GOES 8 AS FAST AS THEY CAN, AND, YOU KNOW, WE'RE ALL HAVING AT IT, I 9 REGISTERED MY OBJECTION. THE WITNESS' ANSWER CONTINUES. IT DOESN'T STOP AT, "I HAVE NO IDEA." 10 11 HE THEN CONTINUES ON: "IT'S JUST REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION: RIGHT? THERE HASN'T BEEN ANY RESULT OR REPORT 12 13 YET. SO THIS WAS JUST A REQUEST. SO I JUST PARAPHRASE 14 EXACTLY THE LETTER BY MR. DORNAN THAT IS THERE IN REQUEST FOR 15 INVESTIGATION." 16 AND, AGAIN, HE GOES ON. IT'S MASSIVELY 17 MISLEADING AND OUT OF CONTEXT TO JUST PRETEND -- AND WE ALL KNOW WHAT COUNSEL'S TRYING TO DO WITH RESPECT TO THE 18 REASONABLE UNDERSTANDING OF THE TRUTH OF THE STATEMENT THAT 19 YOU'RE MAKING, IS TO SOMEHOW IMPLY THAT, BECAUSE THE FIRST 20 21 FOUR WORDS OF HIS ANSWER WERE "I HAVE NO IDEA" TO THIS 22 QUESTION REFERRING TO THE PRIOR HALF-HOUR, THAT THAT SOMEHOW

ENTIRELY PROVES PLAINTIFF'S CASE THAT HE WAS ACTING

UNREASONABLY IN MAKING THESE STATEMENTS BECAUSE HE HAD

IN THE LAST-HALF HOUR HE HAD LOTS OF IDEAS

BUT WHAT COUNSEL IS TRYING TO DO IS TO TAKE ONE

26

1

2.3

24

25

2.6

ABSOLUTELY NO IDEA.

ALL OF THIS...

Page 13

ASKING AT LINE 12: "DR. THANG, THE TWO SENTENCES THAT I'VE
READ, ARE THEY ACCURATELY TRANSCRIBED FROM YOUR REPORT OF WHAT
CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAID IN HIS LETTER?"
AND HE SAYS, "IT'S NOT TOTALLY ACCURATE," AND
THEN HE HAS MINOR CHALLENGES TO IT.
AND ON PAGE 85, HE SAYS: "WHAT I WROTE IS NOT
THE OFFICIAL TRANSLATION." AND HERE IT SAYS: "THAT WAS A
CRIMINAL OFFENSE. WHAT I SAID WAS, THAT IS A CRIMINAL
OFFENSE."

THEN ON PAGE 84, THERE'S A COMMENT WHERE I'M

OFFENSE."

SO THOSE ARE THE TWO SENTENCES THAT WE'RE

TALKING ABOUT. AND THEN THERE'S THREE PAGES OF COLLOQUY.

WHEN I FINALLY GET BACK TO THE FLOOR, PAGE 89, AFTER

CONSIDERABLE ARGUMENT, I'M ASKING: "IN YOUR OPINION,

DR. THANG, WHEN YOU WROTE THOSE COMMENTS, THE ONES WE JUST

ARGUED ABOUT DID YOURSELIEVE THEY WERE THE WHEN YOU WROTE

DR. THANG, WHEN YOU WROTE THOSE COMMENTS, THE ONES WE JUST
 ARGUED ABOUT, DID YOU BELIEVE THEY WERE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE
 THEM?"
 AND HE SAID: "I HAVE NO IDEA."

THAT IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE, IF WE GO WITH 1700,

I HAVE THE BURDEN TO ESTABLISH, BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING

EVIDENCE, THAT HE KNOWINGLY PUBLISHED FALSE MATERIAL. THIS IS

MY EVIDENCE THAT SUPPORTS THAT CONCLUSION.

AND SO IT'S IMPORTANT. AT SOME POINT, IT'S

GOING TO HAVE TO COME OUT HOW HE ADDRESSES THIS. AND THIS IS MY PROTECTION. THIS IS WHY WE DID THE DEPOSITION.

MR. VOSS: AND, YOUR HONOR, I COMPLETELY DISAGREE
 WITH COUNSEL JUST SAYING, WELL, WHAT I SAID IN THE PRIOR

Page 14 Page 16 30 MINUTES, I ONLY MEANT THESE TWO THINGS HERE. AS EXCLUDING 1 EVERYTHING ELSE THAT HAPPENED IN THE PRIOR 30 MINUTES? LIKE 2 2 THE COURT: WELL, IN OPENINGS, WE'RE NOT PUTTING 3 AT PAGE 44 WHERE HE'S TALKING ABOUT S.O.S. OBTAINING 3 ANYTHING UP OR ANYTHING ALONG THOSE LINES. OPENING STATEMENT, 4 GOVERNMENT FUNDING AND WHAT HE HAD TO DO TO DO THAT. 4 HE'S GOING TO SAY: I THINK THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO SHOW X, Y 5 LIKE THE PART THAT HE SKIPPED OVER WHEN HE 5 AND Z. 6 SAYS -- ASKS MY CLIENT ON PAGE 80: "WHAT, IF ANYTHING, IS 6 YOU'RE GOING TO SAY: NO, THAT'S INACCURATE. 7 INACCURATE ABOUT THE TWO SENTENCES THAT I READ?" 7 THAT'S OUT OF CONTEXT. IT'S GOING TO SHOW, YOU KNOW, A, B AND 8 AND THE ANSWER IS: "NOTHING IS INACCURATE." 8 9 THEN COUNSEL WANTS TO READ -- YOU CAN'T ASK A 9 MR. VOSS: I MEAN, WE CAN READ DEPOSITION TESTIMONY 10 QUESTION THAT SAYS "30 MINUTES," AND THEN TELL US DEFINITIVELY 10 AS PART OF AN OPENING STATEMENT. SO IF HE IS SAYING THAT MY WHAT WAS MEANT BY THAT HOW IS MY CLIENT SUPPOSED TO KNOW CLIENT SAID HE HAD NO IDEA. THAT'S AN EXCERPT OF A DEPO 11 11 12 WHAT WAS MEANT BY, OH, IN THE LAST 30 MINUTES, I CLEARLY AND 12 SKIPPING THE REMAINDER OF THE ANSWER, AND THAT WOULD BE AN 13 DEFINITIVELY MEANT THESE TWO STATEMENTS? 13 OBJECTION DURING THE OPENING. IF THAT WAS WHAT HE MEANT, THAT'S THE WAY HE THE COURT: AND THEN MAKE THE OBJECTION YOU THINK IS 14 14 15 SHOULD HAVE ASKED THE QUESTION. OTHERWISE, IT'S AN EXCERPT 15 NECESSARY. AND THEN YOU -- MY RESPONSE IS LIKELY GOING TO BE 16 THAT IS MISLEADING TO THE JURY. 16 YOU SPEAK SECOND. YOU GET TO --17 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'RE DONE. EVERYBODY HAD 17 MR. VOSS: WELL, I'M GETTING TO WHAT YOUR HONOR JUST SAID, IS THAT CERTAINLY THE BALANCE OF THE ANSWER, THE 18 THEIR OPPORTUNITY. 18 19 MR. HART: OKAY, JUDGE. 19 REMAINDER THAT OUGHT TO BE INCLUDED. YOU CAN'T JUST READ THE THE COURT: SO YOU MAY EXPAND WHAT'S BEING READ, BUT 20 20 FIRST FOUR WORDS. I'M NOT EXCLUDING IT. THE OBJECTION TO FORM, THAT'S NOT A THE COURT: AND THAT WOULD BE FOR HIM TO DECIDE HOW 21 21 22 SUFFICIENT OBJECTION, FIRST OF ALL. AND YOU HAVE EVERY 2.2 TO PRESENT HIS CASE, AND THEN YOU CAN PRESENT YOUR CASE, AND OPPORTUNITY TO OUESTION YOUR CLIENT FOR -- AND I ANTICIPATE 23 THE JURY WILL DECIDE WHO HAS GOT EGG ON THEIR FACE IN TERMS OF 2.3 YOU WILL QUESTION YOUR CLIENT, AT LENGTH, ABOUT EVERYTHING HE BEING FORTHRIGHT IN THE PRESENTATION OF THE EVIDENCE. 24 24 INTENDED AND WHAT HE MEANT AND ALL THOSE THINGS ALONG THOSE THAT'S WHAT THE JURY IS HERE FOR, IS TO DECIDE 25 25 26 LINES 26 WHO IS BEING -- WHO THEY FIND CREDIBLE. WHAT EVIDENCE THEY Page 15 Page 17 SO I WILL GIVE YOU AN OPPORTUNITY HERE, BEFORE FIND CREDIBLE, WHAT ARGUMENTS THEY FIND CREDIBLE. THAT'S 1 1 2 IT'S READ, TO MAKE SUGGESTIONS ABOUT A LARGER READING TO THEIR ROLE. AND I THINK -- LIKE I SAID, I'M NOT GOING TO 3 THE -- I MEAN, OBVIOUSLY, THE STATEMENT AFTER THE OBJECTION, WHOLESALE EXCLUDE THAT. AT A MINIMUM. SO I WILL ENTERTAIN HOW MUCH MORE YOU WOULD MR_VOSS: I'M NOT ASKING IT TO BE EXCLUDED. I'M 4 4 LIKE TO HAVE READ, BUT I'M NOT GOING TO WHOLESALE EXCLUDE IT. ASKING THAT, FOR COMPLETENESS, THE ENTIRE ANSWER BE READ, NOT 6 MR. VOSS: OKAY. SO JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, BECAUSE I'M 6 A PART OF IT. I MEAN, IT'S ONE THING TO SAY, I WANT MORE 7 LOATHED TO RAISE AN OBJECTION DURING SOMEBODY'S OPENING, FOR PAGES READ. I'M JUST SAYING THAT ANSWER. 7 8 OBVIOUS REASONS. BUT IF LAM HEARING FROM COUNSEL THAT HE'S 8 THE COURT: LUNDERSTAND. 9 GOING TO GET UP THERE AND SAY WORDS TO THE EFFECT OF HE WAS MR. VOSS: OKAY. 9 ASKED IF HE BELIEVED THAT THE STATEMENTS WERE TRUE, AND HE 10 10 THE COURT: THERE ARE TWO THINGS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT 11 SAID HE HAS NO IDEA, AM I, AT THAT POINT, GOING TO BE 11 HERE. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT OPENING STATEMENT AND WE'RE TALKING OBJECTING, AND SAY, "YOUR HONOR, OBJECTION. COMPLETENESS. 12 12 ABOUT TESTIMONY. 13 REQUEST THAT THE BALANCE OF THE TESTIMONY BE READ," OR HOW ARE AND OPENING STATEMENT, HE CAN OFFER AS LITTLE 1.3 14 WE HANDLING THAT? 14 OR AS MUCH AS HE WANTS. AND THEN HE RISKS THE EGG-ON-HIS-FACE THE COURT: WE WILL HANDLE THAT IN YOUR OPENINGS AND 15 15 MOMENT WITH THE JURY. 16 SAY THAT YOU'VE HEARD THIS ARGUMENT; THAT IS WHATEVER YOUR 16 MR VOSS: THANK YOU 17 PERSPECTIVE AND TAKE ON IT IS; THAT, FROM WHAT I'M HEARING 17 THE COURT: AS I INDICATED, YOU HAVE THAT OPPORTUNITY FROM YOU, YOU THINK THAT'S A SOUND BITE TAKEN COMPLETELY OUT 18 TO COME UP SECOND AND GO BEYOND WHAT HE HAS PRESENTED TO PUT 18 19 OF CONTEXT. IT IN THE CONTEXT THAT YOU THINK IS APPROPRIATE. 19 THAT WOULD BE FOR THE JURY -- YOU CAN MAKE 20 20 MR. VOSS: I'M CLEAR ON YOUR ANSWER. THANK YOU, YOUR 21 THOSE ARGUMENTS THAT IT GOES MUCH BEYOND THAT AND HERE IS --21 HONOR 22 YOU KNOW, TO USE YOUR WORDS, IT'S A SNIPPET OR A SOUND BITE THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 22 THAT YOU WERE DESCRIBING EARLIER. THE GREATER CONTEXT IS "X" 2.3 SO WE'LL BRING IN THE JURY THEN, AND WE'LL GET 23 24 MR. VOSS: AT A MINIMUM, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT 24 GOING ON THOSE OPENINGS.

25

26

25

2.6

YOU HAVE TO USE THE ENTIRE ANSWER GOING THROUGH LINE EIGHT OF

PAGE 90. NOT JUST THE BEGINNING PART OF THE ANSWER ON PAGE 89.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE

PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

Page 18 Page 20 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE. WE HAVE BEEN TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHO THE PARTIES ARE. 2 JOINED BY ALL OF OUR JURORS. 2 THE PLAINTIFF IN THIS CASE IS LE XUAN KHOA. AND, MR. HART, DO YOU HAVE A QUESTION OR ARE 3 3 HE'S THE PLAINTIFF. 4 YOU JUST GETTING READY? THE DEFENDANT IN THE CASE, THERE'S TWO, IT'S NGUYEN DINH THANG, DR. NGUYEN DINH THANG. HE HAS A PH.D. AND 5 MR. HART: YES, I HAD A QUICK QUESTION, AND THAT IS: 5 6 WITNESSES ARE OUTSIDE DURING OPENING OR ONLY TESTIMONY? 6 B.P.S.O.S. THIS IS A 501(C)(3) PUBLIC BENEFIT CORPORATION THE COURT: DURING OPENING, AS WELL, PLEASE. I THINK THAT HE USES. SHE WAS HEADING OUT ALREADY BEFORE THAT. 8 8 I'M SORRY, BUT I CAN'T ANSWER OUESTIONS. 9 MR. HART: I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE. 9 I'LL JUST ASSUME I SPELLED SOMETHING WRONG 10 THE COURT: NOT A PROBLEM. THANK YOU FOR 10 DOUBLE-CHECKING OKAY PART OF BPS OS IS THEIR WEBSITE AND 11 11 12 SO, YES, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, AS WE INDICATED 12 NEWSLETTER THAT'S CALLED MACH SON MEDIA. OKAY. 13 YESTERDAY, WE WERE GOING TO START WITH THE OPENING STATEMENTS 13 NOW A LITTLE BACKGROUND: YOU'LL SOMETIMES HEAR BY BOTH SIDES FIRST THING THIS MORNING, AND THEN WE'LL DIVE ME REFER TO THE PLAINTIFF AS "PROFESSOR" OR "PROFESSOR KHOA." 14 14 15 RIGHT INTO TESTIMONY. 15 HE, IN THE COURSE OF WORKING ON HIS PH.D. AT THE SORBONNE IN 16 SO WITHOUT FURTHER ADO, I'LL START WITH 16 PARIS, WAS ACTUALLY A LECTURER TO GRADUATE STUDENTS. HE. 17 THEREFORE, IN MY MIND, CONSTITUTES A PROFESSOR. 17 MR. HART. 18 GO RIGHT AHEAD. 18 SO THAT'S HOW I WAS INTRODUCED TO HIM. THAT'S 19 MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. 19 HOW WE REFER TO HIM I WON'T DO IT ALL THE TIME. BUT IF YOU GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. THANK YOU, HEAR ME SAY THAT, I'M NOT IMPLYING THAT HE HAS A PH.D. OR THAT 20 20 AGAIN, FOR THE TIME, IMPOSITION THAT YOU'RE ALLOWING TO HE IS A DOCTOR, BUT HE IS LEGITIMATELY A PROFESSOR. 21 21 22 PARTICIPATE IN THIS PROCESS. 22 SO MR. KHOA, SEATED HERE, 93 YEARS OLD NOW. 23 LITERALLY, NONE OF WHAT YOU SEE HAPPENING IN 2.3 SOMETHING OF AN ELDER STATESMAN FROM SOUTH VIETNAM THIS BUILDING COULD HAPPEN OR WORK WITHOUT YOU. SO YOU'LL A LITTLE BIT OF HISTORY. IF YOU RECALL, OR IF 24 24 YOU KNOW THE HISTORY OF VIETNAM, THE FRENCH WERE THERE. THEY SEE, AS YOU ARE PARTICIPATING IN THIS TRIAL, THE ULTIMATE 25 25 26 RESPECT IN THE ROOM IS TO YOU, AS THE JURORS, BECAUSE YOU'RE 26 CALLED IT INDOCHINA. AND HO CHI MINH PUSHED THEM OUT IN THE Page 19 Page 21 HYPERCRITICAL TO THE PROCESS. 50'S. A LOT OF PEOPLE FROM THE NORTH, INCLUDING PROFESSOR 1 1 2 SO WITH THAT SAID, LET ME JUST SAY A LITTLE BIT 2 KHOA, MOVED TO THE SOUTH, IN THE 50'S, AS DID HE. 3 ABOUT OPENING STATEMENT AND WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN DURING THE 3 IN THE LATE 50'S/EARLY 60'S, HE STUDIED AT THE 4 SORBONNE. HE WAS WORKING ON A PH D. THERE. HE DID NOT TRIAL. 4 5 OPENING STATEMENT IS WHERE WE GIVE YOU A BIT OF COMPLETE IT. THERE'S A NUMBER OF REASONS WHY, AND HE'LL 6 A ROADMAP SO THAT YOU CAN ANTICIPATE WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN IN 6 EXPLAIN THAT WHEN HE TESTIFIES NEXT WEEK. 7 HE HAD A THESIS THAT HE WAS WORKING ON AT THE 7 THE TRIAL, WHAT YOU'LL BE SEEING. 8 YOU ALREADY KNOW THAT THIS IS A CASE ABOUT 8 TIME -- THIS IS THE SHORT VERSION -- WHEN THEY WERE ESCAPING DEFAMATION. YOU CAN SEE A COUPLE OF FAT EXHIBIT BOOKS HERE. 9 DURING THE FALL OF SAIGON. SOME OF YOU CAN PROBABLY PICTURE 9 10 THE OLD NEWSREEL COVERAGE OF THE U.S. EMBASSY IN SAIGON AT THE 10 SO THERE'S POTENTIALLY A LOT OF TWISTS AND TURNS IN THIS CASE. 11 END OF APRIL IN 1975. HE WAS IN THAT CROWD. HE WAS ESCAPING. 11 AND SO IT WILL BE EASIER FOR YOU TO UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE 12 SEEING AS THE TESTIMONY COMES IN IF YOU GET A PREVIEW. AND 12 I'M NOT SAYING HE WAS AT THE EMBASSY. BUT HE WAS IN THAT CROWD. THAT'S WHAT OUR JOB IS IN OPENING STATEMENT. IT'S NOT 1.3 ESCAPING 1.3 14 ARGUMENT. IT'S JUST TELLING YOU WHAT THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW 14 AND WHEN HE WAS TOLD -- I THINK HE WENT OUT ON 15 AN AIR FORCE PLANE. YOU COULD ONLY BRING ONE BAG, AND HE 15 IN THE CASE. 16 PICKED THE WRONG BAG AND LEFT HIS THESIS ON THE RAMP. SO 16 ALL RIGHT. AND SO I WANTED TO START OFF BY 17 YOU'LL HEAR MORE ABOUT THAT FROM HIM. HE WAS A DOCTORAL 17 PUTTING THE DEFAMATORY STATEMENT ON THE WHITEBOARD. I'M GOING 18 CANDIDATE BUT DID NOT COMPLETE HIS DEGREE. TO CARRY THIS WITH ME SO I MAKE SURE I SAY IT CORRECTLY -- OR 18 FAST FORWARD INTO THE 90'S. HE HAS WORKED AT PRINT IT CORRECTLY. 19 19 20 AN ORGANIZATION CALLED I.R.A.C., WHICH IS ASSISTING VIETNAMESE 20 "MR, KHOA FALSELY CLAIMED THAT HE HELD A 21 REFUGEES AND THEIR RESETTLEMENT IN THE U.S. 21 DOCTORAL DEGREE IN THE APPLICATION FOR A GRANT FROM THE 22 SOME OF YOU MAY RECALL THAT WHEN THEY FIRST GOT 22 DEPARTMENT OF STATE. THAT WAS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE." THAT STATEMENT -- DID I SPELL ALL THE WORDS 23 HERE IN 1975, THEY WERE NOT VERY WELL WELCOMED. I THINK, IN 23 24 FACT, AS I RECALL, GERRY BROWN WOULDN'T LET THEM ENTER THE 24 CORRECTLY -- CAN WE READ THAT, I HOPE? THAT STATEMENT IS WHAT 25 THIS CASE IS ABOUT. 25 STATE, AND THAT'S WHY THEY ENDED UP AT CAMP PENDLETON. 26 SO PROFESSOR KHOA WAS ONE OF THE LEADERS IN NOW THAT YOU UNDERSTAND THE STATEMENT, LET'S 26

Page 22

Page 24

Page 25

LOBBYING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, PARTICULARLY IN THE 80'S AND THEN DURING THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION. FOR BETTER STATUS AND 2 3 BETTER TREATMENT FOR VIETNAMESE REFUGEES.

HIS EFFORTS WERE TO HELP INTEGRATE THEM INTO OUR AMERICAN SOCIETY. AND IF YOU LIVE IN ORANGE COUNTY, YOU 5 KNOW THAT'S HAPPENED. THEY'RE AS MUCH A PART OF THE FABRIC OF THIS COMMUNITY AS ANYTHING THAT'S EVER BEEN HERE FOR THE LAST 8 HUNDRED YEARS.

SO AS TO DR. THANG, HE'LL TELL YOU ABOUT HIS 9 ARRIVAL IN THE U.S. WHERE HE FINISHED HIGH SCHOOL, WENT TO 10 11 COLLEGE, GOT GRADUATE DEGREES, AND IN THE 90'S OBTAINED HIS 12 DOCTORAL DEGREE, HIS PH.D., IN, I THINK, ELECTRICAL

13 ENGINEERING. THAT MIGHT HAVE BEEN HIS MASTER'S BUT WE'LL SORT THAT OUT 14

15 SO IN THE 90'S, HE BEGINS TO WORK WITH A 16 SIMILAR ORGANIZATION CALLED B.P.S.O.S. THAT REFERS TO BOAT PEOPLE. YOU MAY KNOW SOMEONE WHO ESCAPED VIETNAM BY BOAT. 17 MOST OF THE PEOPLE I KNOW WHO ESCAPED DIRECTLY, AS OPPOSED TO 18 19 BEING BORN HERE ESCAPED BY BOAT SO OSTENSIVELY IN THE BEGINNING, BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S. WAS ALSO AN ORGANIZATION CREATED 20 21 TO ASSIST THE INTEGRATION OF VIETNAMESE REFUGEES INTO AMERICAN 22 SOCIETY 23 OVER THE COURSE OF THE 90'S, DR. THANG ROSE

24 FROM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD AND PRESIDENT OF THE ORGANIZATION HE WAS PARTICULARLY SUCCESSFUL IN 25 26 OBTAINING GRANTS FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO PAY FOR

AND THE IMPLICATION IN THE MACH SONG MEDIA ARTICLE IS THAT THE 2 R O V R PROGRAM WAS A PRODUCT OF DR THANG'S PERSONAL

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

26

2

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

21

26

NOW, IN 2019/2020, HERE'S PROFESSOR KHOA IN RETIREMENT, WRITING BOOKS ABOUT THAT HISTORICAL PERIOD. AND HIS FRIENDS BEGIN TO CALL HIM AND SAY, HEY, WE'RE READING THIS THING ON MACH SONG MEDIA THAT SAYS, YOU KNOW, R.O.V.R. PROGRAM WAS THE PRODUCT OF DR. THANG'S EFFORTS AND THAT DOESN'T SOUND LIKE WHAT WE REMEMBER. A NUMBER OF PEOPLE CONTACTED PROFESSOR KHOA AND

ASKED HIM OVER AND OVER TO SET THE RECORD STRAIGHT. SO HE DOES. IN APRIL AND MAY OF 2020, HE BEGINS TO WRITE ARTICLES WHERE HE COUNTERS THE CLAIMS THAT WERE PUBLISHED BY DR. THANG.

NOW, DR. THANG THEN TAKES SOME OFFENSE OF THAT 14 15 AND, PERFECTLY ACCEPTABLY, WRITES HIS VERSION OF THINGS; THAT 16 NO, IT WASN'T PROFESSOR KHOA; IT WAS ME AND B.P.S.O.S.

WHAT HE DOES IN ADDITION, THAT'S NOT ACCEPTABLE AND THE REASON WE'RE HERE, IS HE DOESN'T RESTRAIN HIMSELF TO THE R O V R SUBJECT. LIMEAN I DON'T KNOW HOW ANYBODY COULD.

GET TOO EXCITED ABOUT THAT; IT HAPPENED 25 YEARS AGO. BUT FOR 20 WHATEVER REASON, HE DOESN'T RESTRAIN HIMSELF TO THAT SUBJECT 21

2.2 MATTER AND HE WRITES IN THERE ABOUT PROFESSOR KHOA A 23 STATEMENT THAT I PUT ON THE BOARD; NOT ONLY IS HE WRONG ABOUT R.O.V.R., BUT HE'S CRIMINALLY DEFRAUDED THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. 24

NOW FRIENDS AND FORMER COLLEAGUES OF PROFESSOR 25

KHOA ARE CALLING HIM AND SAYING: WHAT IS THIS? DID YOU

Page 23

B P S O S 'S EFFORTS TO ASSIST THE REFLIGEE COMMUNITY

2 AT A TIME IN THE MID-90'S, BOTH PROFESSOR KHOA 3 AND HIS ORGANIZATION, WHICH BY THEN WAS CALLED

S E A R A C -- LR A C HAD CHANGED ITS NAME TO 4

5 S.E.A.R.A.C. -- AND BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S., WITH DR. THANG, WERE

6 SIMULTANEOUSLY APPLYING FOR GRANTS TO GET MONEY TO ASSIST THE

7 VIETNAMESE REFUGEES.

1

11

25

8 MID 90'S KIND OF SETS THE BEGINNING OF THE

9 STAGE OF THIS CASE. YOU'LL SEE SOME LETTERS DURING THE MID

10 90'S WHERE SOMEBODY HAD CAST DISPERSIONS UPON PROFESSOR KHOA

AND HIS INTEGRITY. THE CONGRESS WAS ASKED TO INVESTIGATE HIM.

12 I DON'T THINK YOU'LL SEE ANY EVIDENCE THAT HE WAS

INVESTIGATED, BUT THERE WERE ALLEGATIONS THAT HE SHOULD HAVE 1.3

14 BEEN INVESTIGATED.

15 AND THAT'S WHERE DR. THANG COMES IN. IN 16 DECEMBER OF 1995 HE PUT OUT ON MACH SONG MEDIA -- REMEMBER I 17 WROTE THE NAME UP THERE, MACH SONG MEDIA. THAT'S HIS WEBSITE 18 AND THAT'S HIS NEWSLETTER THAT HE WILL TELL YOU GOES OUT TO AT LEAST 8,000 PEOPLE ON HIS EMAIL LIST. AND THESE ARE MOSTLY 19 20 FORMER DONORS. PEOPLE WHO HAVE DONATED MONEY, HE KEEPS THEIR 21 LIST. I THINK THE NUMBER IS PROBABLY HIGHER, BUT 8,000 IS

MORE THAN ENOUGH FOR OUR PURPOSES. 22

23 SO IN DECEMBER OF '99 -- I'M SORRY, 2019, 2.4 DECEMBER OF 2019, HE PUBLISHES A VIDEO FROM BACK IN THE 90'S

WHERE CONGRESSMAN CHRIS SMITH FROM NEW JERSEY IS TALKING ABOUT

ONE OF THE FEDERAL PROGRAMS FROM BACK IN THE 90'S, R.O.V.R. 26

DEFRAUD THE GOVERNMENT? 1

SO THAT'S THE BASIS OF THIS LAWSUIT. BEING 3 ACCUSED OF CRIMINALLY DEFRAUDING THE GOVERNMENT, YOU'LL SEE AT THE END OF THE TRIAL FITS THE DEFAMATION DEFINITION. 4

SO IN THE COURSE OF WORKING THIS CASE, WE HAVE

6 TAKEN DR. THANG'S DEPOSITION. AND I'VE ASKED HIM, "WHY DID

7 YOU SAY THAT?"

AND HE HAS REFERRED TO A COUPLE OF LETTERS FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN. SOME OF YOU LONG-TIME ORANGE COUNTY RESIDENTS WILL REMEMBER ROBERT DORNAN; QUITE A BIG FIGURE LOCALLY.

IN HIS ARTICLE THAT HE WRITES TO CORRECT THE

RECORD FROM HIS PERSPECTIVE, HE DOESN'T REFER TO HIM AS CONGRESSMAN DORNAN: HE REFERS TO HIM AS "CHRIS SMITH." SO I ASK HIM ABOUT THESE, AND HE SAYS: THIS IS WHY I THOUGHT WHAT I WROTE WAS TRUE. BECAUSE OF WHAT'S IN THESE LETTERS.

18 YOU'LL SEE THESE LETTERS. AND THE EVIDENCE

THAT YOU GLEAN FROM THESE LETTERS WILL NOT SUPPORT THE TRUTH 19 20 OF THIS STATEMENT.

SO WHAT ELSE IS THERE?

I MEAN. THE STATEMENT ITSELF SAYS THAT HE 22

23 FALSELY CLAIMED HE HELD A DOCTORAL DEGREE IN APPLICATION FOR A 24 GRANT FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE.

25 WE HAVE A COUPLE OF OLD S.E.A.R.A.C. GRANT

APPLICATIONS. AND THOSE GRANT APPLICATIONS HAVE WHAT'S CALLED

Page 26 Page 28 A CURRICULUM VITAE OR A CV, A RESUME, ATTACHED FROM PROFESSOR 1 WHAT HARM DID THIS CAUSE? KHOA. AND IN THOSE ATTACHED RESUMES, HE LAYS OUT HIS IMAGINE THAT PROFESSOR KHOA IS ONE OF THE ELDER 2 2 3 EDUCATION. HE STARTS WITH DOCTORAL THESIS ENTITLED. 3 STATESMAN FROM THE NOW ENDED SOUTH VIETNAMESE GOVERNMENT. "PHILOSOPHY OF DHYANA ZEN BUDDHISM IN VIETNAM." HE'S GOT A LOT OF CONTACTS OUT IN THE WORLD. HE'S BEEN HE DOES NOT CLAIM THAT HE HAS A DOCTORAL DEGREE OR A CAREFUL TO MAINTAIN HIS REPUTATION. 5 5 PH.D., BUT HE DOES CORRECTLY STATE WHAT HIS DOCTORAL THESIS 6 6 AND NOW THERE'S A PUBLICATION THAT SAYS HE WASIN DEFRAUDED THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. AND SOME THINGS HAPPEN THEN HE TALKS ABOUT HIS MASTER'S DEGREE AND HIS AFTER THAT THAT ARE EVEN WORSE. 8 8 9 HIGH SCHOOL. 9 YOU CAN IMAGINE THE EFFECT THAT THIS HAS ON THIS ELDERLY GENTLEMAN WHO HAS, AS YOU'LL HEAR, THROUGHOUT HIS 10 OKAY. THREE OF THESE OLD GRANT APPLICATIONS 10 APPARENTLY WERE IN THE ARCHIVES OF UC IRVINE, WERE EASILY LIFE PROTECTED THE PRINCIPLE OF INTEGRITY. 11 11 12 OBTAINED FROM UC IRVINE, TO SHOW THE TRUTH, AS MUCH AS WE 12 HE SUFFERED FROM THIS PUBLICATION: SHAME. 13 COULD FROM THESE GRANT APPLICATIONS, OF THIS STATEMENT. 13 MORTIFICATION, EMBARRASSMENT. THAT'S WHY WE'RE HERE. NONE OF THESE GRANT APPLICATIONS SHOW A CLAIM WHILE WE ALL ENJOY THE FIRST AMENDMENT, WE ALSO 14 14 15 BY PROFESSOR KHOA THAT HE CLAIMED A DOCTORAL DEGREE. 15 ALL KNOW THAT THERE ARE LIMITATIONS TO THE FIRST AMENDMENT. THE DEFENSE WILL PRESENT OTHER DOCUMENTS THAT ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT ONES IS WHAT YOU SEE HAPPENING IN 16 16 ARE NOT GRANT APPLICATIONS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE OR GRANT THIS LAWSUIT. YOU CANNOT RECKLESSLY LIE ABOUT SOMEBODY ON THE 17 17 APPLICATIONS TO ANYONE WHERE PROFESSOR KHOA IS REFERRED TO AS INTERNET AND CAUSE THEM HARM AND GO ON YOUR MERRY WAY. ALL 18 18 19 "DOCTOR" THEY'RE NOT BY HIM BUT THEY'RE BY OTHER PEOPLE 19 RIGHT? WORKING IN HIS ORGANIZATION. 20 SO THAT'S OUR CASE IN A NUTSHELL. ONE OF THE 20 AND AS SOON AS THE SUBJECT CAME UP, HE THINGS I'M TRYING TO DO A LOT MORE THESE DAYS WITH THESE 21 21 2.2 IMMEDIATELY SAID: I DON'T HAVE A DOCTORAL DEGREE. OTHER 2.2 TRIALS IS TO SHORTEN THEM UP. SO WHILE I COULD HAVE SPENT AN 23 PEOPLE REFER TO ME AS "DOCTOR" OUT OF RESPECT. THAT'S A 23 HOUR GOING THROUGH ALL OF THIS, I THINK YOU HAVE ENOUGH OF A FLAVOR TO SEE WHERE THINGS ARE GOING, AND WE'LL SPEND OUR TIME 24 CULTURAL THING. 24 PRESENTING THE EVIDENCE AND GETTING THIS CASE TO YOU AS SO YOU'LL SEE SOME OF THOSE DOCUMENTS. BUT NONE 25 25 26 OF THEM ARE GRANT APPLICATIONS. 26 QUICKLY AS WE CAN AS OPPOSED TO LABORING ON TOO MUCH. OKAY. Page 27 Page 29 SO THEN, AT THE END OF TRIAL, YOU'LL GET WHAT'S 1 SO THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION, AND I'LL TURN 1 2 CALLED A VERDICT FORM. YOU ALL REMEMBER FROM MY COUSIN VINNY 2 THE FLOOR OVER TO MR. VOSS. 3 WHAT A VERDICT FORM IS FROM OUR LITTLE OLD ANCESTORS IN 3 THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. HART. ENGLAND "VERDICT" MEANS TRUTH YOU AS THE JURY DETERMINE MR VOSS THIS IS YOUR OPPORTUNITY 4 4 5 WHAT THE TRUTH IS. MR. VOSS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 6 SO YOU'LL GET A VERDICT FORM THAT HAS A NUMBER 6 GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE TODAY. OF OUESTIONS. THE QUESTIONS WILL BE SUCH AS: WAS THIS 7 I'M GOING TO CUT TO THE CHASE. I'M NOT GOING 7 8 STATEMENT MADE? WAS IT MADE TO MORE PEOPLE THAN JUST HIM? 8 TO GIVE SOME LONG-WINDED STUFF ABOUT 1975 FOR YOU, BECAUSE THE 9 9 WAS IT UNDERSTOOD TO BE ACCUSING HIM OF SOMETHING DEFAMATORY? ONLY THING THAT MATTERS HERE IS A STATEMENT THAT WAS MADE IN MAY OF 2020 IN RESPONSE TO STATEMENTS MADE BY THE PLAINTIFF IN 10 WAS DR. THANG AWARE AT THE TIME HE DID THIS THAT THIS WAS NOT 10 11 APRIL AND MAY OF 2020. SOME LONG-WINDED HISTORY OF WHO IS 11 A TRUE STATEMENT? OR WAS HE NEGLIGENT IN MAKING THIS 12 12 STATEMENT? RESPONSIBLE FOR WHAT OR WHO CAN CLAIM CREDIT FOR THINGS THAT HE TESTIFIED, IN HIS DEPOSITION ON THIS 13 HAPPENED IN THE 1970'S IS NOT WHAT THIS CASE IS ABOUT. 1.3 14 SUBJECT, THAT HE HAD ACCESS TO THE DORNAN LETTERS, WHICH 14 THAT'S THE CASE. THAT'S IT, JUST THAT ONE 15 LINE. AND MOST IMPORTANTLY, REALLY, JUST THE LAST FIVE WORDS. 15 YOU'LL SEE DON'T SUPPORT THIS, AND THAT HE REVIEWED THEM, 16 BECAUSE THE FIRST PART OF THAT STATEMENT ACTUALLY COMES FROM 16 REVIEWED THEM BEFORE THE PUBLICATION 17 THE CONGRESSMAN. 17 INTERESTINGLY, HE DIDN'T REFER TO THEM AS "THE SO THE FACTS HERE ARE GOING TO SHOW YOU THAT IT 18 18 DORNAN LETTERS"; HE REFERRED TO THEM AS "CHRIS SMITH LETTERS". WAS THE PLAINTIFF THAT FIRST INITIATED AND FIRST WROTE TWO BUT, IN ANY EVENT, HE TOLD US, UNDER OATH, THAT 19 19 PUBLICATIONS IN APRIL 27TH AND MAY 22 OF 2020. THERE'S A GAP 2.0 20 HE CHECKED HIS SOURCES. HE DIDN'T CHECK THE PRIOR GRANT 21 OF DECADES, 25 YEARS, AS COUNSEL HIMSELF SAID, AND ALONG COMES 21 APPLICATIONS, WHICH WERE AVAILABLE AT UC IRVINE, BEFORE HE MADE THIS STATEMENT. SO ONE OF THE THINGS FOR YOU TO DECIDE 22 PLAINTIFF TO WRITE THIS ARTICLE AND PUBLISH IT ON THE 22 2.3 INTERNET WILL BE WHETHER OR NOT HE WAS RECKLESS OR NEGLIGENT OR 23 24 WHEN HE DID SO, WHICH WAS WHOLEY UNSOLICITED 24 DELIBERATE IN PUBLISHING THIS WITHOUT HAVING VERIFIED THE 25 TRUTH FIRST. 25 AND UNPROVOKED BY ANYTHING MY CLIENT DID -- MY CLIENT DIDN'T

26

OKAY. NOW, THE NEXT PART OF THE TRIAL WILL BE:

26

WRITE AN ARTICLE IN 2019 OR 2010. THIS IS DECADES AGO THAT

Page 30 Page 32 THEY HAD A DISCUSSION ABOUT WHO WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR WHAT. BUT SAID -- EVEN IN HIS DEPOSITION HE SAID, BEFORE WRITING HIS ALONG COMES THE PLAINTIFF, AND IN THE SPRING OF 2020, HE 2 2 ARTICLE DR THANG LOOKED AT A SERIES OF LETTERS FROM 3 ISSUES THESE ARTICLES, UNSOLICITED AND UNPROVOKED. 3 CONGRESSMAN DORNAN -- AND AS HE SAID IN THE DEPO, OH, I ALMOST MY CLIENT SAW HIS ARTICLES: SAW THAT THERE WERE SAID SMITH AGAIN. THAT'S MEANINGLESS, THE FACT THAT HE WAS INACCURACIES THAT IMPACTED HIS OWN REPUTATION, AND FELT THAT CONFUSING THEIR TWO NAMES -- AT A SERIES OF LETTERS FROM 5 5 6 THOSE INACCURACIES SHOULD BE CORRECTED. CONGRESSMAN DORNAN AND DIFFERENT FUNDING AGENCIES REQUESTING SO OUT OF TWO FULL ARTICLES WRITTEN BY THE INVESTIGATION OF MR. KHOA AND S.E.A.R.A.C. AND DEMANDING PLAINTIFF, AND AN ENTIRE BIG ARTICLE WRITTEN BY MY CLIENT, THE 8 8 COPIES OF S.E.A.R.A.C.'S PROPOSALS AND LR.A.C.'S PROPOSALS TO 9 ONLY THING THAT ANYBODY HAS TO SAY THAT THERE'S A PROBLEM WITH 9 10 IS THOSE LAST FIVE WORDS, REALLY, OUT OF THAT STATEMENT. 10 SO WHAT HE'S SAYING IS, BEFORE WRITING THE BECAUSE OF EVERYTHING THAT DR. THANG WROTE, PLAINTIFF SINGLED 11 ARTICLE, HE WENT BACK AND HE LOOKED AT THOSE LETTERS. 11 12 THESE THINGS OUT FOR THE LAWSUIT. NOTHING ELSE; NOTHING ELSE 12 THERE'S TWO LETTERS. YOU'RE GOING TO SEE THEM. 13 IN THE ARTICLES. JUST THIS. IT'S VERY STRAIGHTFORWARD. 13 APRIL 2, 1996, APRIL 30, 1996, ON OFFICIAL HOUSE OF AND WHEN MY CLIENT, DR. THANG -- AND HE IS A REPRESENTATIVES STATIONARY AND THE WHOLE NINE YARDS. 14 14 DOCTOR -- WROTE AND PUBLISHED AN ARTICLE WHICH ADDRESSED THE AND, IN PART, WHAT CONGRESSMAN DORNAN 15 15 FALSEHOODS ON MAY 25TH OF 2020 -- SO THREE DAYS AFTER THE 16 16 WROTE -- AND, AGAIN, THIS ISN'T MY CLIENT MAKING -- MY CLIENT SECOND, NOT JUST ONE, BUT TWO ARTICLES WRITTEN BY THE IS RELYING ON WHAT THE CONGRESSMAN SAID. IS IT REASONABLE TO 17 17 18 PLAINTIFF -- THREE DAYS LATER, MY CLIENT FINALLY SAYS: OKAY, 18 RELY ON A CONGRESSIONAL WRITING? 19 I'M GOING TO CORRECT THESE THINGS 19 SO HE SAYS: "WE HAVE LEARNED" -- THIS IS THE AND HIS MOTIVATION FOR AUTHORING THIS ARTICLE CONGRESSMAN. "WE HAVE LEARNED THAT I.R.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. HAS 20 20 IS TO SET THE RECORD STRAIGHT. YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR HIS 21 MISREPRESENTED LE XUAN KHOA AS A DOCTOR." 21 2.2 TESTIMONY THAT IT WAS TO SET THE RECORD STRAIGHT REGARDING HIS 22 THAT'S THE CONGRESSMAN'S LETTERHEAD SAYING 23 AND B.P.S.O.S.'S CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE REFUGEE PROGRAMS AND TO 23 THAT. IT'S NOT MY CLIENT SAYING THAT; IT'S CONGRESSMAN DORNAN DEFEND HIS AND B.P.S.O.S.'S REPUTATION, ESPECIALLY IN THE SAYING: WE HAVE LEARNED THERE'S MISREPRESENTATIONS OF THE 24 24 VIETNAMESE COMMUNITY PLAINTIFF AS A DOCTOR 25 25 26 SO WHAT WAS THAT STATEMENT? WHERE DID IT COME 26 HE GOES ON TO SAY THAT: "RECENTLY, IN THE FACE Page 31 Page 33 FROM? OF PUBLIC INOUIRY, MR. KHOA ADMITTED HE IS NOT A DOCTOR." 1 1 2 WELL, THE STATEMENT -- AND YOU'LL SEE IT IN THE 2 SO HOW DOES THAT DOVETAIL WITH THIS? 3 GREATER CONTEXT OF THE ARTICLE WHEN YOU RECEIVE THE EVIDENCE 3 BECAUSE THEN THERE'S THE LETTER OF APRIL 30, IN THIS CASE 1996. IN WHICH CONGRESSMAN DORNAN NOW REFERS THE MATTER FOR 4 4 5 THE STATEMENT IS, IN THE FULL PARAGRAPH, INVESTIGATION, WHICH IS WHAT I SAID TO YOU WAS IN THIS THING, 6 "IMMEDIATELY" -- AND I QUOTE: "IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE TWO 6 INVESTIGATION IN THE ARTICLE TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA AND HEARINGS, CONGRESSMAN SMITH SENT AN OFFICIAL LETTER REQUESTING S.E.A.R.A.C. ON A FRAUDULENT BACKGROUND STATEMENT USED WHEN 7 7 8 THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT TO INVESTIGATE 8 APPLYING FOR A FEDERAL GRANT. MR. KHOA AND S.E.A.R.A.C." -- HIS ENTITY -- "ON A FRAUDULENT 9 SO WHAT DOES THE LETTER FROM DORNAN SAY? 9 10 BACKGROUND STATEMENT USED WHEN APPLYING FOR A FEDERAL GRANT, 10 AND, AGAIN, MY CLIENT WRITES HIS ARTICLE. HE 11 COLON," AND THEN THAT APPEARS. 11 MAKES THE STATEMENT, INCLUDING THAT THIS IS REGARDING AN 12 SO THIS IS IN THE CONTEXT OF SAYING THAT THIS 12 INVESTIGATION. AND HE'S RELYING ON WHAT? CONGRESSIONAL WAS IMMEDIATELY AFTER SOME CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS A LONG TIME DOCUMENTS. HE DOESN'T JUST GO OFF AND INVENT THIS OUT OF 1.3 1.3 14 AGO IN AN OFFICIAL LETTER REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION. 14 WHOLE CLOTH TO MALIGN MR. KHOA. HE'S TRYING TO CORRECT THE 15 NOBODY WAS SAYING THAT ANY CONGRESSMEN OR 15 16 ANYBODY ELSE ACCUSED HIM OF BEING A CRIMINAL. THE OUESTION 16 AND THE FACT IS THAT THE CONGRESSMAN SAYS 17 WAS: IS THAT FALSE APPLICATION A CRIMINAL OFFENSE? 17 QUOTE: "I HAVE RECEIVED AN OVERWHELMING NUMBER OF TELEPHONES 18 AND I SUBMIT TO YOU THAT IT IS, IT WAS, AND IT 18 CALLS AND LETTERS FROM RESPECTED VIETNAMESE/AMERICAN LEADERS IN MY DISTRICT AND ACROSS THE UNITED STATES. SPECIFICALLY, REMAINS. 19 19 THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS THAT THE WORDS, ONLY THEY HAVE EXPRESSED THEIR OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT 20 20 21 THOSE LAST WORDS, ARE THOSE OF MY CLIENT. BEFORE WRITING HIS 21 FUNDING OF THE PRIVATE 501(C)(3) ORGANIZATION SOUTH ASIA ARTICLE, DR. THANG DID NOT JUST BLURT IT OUT WITHOUT TAKING A 22 RESOURCE ACTION CENTER, FORMERLY KNOWN AS THE INDOCHINESE 22 LOOK AT WHAT BACKED UP. HEY, HIS MEMORY FROM 25 YEARS AGO, HE

23

24

25

26

THE TIME.

RESOURCE ACTION CENTER."

THAT'S THE ENTITY MR. KHOA WAS REPRESENTING AT

HE IS NOW GOING DIRECTLY, AS WE SAID, TO THE

23

24

25

26

WROTE HIS ARTICLE.

WENT AND PULL THE LETTERS OUT, TOOK A LOOK AT THEM BEFORE HE

AND THOSE TWO LETTERS -- AND HE MISSPOKE. HE

Page 34 Page 36 INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE, 1 APPLICATIONS. THE CONGRESSMAN DID. AND OF THE FIVE THINGS THAT HE EXPRESSED 2 2 SO DURING THE COURSE OF DISCOVERY IN THE CASE 3 HIS CONCERN OVER, NUMBER FIVE: "MR. KHOA'S SELF-PROMOTION AS 3 YOU'LL HEAR WE TAKE WHAT ARE CALLED DEPOSITIONS. WE GET AN OPPORTUNITY TO ASK QUESTIONS OF WITNESSES, PARTIES. IT'S NOT MY CLIENT SAYING THAT. IT'S MY CLIENT 5 AND IN THIS INSTANCE, THERE'S TESTIMONY THAT 5 SAYING THAT THERE WAS A REQUEST FOR AN INVESTIGATION BY A 6 WAS GIVEN BY THE PLAINTIFF THAT, IN AND OF ITSELF, SHOULD BE CONGRESSMAN ON THAT EXACT SUBJECT. WHEREAS YOU HEARD IN THE DISPOSITIVE FOR YOU OF THIS ENTIRE SO-CALLED CASE. FIRST LETTER HE SAYS, WE HAVE LEARNED HE HAS MISREPRESENTED 8 8 AND HE SAID. WHEN LASKED: "DID YOU UNDERSTAND 9 HIMSELF AS A DOCTOR, AND MR, KHOA'S ADMITTED HE ISN'T A 9 WHEN YOU WERE SUBMITTING THOSE GRANT APPLICATIONS" -- THIS IS THE QUESTION TO THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF -- "DID YOU UNDERSTAND 10 DOCTOR 10 11 SO MR, KHOA, HIS -- AND THIS IS JUST QUOTING WHEN YOU WERE SUBMITTING THOSE GRANT APPLICATIONS THAT THEY 11 12 THE CONGRESSMAN: "HIS MISREPRESENTATION OF ACADEMIC 12 HAD TO BE ACCURATE?" 13 CREDENTIALS MAY HAVE RESULTED IN HIS RECEIVING STATE 13 "YES, I KNOW." DEPARTMENT GRANTS OVER COMPETING APPLICANTS." "YOU COULD NOT MAKE A MISREPRESENTATION ON A 14 14 HOW WOULD THAT BE? 15 15 GRANT APPLICATION?" 16 WELL, YOU WANT TO MAKE YOURSELF LOOK AS GOOD AS 16 "I UNDERSTAND THAT." POSSIBLE IN YOUR APPLICATION; RIGHT? YOU'RE TRYING TO GET 17 17 "SO AS A LAW-ABIDING CITIZEN, YOU UNDERSTOOD MONEY FROM THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT. SO, HEY, PUFF 18 18 YOU HAVE TO HAVE TRUTHFUL INFORMATION ON THE GRANT 19 YOURSELF UP A LITTLE BIT: CLAIM THAT YOU HAVE CREDENTIALS THAT 19 APPLICATIONS: RIGHT?" YOU DON'T HAVE. 20 "YES. BECAUSE OTHERWISE IT WOULD NOT BE 20 21 BUT THOSE WORDS AND THE QUESTION ABOUT "THE 21 LAWFUL. I DON'T -- " 22 MISREPRESENTATION OF ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS MAY HAVE RESULTED IN 22 I SAID: "YOU SAID YOU WERE A LAWFUL CITIZEN SO RECEIVING STATE DEPARTMENT GRANTS OVER COMPETING APPLICANTS," 23 2.3 IT HAD TO BE TRUTHFUL?" THAT'S WHAT THAT ADDRESSES. MR. KHOA FALSELY CLAIMED HE HELD "YES " 24 24 A DOCTORAL DEGREE IN THE APPLICATION FOR A GRANT FROM THE 25 25 QUESTION: "BECAUSE IF IT WASN'T TRUTHFUL, THAT 26 DEPARTMENT OF STATE. WELL, HE DID. 26 WOULDN'T BE LAWFUL, IN YOUR MIND; RIGHT?" Page 35 Page 37 WOULD THAT BE A CRIMINAL OFFENSE? ANSWER, PLAINTIFF SAYING: "DEFINITELY CAN'T BE 1 1 2 WELL, YES, IT WOULD BE A CRIMINAL OFFENSE. AND 2 UNLAWFUL." 3 IRONICALLY -- WE'RE NOT SAYING HE WAS A CRIMINAL. IT DOESN'T LAST QUESTION THERE: "CAN'T BE UNLAWFUL. AND SAY HE COMMITTED A CRIME. IT IS SAYING THAT IF YOU DID THAT IF IT WAS INACCURATE -- IF IT WAS INACCURATE OR MISREPRESENTED 4 4 5 THAT WOULD BE A CRIMINAL OFFENSE. YOU'RE NOT ALLOWED TO FACTS, THAT WOULD BE UNLAWFUL; RIGHT?" 6 MISREPRESENT THINGS ON A FEDERAL GRANT APPLICATION. 6 "YES " SO THE FACTS WILL SHOW THAT NOT ONLY IS THE SO IN THE CONTEXT OF AN 7 8 STATEMENT COMPLETELY TRUTHFUL, BUT THAT EVEN THE PLAINTIFF 8 INVESTIGATION -- REMEMBER, THE FULL PARAGRAPH THAT THAT 9 HIMSELF ADMITS THAT MAKING A FALSE REPRESENTATION ON AN STATEMENT APPEARS IN IS ABOUT THE INVESTIGATION, NOT A 10 CONVICTION, BUT IF IT WAS INACCURATE OR MISREPRESENTING FACTS, 10 APPLICATION IS UNLAWFUL. 11 EVEN THE PLAINTIFF SAYS THAT WOULD BE UNLAWFUL. 11 AGAIN, THE CONTEXT OF THE STATEMENT IS THAT THE 12 CONGRESSMAN SENT THE OFFICIAL LETTER REQUESTING THE INSPECTOR 12 YEAH THAT WOULD BE A CRIMINAL OFFENSE. GENERAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA AND 13 THERE'S NOT EVEN A DISAGREEMENT BETWEEN THE 1.3 14 S.E.A.R.A.C. ON A FRAUDULENT BACKGROUND STATEMENT WHEN 14 PLAINTIFF AND THE DEFENDANT. AND YET, OUT OF ALL OF THAT STUFF AND TWO ARTICLES BY THE PLAINTIFF AND AN ENTIRE ARTICLE 1.5 15 APPLYING FOR A FEDERAL GRANT. 16 WRITTEN BACK, THIS IS ALL THAT'S LEFT. THIS IS ALL THAT WE'RE 16 THAT PROCESS YOU HAVE TO FILL OUT OF THE FORM 17 SINGLING OUT; IS THAT IF YOU MADE A MISREPRESENTATION, THAT 17 YOU HAVE TO SIGN THE FORM ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT 18 WOULD BE A CRIMINAL OFFENSE. 18 ORGANIZATION, IS SOMETHING THAT MR. KHOA HIMSELF SAYS HE WAS THE ONE THAT SIGNED THOSE FORMS, ALL OF THEM, FOR THE ENTITY 19 WELL, IT WOULD BE. AND PLAINTIFF HIMSELF 19 20 UNDERSTANDS IT WOULD BE. YOU CANNOT MAKE 20 THAT HE REPRESENTED. 21 MISREPRESENTATIONS --21 SO WHEN YOU SEE A NUMBER OF GRANTS THAT ALL 22 MR. HART: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. IT'S BECOMING 22 HAVE THE SAME INFORMATION ATTACHED THAT SUGGESTS THAT HE HAS HIS DOCTORATE, TO ANYBODY THAT'S JUST READING IT GENERALLY, 23 QUITE ARGUMENTATIVE. 23 24 MR. VOSS: I'LL MOVE ON. 24 YOU AND I. AS READING IT THROUGH, YOU WOULD ASSUME THAT WAS 25 THE CASE. WELL, HE'S THE ONE WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT 25 THE COURT: I WAS GOING TO SAY. THANK YOU. YOU TOOK 26 THE WORDS OUT OF MY MOUTH. CONTENT. HE'S THE ONE THAT'S SIGNING THOSE GRANT 26

Page 38 Page 40 MR. VOSS: THE FACTS WILL SHOW THAT KHOA KNEW THAT HE DR. THANG WAS SIGNING THE GRANT REQUESTS FOR B.P.S.O.S. 2 WAS BEING HELD OUT BY OTHERS AS A DOCTOR, BUT HE DIDN'T TAKE 2 DIFFERENT GRANT REQUESTS. BUT NONETHELESS THE SAME CONCEPT: 3 ANY ACTION TO CORRECT THOSE REPRESENTATIONS. ASKING FOR MONEY FROM THE GOVERNMENT HE SAYS, WELL, THOSE REPRESENTATIONS WEREN'T WHAT IS THE POSITION FROM DR. THANG? MADE BY -- AND I'VE HEARD COUNSEL SAY THOSE WEREN'T MADE BY HE SAYS THAT: I JUST STATE THE FACT THAT 5 5 6 HIM. WELL, HOW CONVENIENT. SO YOU KNOW EVERYONE ELSE IS BECAUSE WE HAVE DONE A LOT OF PROPOSAL TO THE U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, THE FIRST THING WE'RE INSTRUCTED, TO BE TRUTHFUL 7 REFERRING TO YOU AS A DOCTOR, BUT YOU DON'T TAKE ANY OF -- AND THE FACTS ARE GOING TO SHOW HE WAS AWARE OF IT, BUT HE CHOSE BECAUSE, OTHERWISE, IT WILL BE A CRIMINAL OFFENSE. SO THE 8 8 STATEMENT THAT ANY UNTRUTHFUL INFORMATION PROVIDED IN ORDER TO 9 NOT TO CORRECT IT. 9 OBTAIN FUNDING FROM THE U.S. GOVERNMENT, THAT IS A CRIMINAL. 10 WHY? 10 11 OFFENSE. 11 IT'S BENEFICIAL TO HIM TO LOOK BIGGER --12 MR. HART: OBJECTION. THAT'S ARGUMENTATIVE. 12 WAS HE STATING FROM HIS OWN EXPERIENCE THAT HE 13 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 13 KNEW? THE FACTS WILL SHOW? YES, BECAUSE HE'S ALSO APPLYING FOR THESE 14 14 15 MR. VOSS: THE FACTS WILL SHOW THAT HE WAS THE EDITOR 15 GRANTS. 16 IN CHIEF OF A PUBLICATION FROM HIS ENTITY CALLED "THE BRIDGE": 16 LIKEWISE, HE SAYS THAT: IT'S A STATEMENT OF FACT THAT ANY MISINFORMATION PROVIDED TO U.S. AGENCY IN ORDER 17 THAT HE WAS RESPONSIBLE. AS THE EDITOR-IN-CHIEF, FOR THE 17 CONTENT OF THAT MAGAZINE FROM HIS ORGANIZATION; AND THAT IN 18 18 TO OBTAIN TAX DOLLARS. 19 THAT MAGAZINE HE WAS HELD OUT AS DR. KHOA. HE WAS THE 19 RIGHT, THAT'S A CRIMINAL OFFENSE. AND WENT ON TO SAY: I THINK YOUR CLIENT AGREES 20 EDITOR-IN-CHIEF. 20 21 WITH THAT AS WELL. I READ IT IN HIS DEPOSITION. 21 AND HE SAYS -- COUNSEL SAID, NOT BY HIM. 2.2 THAT'S NOT CORRECT. THE FACTS ARE GOING TO SHOW YOU THAT IT'S 22 WELL, THAT'S THE PART I READ YOU BEFORE. 23 RIGHT IN THEIR OWN PUBLICATIONS. 23 PLAINTIFF SAYS IT'S UNLAWFUL. MY CLIENT SAYS IT'S UNLAWFUL. LIKEWISE, THE FACTS WILL SHOW THAT HE MADE IF YOU MISREPRESENT IN A GRANT APPLICATION, THAT WAS A 24 24 HIMSELF LOOK BETTER BY PROVIDING INFORMATION AS PART OF THE CRIMINAL OFFENSE 25 25 26 GRANT THAT MADE THE ORDINARY READER BELIEVE HE WAS A DOCTOR, 26 SO WHAT IS IT THAT'S SO HORRIBLE ABOUT HIS Page 39 Page 41 POINTING OUT THAT IF YOU MAKE A MISREPRESENTATION IT'S A 1 INCLUDING THE GRANT APPLICATIONS TOUTING HIS THESIS AND 1 2 DOCTORAL STUDIES. 2 CRIMINAL OFFENSE? THERE'S AN INVESTIGATION GOING ON? 3 THE FACTS WILL SHOW THAT HE PREPARED AND SIGNED 3 SO IMPORTANTLY, ALSO, IS THAT COUNSEL SAID THAT 4 MY CLIENT OLIOTE DIDN'T CHECK -- I BELIEVE I WROTE THE WORDS ALL THE GRANT APPLICATIONS WHICH CONTAINED THE THESIS IN THE 4 5 ATTACHED RESUME THAT WAS ON THE BACK OF EVERY SINGLE ONE OF DOWN VERBATIM. IF I'M OFF BY ONE, I DID MY BEST. THAT, 6 THOSE GRANT APPLICATIONS. 6 OUOTE: "HE DIDN'T CHECK THE PRIOR GRANT APPLICATIONS BEFORE 7 AGAIN, EVEN THE PLAINTIFF KNEW IT WAS UNLAWFUL. 7 HE MADE THIS STATEMENT." 8 THE FACTS WILL SHOW, BY CONTRAST, THAT 8 IN FACT, MY CLIENT HAD MET WITH CONGRESSIONAL 9 9 DR. THANG HAD MANY REASONS TO BELIEVE THAT IT WAS, AND IS STAFF FROM MR. DORNAN'S OFFICE WHEN ALL OF THIS WENT DOWN TO TODAY, A CRIMINAL OFFENSE TO MAKE A MISREPRESENTATION IN A BEGIN WITH. AND THE FACTS ARE THAT HIS STAFF, THE 10 10 11 GRANT APPLICATION. WHY? HIS EXPERIENCE IN APPLYING FOR GRANT CONGRESSMAN'S STAFF -- DR. ALBERT SANTOLI KNEW ME WELL AND 11 ASKED ME TO VISIT DORNAN'S OFFICE ONE DAY. AND HE SHOWED ME 12 12 FOR BPSOS 13 NOW, COUNSEL IN HIS OPENING STATEMENT SAID TO 1.3 THE STACK OF PROPOSALS DELIVERED BY THE OFFICE OF REFUGEE 14 YOU THAT MY CLIENT HAS BEEN APPLYING FOR GRANTS SINCE THE 14 RESETTLEMENT TO DORNAN'S OFFICE IN RESPONSE TO THE REQUEST 1990'S. THAT'S FACTUALLY INCORRECT. IT WASN'T UNTIL 2006 15 HERE. AND THEN HE PULLED OUT UNDERNEATH, AT THE BACK OF THOSE 15 16 THAT THEY FIRST MADE GRANT APPLICATIONS. 16 PROPOSALS, THE CV, THE BIO, SAYING "DR. KHOA." 17 SO WHEN YOU GO BACK 25 YEARS AGO, AND THE 17 THIS WASN'T JUST SOMETHING HE INVENTED OUT OF THE CLEAR BLUE SKY. NOT ONLY DID HE RELY ON WHAT THE 18 IMPLICATION IS THAT THE GRANT APPLICATIONS WERE HAPPENING 18 THEN, THEY WEREN'T. THAT'S AN ERROR OF FACT THAT WAS CONGRESSMAN WROTE, BUT AT THE TIME THAT HAPPENED, HE HAD BEEN 19 19 ASKED TO COME DOWN AND SHOWN THE GRANT PROPOSALS BY THE 20 REPRESENTED TO YOU. 2.0 21 BUT HE DOES NOW, AND DID HAVE AT THE TIME THAT 21 CONGRESSMAN'S STAFF. AND THAT'S HIS TESTIMONY. HE DIDN'T 22 HE WROTE THIS IN 2020, A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING BECAUSE HE HAD, 22 JUST DO THIS, MAKE THE STATEMENT THAT IS AT ISSUE HERE WITH NO BY THEN, FOR 14 YEARS, APPLIED FOR MANY GRANTS ON BEHALF OF 2.3 BASIS FOR DOING SO 23 THE BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S. 24 THE FACTS ARE THAT UNDER I.R.A.C., WHICH IS --24 25 AND HIS EXPERIENCE IN APPLYING FOR GRANTS, AND 25 THE ENTITIES ARE S.E.A.R.A.C. AND I.R.A.C. IT WENT BACK AND 26 JUST LIKE MR. KHOA HAD TO SIGN THE GRANTS FOR S.E.A.R.A.C., 26 FORTH THAT DR. -- OR MR. KHOA WAS WORKING FOR.

Page 42 Page 44 UNDER THE LETTERHEAD OF THE INDOCHINA RESEARCH SO MILLIONS OF PEOPLE HAVE VIEWED HIS YOUTUBE ACTION CENTER AND THE STAFF PERSON, DR. SANTOLI, ASKED ME. STUFF. HE'S HAD THOUSANDS OF COMMENTS ON THINGS HE'S 2 2 3 THANG, WHETHER I WANT A COPY OR NOT. HE ONLY ASKED FOR A COPY 3 PUBLISHED. BUT WHEN IT COMES TO THIS STATEMENT AND HE'S ASKED OF THE C.V. AT THAT TIME. TO SAY, WELL, WHO -- IF YOU'RE SAYING YOU HAD ALL THIS 5 SO YES, HE HAD LOOKED AT THE C.V.'S. YES, THE 5 TERRIBLE SHAME, TELL ME. TELL ME WHO IT WAS THAT YOU SAID 6 CONGRESSMEN. THEMSELVES, SAID THAT THE MISREPRESENTATION OF 6 CAME TO YOU AND SAID YOU KNOW I'M RAISING AN ISSUE ABOUT ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS MAY HAVE RESULTED IN RECEIVING GRANTS YOUR REPUTATION BECAUSE OF THIS STATEMENT 8 OVER OTHER APPLICANTS. 8 ONE PERSON. OH, AND, BY THE WAY, THAT ONE PERSON DIDN'T BUY 9 YES, THE CONGRESSMAN SAID, IN THE FACE OF 9 PUBLIC INQUIRY, HE ADMITTED HE IS NOT A DOCTOR AND THAT THEY 10 10 THE STATEMENT ANYWAY. HE JUST SAID: OH, I THINK YOU OUGHT TO HAVE MISREPRESENTED THAT HE WAS. WRITE SOMETHING TO CORRECT IT BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY IT'S NOT 11 11 12 ALL OF THOSE ARE TRUE STATEMENTS FOR WHICH 12 CORRECT. 13 THERE'S FACTUAL SUPPORT THAT WILL BE SHOWN TO YOU 13 SO HE DIDN'T EVEN LOSE THE ONE READER. THE ONE SO WE REASONABLY SUBMIT TO YOU THAT WHEN YOU READER --14 14 15 LOOK AT THE JURY INSTRUCTIONS YOU'RE GOING TO GO THROUGH, YOU 15 MR. HART: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. IT'S NEED TO APPLY TO THAT THAT MY CLIENT DID NOT ACT WITHOUT 16 16 ARGUMENTATIVE. UNDERSTANDING FACTS AND JUSTIFICATION FOR THE STATEMENT THAT 17 17 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. MR. VOSS: THE FACTS ARE GOING TO SHOW YOU THAT MY 18 18 19 THIS WAS NOT, AS COUNSEL SUGGESTED TO YOU. 19 CLIENT DR THANG HAS DEDICATED HIS LIFE TO SUPPORTING SOMEHOW A NEGLIGENT STATEMENT BECAUSE HE HAD NO BASIS FOR IT. VIETNAMESE REFUGEES. HE DIDN'T WAKE UP IN MAY OF 2020 20 20 HE HAD A LOT OF BASIS FOR IT. INITIATING FALSE STATEMENTS ABOUT THE PLAINTIFF. THAT'S A 21 21 2.2 FINALLY, AND I'M JUST GOING TO IN A -- I DON'T 2.2 FACT 23 BELIEVE YOU'LL EVER GET THERE. BUT ASSUMING THAT SOMEHOW 23 THE FACTS ARE HE WAS CORRECTING FALSE DR. THANG'S STATEMENT WAS FALSE, COUNSEL SAID, WELL, HE STATEMENTS MADE AGAINST HIM. HIS WORDS WERE NOT MOTIVATED BY 24 24 SUFFERED TERRIBLE SHAME. IT'S OUR POSITION THE PLAINTIFF MALICE WHEN HE WROTE THEM BUT TO PROTECT THE TRUTH. 25 25 26 DOESN'T EVEN HAVE ANY DAMAGES ANYWAY. THEY SHOULDN'T RECOVER 26 YOU MAY RECALL THAT I ASKED YOU TO KEEP AN OPEN Page 43 Page 45 ANYTHING MIND UNTIL YOU HEAR OUR SIDE OF THE STORY. I REMIND YOU OF 1 1 2 MEDICALLY, PLAINTIFF HAS NO EXPERT, NO DOCTOR 2 THAT BECAUSE THIS CASE IS MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING. 3 OR MEDICAL RECORDS THAT YOU'RE GOING TO SEE TO SUPPORT ANY 3 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, MR. VOSS. DAMAGES PURPORTEDLY CAUSED BY THE FEW WORDS THAT ARE ALLEGED AND JUST REMINDER THAT YOU HEARD YESTERDAY IN 4 4 5 TO BE DEFAMATORY. NO MEDICAL ANYTHING. THE INSTRUCTIONS, WE'VE HEARD FROM BOTH SIDES IN TERMS OF 6 SO HIS REPUTATION? IT WAS LIKEWISE UNAFFECTED. 6 THEIR OPENING STATEMENTS, WHAT THE ATTORNEYS SAY DURING THEIR HE HAD THOUSANDS OF FOLLOWERS THAT READ HIS WRITINGS WHEN HE OPENING STATEMENTS OR CLOSING ARGUMENTS. THAT IS NOT EVIDENCE. 7 8 CHOSE TO BE THE ONE THAT HURLED THE FIRST TWO LETTERS OUT 8 IT'S WHAT THEY THINK THE EVIDENCE -- THAT'S WHAT THEY HOPE THE 9 EVIDENCE WILL SHOW, BUT THEIR STATEMENTS AND SUMMARIES AND 9 THERE THINGS ARE NOT EVIDENCE. THE EVIDENCE YOU'RE ABOUT TO HEAR 10 BUT WHEN WE ASKED HIM AT HIS DEPOSITION TO 10 11 NOW, STARTING IN JUST A FEW MOMENTS, IS THE TESTIMONY AND 11 IDENTIFY, GIVE ME THE NAME, IDENTIFY FOR ME ONE SINGLE PERSON. 12 12 ANYBODY THAT WAS -- EVEN RAISED A CONCERN ABOUT THIS EVERYTHING THAT COMES IN. STATEMENT, HE WAS ABLE TO COME UP WITH ONLY ONE SINGLE PERSON. 13 SO EVERYTHING YOU'VE HEARD OF SO FAR IS WHAT 13 14 THE PLAINTIFF, IN HIS DISCOVERY RESPONSES, SAID 14 THEY BELIEVE AND HOPE THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW FROM YOUR EYES 15 AND PROSPECTIVE. SO LJUST WANTED TO REMIND YOU OF THAT FROM 15 THAT: "I HAVE NO PROBLEMS WITH FRIENDS AND COLLEAGUES WHO 16 THE INSTRUCTIONS YESTERDAY. 16 KNOW ABOUT MY CHARACTER WHO HAVE CONTACTED ME PERSONALLY TO SO WE WILL THEN TURN TO PLAINTIFF FOR THE FIRST INQUIRE ABOUT THE DEFENDANTS' UNTRUTHFUL STATEMENTS." 17 17 WITNESS. THAT'S A FACT. OH, THIS HORRIBLE SHAME. IT'S 18 18 MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. PLAINTIFFS CALL HIS WORDS. "I HAVE NO PROBLEMS WITH FRIENDS AND COLLEAGUES 19 19 DR. NGUYEN DINH THANG TO THE STAND, PLEASE. 2.0 20 WHO KNOW MY CHARACTER. HOWEVER, I AM A PUBLIC PERSON. AND IN 21 NGUYEN DINH THANG, 21 THE COMMUNITY FOR MY HUMANITARIAN AND COMMUNITY EMPOWERMENT WORK, I HAVE MANY READERS AND VIEWERS OF MY PUBLISHED BOOK, 22 CALLED UNDER 776, AS A WITNESS ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS, 22 AND HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED 2.3 ARTICLES OR VIDEOS. THE VIDEOTAPED INTERVIEW OF ME BY THE BBC 23 24 AS FOLLOWS: 24 NEWS. TIENG VIET (PHONETIC), VIETNAMESE LANGUAGE, ON YOUTUBE 25 HAS BEEN VIEWED BY 5.6 MILLION PEOPLE, WITH ALMOST 6,000 25 THE WITNESS: I DO. 26 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, AND 26 COMMENTS."

	Page 46		Page 48
1	SPELL YOUR LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.	1	MAKE UP EXHIBIT 238, THESE WORDS APPEAR ONCE IN VIETNAMESE AND
2	THE WITNESS: YEAH. MY NAME IS THANG, T-H-A-N-G,	2	ONCE IN ENGLISH; CORRECT?
3	DINH, D-I-N-H, NGUYEN.	3	A THAT'S CORRECT.
4	THE COURT: THE BINDERS BEHIND YOU, WHEN THEY POINT	4	MR. HART: I WOULD MOVE EXHIBIT 238 INTO EVIDENCE,
5	YOU TO AN EXHIBIT, THAT'S WHERE THOSE WILL BE FOUND. BUT GO	5	PLEASE.
6	AHEAD AND SETTLE IN THERE; WE'LL LET YOU GET COMFORTABLE	6	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION.
7	BEFORE WE START.	7	THE COURT: NO OBJECTION. 238 WILL BE ADMITTED.
8	MR. HART: WE'RE GOING TO START WITH EXHIBIT 238,	8	(EXHIBIT 238 ADMITTED.)
9	DR. THANG, IF YOU WANT TO	9	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO PUBLISH, YOUR HONOR.
10	CAN I HELP HIM, YOUR HONOR?	10	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
11	THE COURT: HE'S GOING TO GET YOU STARTED RIGHT	11	MR. HART: OKAY. LET'S PUBLISH PAGE 7.
12	THERE. THERE'S TWO BINDERS; IT SHOULD BE BINDER 2 OF 2.	12	(DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.)
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION	13	MR. HART: SO DON'T PUT ANYTHING UP YET.
14	BY MR. HART:	14	MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, I OBJECT TO THAT'S NOT
15	Q DR. THANG, GET COMFORTABLE, IF YOU WOULD, AND	15	EXHIBIT 7. THAT'S A MODIFIED EXHIBIT 7. YOU CAN'T SEE
16	LET ME KNOW WHEN YOU HAVE OPENED UP EXHIBIT 238.	16	THE COURT: WELL, I THINK WE'RE CORRECTING THAT RIGHT
17	A YES, I'M READY.	17	NOW. SO YES, THAT'S FAIR. WE NEED TO START WITH THE
18	Q DO YOU HAVE EXHIBIT 238 BEFORE YOU?	18	FOUNDATION.
19	A YES.	19	MR. VOSS: THE FACTUAL DOCUMENTS.
20	Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT THIS IS, DR. THANG?	20	THE COURT: AND THEN WE CAN TALK ABOUT BRINGING OUT
21	A YES. THIS IS THE MAY 25, 2020 ARTICLE THAT I	21	OTHER FOCUSING PARTS.
22	AUTHORED.	22	MR. HART: APOLOGIZE TO THE COURT, THE JURY, AND
23	Q SO THIS IS YOUR MAY 25, 2020 ARTICLE?	23	COUNSEL. THIS IS OUR FIRST TIME WORKING THIS PROCESS, SO
24	A THAT'S RIGHT.	24	WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A FEW HICCUPS.
25	Q ALL RIGHT. AND TAKE A MINUTE TO LOOK THROUGH	25	BY MR. HART:
26	IT. WITH ALL THE PAGES, I THINK IT'S 20 PAGES 21 PAGES	26	Q ALL RIGHT. SO DO YOU SEE PAGE 7, DR. THANG?
	Page 47		Page 49
1	TOTAL.	1	A IDO.
2	CAN YOU TELL US IF THIS IS A TRUE AND CORRECT	2	Q AND YOU SEE IT ON YOUR MONITOR AS WELL?
3	COPY OF YOUR ARTICLE, PLUS AN ENGLISH TRANSLATION.	3	A YES.
4	A IT APPEARS SO.	4	Q OKAY. AND SO IN THIS PART OF PAGE 7
5	Q AND IF YOU LOOK AT PAGE 7 OF EXHIBIT 238, WE	5	MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, I'M JUST CONCERNED. IF WE CAN
6	SEE THE STATEMENT THAT'S WRITTEN ON THE WHITEBOARD; DO WE NOT?	6	INQUIRE IF THE JURY CAN READ THAT FROM OVER THERE. IT'S
7	A EXCEPT THAT THE FIRST HALF OF THAT PARAGRAPH	7	RATHER SMALL. IF IT CAN BE EXPANDED ON THE SCREEN OR
8	WAS MISSING HERE. YEAH, IT SAYS THAT: "IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE	8	THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE AND LET ME GO THROUGH
9	TWO HEARINGS, CONGRESSMAN SMITH" IT SHOULD BE "DORNAN"	9	THIS. COUNSEL IS GOING TO PUT ON THEIR CASE THAT THEY THINK
10	"SENT AN OFFICIAL LETTER REQUESTING INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE	10	THEY NEED TO PUT ON. IT IS EXPANDED THERE. BUT JUST IN TERMS
11	DEPARTMENT OF STATE TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA AND	11	OF EXHIBITS, IN GENERAL IN TERMS OF EXHIBITS, ANYTHING THAT
12	S.E.A.R.A.C."	12	IS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE, YOU WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO
13	MR. HART: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MOVE TO STRIKE AS	13	ACTUALLY LAY YOUR HANDS ON DURING DELIBERATION. SO DURING THE
14	NONRESPONSIVE.	14	COURSE OF THE TRIAL, NO. BUT WHEN YOU GET INTO THE JURY
15	THE COURT: SUSTAINED. SO THE FURTHER CLARIFICATION,	1.5	DELIBERATION ROOM, ANY EXHIBIT THAT IS ADMITTED WILL BE
16	IN ADDITION, WILL BE STRICKEN AND DISREGARDED BY THE JURY AT	16	AVAILABLE TO YOU TO LOOK AT UP CLOSE.
17	THIS TIME.	17	SO TO THE EXTENT YOU HAVE ANY DIFFICULTIES IN
18	SO WE NEED YOU I GUESS FOR EVERYBODY, THE	18	HERE, BUT TO THE EXTENT THERE ARE ANY DIFFICULTIES IN HERE
19	PROCESS IS TO ANSWER DIRECTLY, TO THE BEST OF YOUR ABILITY,	19	READING ANYTHING, YOU'LL HAVE THAT OPPORTUNITY IN THE
20 21	THE SPECIFIC QUESTION THAT IS DIRECTED TO YOU. YOUR COUNSEL WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO QUESTION YOU LATER ON IN THE CASE	20	DELIBERATION ROOM.
22	WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO QUESTION YOU LATER ON IN THE CASE TO ADD, CLARIFY, WHATEVER THE CASE MAY BE.	21	YOU MAY CONTINUE, MR. HART.
23	SO IF WE COULD FOCUS ON RESPONDING TO THE	22	MR. VOSS: I APPRECIATE THE CHANGE ON THE SCREEN.
24	SPECIFIC QUESTION TO THE BEST OF OUR ABILITIES.	23	THANK YOU.
25	BY MR. HART:	24 25	BY MR. HART:
26	Q SO, DR. THANG, WITHIN THE 20 OR SO PAGES THAT	26	Q OKAY. DR. THANG, WE HEARD MR. VOSS IN HIS OPENING STATEMENT SAY THAT YOU'RE NOT CLAIMING IN YOUR ARTICLE
			OF EARLY STATESHEAT SAT THAT TOO AE NOT CLAIMING IN TOOK ARTICLE

Page 50 Page 52 THAT MR. KHOA WAS A -- COMMITTED A CRIMINAL OFFENSE. IS THAT BECAUSE THE MANDATE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OFFICE IS TO INVESTIGATE POTENTIAL CRIMINAL ACTS IN VIOLATION OF 2 CORRECT? IS THAT YOUR CLAIM? 2 3 A THAT'S CORRECT. 3 FEDERAL CRIMINAL LAW AND REGULATIONS INVOLVING FUND Q SO YOU'VE WRITTEN THAT: MR. KHOA FALSELY MISMANAGEMENT --CLAIMED THAT HE HELD A DOCTORAL DEGREE IN THE APPLICATION FOR 5 MR. HART: I'M GOING TO MOVE TO STRIKE IF YOU GO TOO 5 6 A GRANT FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE. THAT WAS A CRIMINAL 6 FAR BEYOND 7 OFFENSE. THE COURT: HE'S FINE SO FAR. NO NEED TO -- THIS ISN'T AN OPPORTUNITY FOR A LONG --8 YOU'RE TELLING US THAT YOU DIDN'T MEAN TO 8 9 IMPLICATE A CRIMINAL OFFENSE TO PROFESSOR KHOA: YOU'RE JUST 9 THE WITNESS: -- AND ABUSE OF AUTHORITY. THAT'S MY 10 MAKING A STATEMENT FROM ONE OF DORNAN'S LETTERS? 10 INTENTION A THE FIRST PART OF THAT I PARAPHRASED FROM THE BY MR. HART: 11 11 12 DORNAN'S LETTER DATED APRIL 30TH, 1996. 12 Q THE FULL PARAGRAPH SAYS THAT CONGRESSMAN SMITH, 13 Q OKAY. SO WHEN YOU MAKE THIS STATEMENT --13 NOT DORNAN, SENT A LETTER REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION. BECAUSE AS WE CAN SEE FROM PAGE 7 OF EXHIBIT 238, YOU SEPARATE SO AT THAT POINT THERE HAD BEEN NO 14 14 INVESTIGATION YET; RIGHT? 15 THE STATEMENT FROM THE REST OF THE PARAGRAPH WITH A COLON: 15 16 "MR. KHOA FALSELY CLAIMED THAT HE HELD A DOCTORAL DEGREE IN 16 A THAT'S NOT CORRECT. 17 THE APPLICATION FOR A GRANT FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE. 17 O BECAUSE IT WAS ONLY BEING REQUESTED? 18 THAT WAS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE." 18 A HE INFORMED THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE OFFICE OF 19 WHAT ARE YOU INTENDING TO COMMUNICATE WITH THAT 19 INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE FINDINGS THAT HE ALREADY OBTAINED THROUGH HIS OWN FOUR-MONTH-LONG, INTENSE, RIGOROUS, SYSTEMATIC 20 SEPARATE SENTENCE? 20 A I COMMUNICATED THAT CONGRESSMAN DORNAN INVESTIGATION. AND HE REQUESTED INVESTIGATION NOT WHETHER 21 21 22 CONDUCTED A FOUR-MONTH-LONG INVESTIGATION INTO S.E.A.R.A.C. 2.2 THAT WAS THIS FACT BUT THE IMPLICATION --23 Q HOLD ON. 2.3 AND MR KHOA CONGRESSMAN DORNAN, AT THE TIME, WAS ON THE MR. HART: I OBJECT. HE'S SAYING HEARSAY. MOVE TO 24 24 STRIKE 25 ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF S.E.A.R.A.C., AND HE RECEIVED MANY 25 26 REQUESTS FROM GIVEN --26 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. THAT WILL BE DISREGARDED BY Page 51 Page 53 MR. HART: I'M GOING TO OBJECT. MOVE TO STRIKE AS 1 1 THE JURY AS WELL. 2 NONRESPONSIVE. 2 BY MR. HART: 3 THE COURT: LET ME RULE ON THE OBJECTION AND THE Q DR. THANG, ARE YOU REFERRING TO THE TWO DORNAN MOTION TO STRIKE BEFORE WE LETTERS THAT WE TALKED ABOUT IN YOUR DEPOSITION? 4 MR. HART: SORRY. 6 THE COURT: SO, YES, I THINK WE STARTED GETTING A BIT Q LET ME ASK YOU BEFORE WE LOOK AT THOSE: ARE FAR AFIELD THERE IN GOING INTO IT. SO WE WILL SUSTAIN THE YOU SAYING IN THIS ARTICLE THAT PROFESSOR KHOA COMMITTED A OBJECTION, AND THAT RESPONSE WILL BE STRICKEN AND DISREGARDED CRIME OR ARE YOU NOT? 9 BY THE JURY. A NO. YOU MAY ASK YOUR QUESTION AGAIN. 10 Q WHAT ARE YOU SAYING? 10 11 MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 11 A I WAS SAYING THAT PRESENTING FALSE STATEMENTS 12 BY MR HART-12 OR FALSE CLAIMS IN ORDER TO OBTAIN FEDERAL FUNDS, WHICH IS Q DR. THANG, WHAT ARE YOU INTENDING TO ESSENTIALLY AMERICAN TAX DOLLARS, WOULD BE A CRIME. 13 1.3 14 COMMUNICATE BY THAT ONE SENTENCE: THAT MR. KHOA FALSELY 14 O SO YOU'RE TELLING US THAT THIS STATEMENT IS 15 CLAIMED A DOCTORAL DEGREE? WHAT ARE YOU TRYING TO COMMUNICATE 15 JUST SAYING, AS YOUR ATTORNEY SAID IN OPENING, IF MR. KHOA THERE IF NOT WHAT WE READ IN THOSE TWO SENTENCES? 16 FALSELY CLAIMED A DOCTORAL CANDIDATE THAT WOULD BE A CRIMINAL 16 17 A MY INTENTION --17 OFFENSE; IS THAT YOUR CLAIM? 18 MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND 18 A CORRECT. THAT ACT WOULD BE A CRIME. ARGUMENTATIVE AS PHRASED. O OKAY. SO LET'S LOOK DOWN AT THE NEXT PARAGRAPH 19 19 IN THE LETTER, WHICH SAYS: "WHEN INVESTIGATED, MR. KHOA 20 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 20 21 THE WITNESS: MY INTENTION WAS TO EXPLAIN TO THE 21 EXPLAINED THAT OTHER PEOPLE SHOWED RESPECT TO HIM AND READER THAT MR. KHOA WAS INVESTIGATED BY CONGRESSMAN DORNAN, 22 ADDRESSED HIM BY THE TITLE DOCTOR EVEN THOUGH HE OBJECTED. 22 AND HE CAME UP WITH THE FINDING, AND HE COMMUNICATED THAT BUT SUCH AN EXPLANATION WAS NOT GOOD BECAUSE MR. KHOA HIMSELF 23 23 2.4 FINDING AFTER TRUTHFULLY VERIFYING THAT FINDING TO THE 2.4 CLAIMED TO HAVE A DOCTORAL DEGREE IN THE RESUME HE ATTACHED TO 25 DEPARTMENT OF STATE. AND IT'S TO THE OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR 25 THE GRANT APPLICATION." GENERAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE. SO NOW YOU'RE SAYING HE'S COMITTED A CRIMINAL 26 26

	Page 54		Page 56
1	OFFENSE?	1	MR. HART: THE SAME BINDER.
2	A I WAS SAYING THAT WE FOUND AND I WILL SHARE	2	THE COURT: OH, IT'S THE SAME? OH, MINE IS THE LAST
3	EVIDENCE OF HIS GRANT APPLICATIONS	3	ONE OF THE BINDER ONE.
4	(REPORTER ASKS FOR CLARIFICATION.)	4	MR. HART: SORRY.
5	THE WITNESS: I WAS SAYING THAT I WAS SHARED EVIDENCE	5	THE COURT: MY BINDER STOPS AT 222 IN THAT SECOND
6	BY CONGRESSMAN DORNAN'S STAFFER OF EVIDENCE THAT HE AND	6	BINDER. I BELIEVE IT'S THE LAST ONE IN THAT OTHER BINDER.
7	S.E.A.R.A.C. SUBMITTED GRANT APPLICATIONS WITH HIS	7	MR. VOSS: CAN WE ASK THAT THE SCREEN BE ON WHATEVER
8	C.V. INSERTED AS PART OF THE GRANT APPLICATIONS THAT SHOW HIM	8	EXHIBIT WE ARE PRESENTLY ON?
9	TO BE A DOCTOR ON THE LETTERHEAD, OFFICIAL LETTERHEAD OF HIS	9	THE COURT: IT WILL, BUT NOT UNTIL IT HAS TO ACTUALLY
10	ORGANIZATION.	10	BE PUBLISHED, SO NOT YET.
11	BY MR. HART:	11	SO YOU CAN TAKE THAT ONE DOWN. THAT'S FINE.
12	Q IS THAT YOUR EXPLANATION FOR: "BECAUSE	12	BUT WE DON'T NEED TO PUT ANYTHING ELSE UP QUITE YET.
13	MR. KHOA HIMSELF HAD CLAIMED TO HAVE A DOCTORAL DEGREE IN A	13	BY MR. HART:
14	RESUME ATTACHED TO THE GRANT APPLICATION"?	14	Q SO TAKE A MINUTE TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 221.
15	A THAT'S A FACT. I GOT A COPY OF HIS C.V. PULLED	15	DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?
16	OUT OF S.E.A.R.A.C.'S GRANT APPLICATION	16	A YES.
17	Q OKAY.	17	Q WHAT DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT TO BE?
18	A THAT SHOW THAT MR. KHOA WAS A DOCTOR ON	18	A THIS IS ONE OF S.E.A.R.A.C. OR I.R.A.C. AT THAT
19	OFFICIAL LETTERHEAD OF HIS ORGANIZATION.	19	TIME GRANT APPLICATION TO THE S.S.A. THAT IS THE SOCIAL
20	Q SO NOW YOU'RE CLAIMING THAT HE HAS COMMITTED A	20	SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.
21	CRIMINAL OFFENSE?	21	Q OKAY. AND DO YOU KNOW WHERE THIS CAME FROM?
22	A I DIDN'T SAY THAT, BECAUSE THAT'S NOT A	22	A I THINK THIS WAS FROM THE ARCHIVES OF UCI.
23	CONCLUSION FOR ME TO MAKE.	23	Q UC IRVINE?
24	Q WELL, IF WE FOLLOW YOUR CONSTRUCTION OF THIS	24	A UC IRVINE, YEAH.
25	LETTER, YOU'VE SAID, "IF HE FALSELY CLAIMS."	25	Q OKAY. AND SO IF WE TURN TO PAGE 7 OF
26	THEN WHEN HE SAID, "OTHER PEOPLE CALL ME	26	EXHIBIT 221, WE WILL SEE PROFESSOR KHOA'S RESUME.
	Page 55		Page 57
1	DOCTOR," YOU SAID THAT'S NOT GOOD ENOUGH BECAUSE HE'S ACTUALLY	1	THE COURT: JUST SO YOU'RE ON THE BOTTOM CENTER OF
2	ATTACHED A RESUME THAT CLAIMED HE HAD A DOCTORAL DEGREE.	2	THE PAGE THERE, IT SAYS "E-X," IT HAS EXHIBIT NUMBER IN THE
3	SO IF YOU PUT THE TWO TOGETHER, AREN'T YOU	3	MIDDLE OF THE PAGE, NOT ON THE RIGHT-HAND CORNER, IN THE
4	CALLING HIM A CRIMINAL NOW?	4	MIDDLE. SO THAT AFTER THE DASH, THAT IS THE NUMBER OF THE
5	A NO.	5	PAGE THAT IT IS.
6	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. COMPOUND. ARGUMENTATIVE.	6	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
7	GOES BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THE ALLEGATIONS THAT ARE SUPPOSED TO	7	BY MR. HART:
8	BE SUPPORTING GOES BEYOND THE ALLEGATION THAT'S BEING	8	Q DO YOU RECALL, DR. THANG, THAT THIS IS ONE OF
9	PRESENTED.	9	THE EXHIBITS WE TALKED ABOUT IN YOUR DEPOSITION?
10	THE COURT: OVERRULED. AND HE ALREADY RESPONDED THAT	10	A CORRECT.
11	HE WAS NOT.	11	Q AND IF YOU LOOK AT EXHIBIT 221, BEGINNING AT
12	BY MR. HART:	12	PAGE 5, WE SEE PROFESSOR KHOA'S RESUME?
13	Q OKAY. SO LET'S TAKE A LOOK	13	A YES.
14	THE COURT: I AM GOING TO ASK YOU, MR. HART, IF WE	14	Q "YES"?
15	ARE STARTING INTO THESE OTHER LETTERS, IF THIS WOULD BE A GOOD	15	A YES.
16	TIME FOR OUR BREAK BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO BREAK UP SUBJECT	16	Q OKAY. AND ANY REASON FOR YOU TO BELIEVE THIS
17	MATTER-WISE.	17	IS OTHER THAN A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THAT EXHIBIT WE
18	MR. HART: COULD WE GO AT 10:30?	18 19	LOOKED AT IN YOUR DEPOSITION? A IT JUST HAVE MISSING PAGES; RIGHT?
19	THE COURT: OKAY.	20	BECAUSE THE ENTIRE PROPOSAL WASN'T IN HERE. IT
20 21	MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO GET THIS THE COURT: NOT A PROBLEM	21	STARTED AT PAGE 61; RIGHT?
	THE COURT: NOT A PROBLEM. MR. HART: 221 IS THE NEXT EXHIBIT.	22	AND THEN A LETTER FROM IT'S SUPPORT LETTER,
22	MR. HART: 221 IS THE NEXT EXHIBIT. BY MR. HART:	23	I THINK, SUPPORTING CALLING HIM DR. LE XUAN KHOA. AND HE
23	Q CAN YOU TURN TO EXHIBIT 221?	24	SUBMITTED THIS LETTER TO OF SUPPORT ADDRESSING HIM AS
25	THE COURT: I THINK THAT'S PROBABLY GOING TO BE IN	25	DR. LE XUAN KHOA ON PAGE 4.
	THE BINDER.	26	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. MOVE TO STRIKE AS
26	THE BRODER.		

	Page 58		Page 60
1	NONRESPONSIVE.	1	Q OKAY. CAN YOU SHOW ME ANYWHERE IN THE SEVERAL
2	THE COURT: THE DISCUSSION REGARDING THE LETTER WILL	2	PAGES OF THE ATTACHED RESUME OF PROFESSOR KHOA WHERE HE CLAIMS
3	BE STRICKEN. THE QUESTION SIMPLY IS WHETHER THIS IS THE GRANT	3	TO BE A DOCTOR OR TO HAVE A DOCTORAL DEGREE?
4	APPLICATION. YOU'VE MADE REFERENCE THAT IT'S INCOMPLETE.	4	A HE CLAIMED IN THE NARRATIVE
5	THE WITNESS: IT'S INCOMPLETE.	5	(REPORTER ASKS FOR CLARIFICATION.)
6	BY MR. HART:	6	THE WITNESS: I'M SORRY. HE CLAIMED IN THE
7	Q LET'S FOCUS OUR ATTENTION ON THE RESUME THAT'S	7	NARRATIVE. AND THEN ADDED THIS TO HIS APPLICATION, CLEARLY IN
8	ATTACHED. OKAY?	8	SUPPORT OF HIS NARRATIVE WHERE HE CLAIMED TO BE A DOCTOR.
9	THE COURT: MR. HART, I THINK YOU MIGHT BE ABLE TO	9	MR. HART: MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE
10	SHORTCUT IT A LITTLE BIT.	10	QUESTION IS WITHIN
11	MR. VOSS: I WOULD OFFER THAT WE WOULD ALLOW THE	11	THE COURT: WAIT. YOU GOT TO LET ME RULE
12	EXHIBIT TO BE RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE, IF THERE WAS SUCH A	12	MR. HART: APOLOGIZE.
13	REQUEST.	13	THE COURT: ON THE MOTION TO STRIKE. IT WILL BE
14	MR. HART: OKAY. IN THAT CASE, WE WILL MOVE	14	GRANTED.
15	EXHIBIT 221 INTO EVIDENCE.	15	MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR
16	THE COURT: NO OBJECTION BY MR. VOSS. SO WE WILL	16	THE COURT: WAIT.
17	ADMIT THIS EXHIBIT NUMBER 221, WHICH IS PORTIONS OF ONE OF THE	17	IT WILL BE GRANTED. THE QUESTION WAS, IN THIS
18	GRANT APPLICATIONS. AND IT MAY BE PUBLISHED AT THIS POINT IN	18	RESUME. THAT WAS THE QUESTION, WHETHER IN THE RESUME THERE
19	TIME, IF YOU'D LIKE TO DO SO.	19	WAS ANYTHING SAYING THAT HE HAD A DOCTORATE.
20	(EXHIBIT 221 ADMITTED.)	20	MR. VOSS: I WOULD REQUEST A READING BACK OF THE
21	MR. HART: LET'S PLEASE PUT UP PAGE 7.	21	QUESTION FROM THE BECAUSE WHAT I HEARD WAS IN THE PAGES
22	(DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.)	22	THE COURT: OF THE RESUME.
23	BY MR. HART:	23	MR. VOSS: NO, I THOUGHT IT WAS SURROUNDING THIS.
24	Q DO YOU SEE THE PORTION ON PAGE 7 WHERE THE	24	THAT WOULD INCLUDE PAGE 4, NOT JUST THE RESUME.
25	EDUCATION IS DESCRIBED?	25	THE COURT: IT WAS RESUME. YOU WILL HAVE YOUR
26	A YES.	26	OPPORTUNITY TO FOLLOW UP.
	Page 59		Page 61
1	Q DOES IT SAY THAT PROFESSOR KHOA HAS A PH.D. OR	1	AND, MR. HART, YOU MAY ASK YOUR QUESTION.
2	THAT HE IS A DOCTOR?	2	BY MR. HART:
3	A NO.	3	Q IT'S TRUE, ISN'T IT, DR. THANG, THAT NOWHERE IN
4	Q IT SAYS WHAT HIS DOCTORAL THESIS TITLE WAS;	4	THE RESUME THAT'S ATTACHED TO WHATEVER THE GRANT APPLICATION
5	CORRECT?	5	IS, DOES PROFESSOR KHOA CLAIM TO BE A PH.D. OR HOLD A DOCTORAL
6	A CORRECT.	6	DEGREE; ISN'T THAT TRUE?
7	Q ANY REASON TO BELIEVE THAT'S INACCURATE?	7	A NO. IT'S BECAUSE HE SHOULD HAVE PUT IN
8	A IT'S IN THE MISSING PAGE. BECAUSE IN MANY	8	PARENTHESIS "INCOMPLETE"; RIGHT?
9	GRANT APPLICATIONS THAT WE HAD A COPY OF, HE REFERRED TO	9	HE HAD NOT COMPLETED HIS DOCTORAL THESIS TO
10	HIMSELF, EVEN IN NARRATIVE OF THE APPLICATION, THAT HE WAS A	10	QUALIFY AS A DOCTOR. HE DIDN'T SPECIFY THAT.
11	DOCTORATE.	11	Q ARE YOU TELLING US THAT HAVING A DOCTORAL
12	MR. HART: OBJECTION. MOVE TO STRIKE AS	12	THESIS MEANS YOU ARE CLAIMING TO BE A DOCTOR?
13	NONRESPONSIVE.	13	A TO ANY COMMON READER. I WOULD FEEL THAT WAY,
14	THE COURT: OVERRULED AS TO THAT ONE.	14	TOO. IF I WAS A REVIEWER OF THE GRANT APPLICATION, THAT'S
15	BY MR. HART:	15	MY THAT WOULD BE MY CONCLUSION; THAT HE HAD A DOCTORAL
16	Q DOES THIS STATEMENT, WITHIN HIS CURRICULUM	16	DEGREE.
17	VITAE, THAT HE AS A DOCTORAL THESIS ENTITLED, "THE PHILOSOPHY	17	Q OKAY. YOUR CONCLUSION. THANK YOU.
18	OF DHYANA BUDDHISM IN VIETNAM," IS THERE ANYTHING INACCURATE	18	HAD YOU SEEN THIS, OTHER THAN IN DORNAN'S
19	ABOUT THAT?	19	OFFICE BACK IN THE 90'S, BEFORE YOU WROTE YOUR ARTICLE?
20	A NO, BUT IT'S MISLEADING BECAUSE THE COURT, WAIT, STOP, THE "NO." SO WHEN THERE'S	20	A I HAVE SEEN PLENTY OF COPIES OF GRANT
21	THE COURT: WAIT. STOP. THE "NO." SO WHEN THERE'S	21	APPLICATIONS FROM S.E.A.R.A.C. DELIVERED TO THE OFFICE OF CONGRESSMAN DORNAN.
22	A YES-OR-NO QUESTION, THEN THERE'S A TO THE EXTENT IT CAN BE ANSWERED YES OR NO, THEN THAT'S THE RESPONSE.	23	Q AND THEY HAD THIS OR A SIMILAR COPY OF THIS
	DETERMINED TECONINO, THEN THAT IS THE NESTONIE.	"	
	AGAIN, YOUR ATTORNEY WILL HAVE OPPORTUNITIES	2.4	RESUME?
24	AGAIN, YOUR ATTORNEY WILL HAVE OPPORTUNITIES LATER ON TO OUESTION YOU.	24 25	RESUME? A AND THEY HAVE OTHER COPIES OF RESUMES WHERE IT
	AGAIN, YOUR ATTORNEY WILL HAVE OPPORTUNITIES LATER ON TO QUESTION YOU. BY MR. HART:	24 25 26	A AND THEY HAVE OTHER COPIES OF RESUMES WHERE IT STATE VERY SPECIFICALLY THAT HE WAS A DOCTOR.

Page 62 Page 64 MR. HART: OBJECTION. HEARSAY. THEREFORE, AND THEY ARE WELCOME TO SIT AND TAKE NOTES AND 2 OBSERVE, BUT WE DO HAVE TO MANAGE THE COURTROOM AND NOT 2 THE COURT: AGAIN, YOU HAVE TO ALLOW FOR --3 MR. HART: SORRY. I DON'T MEAN TO CUT YOU OUT OF THE 3 INTERRUPT OR INFLUENCE THE TRIAL ITSELF IN ANY WAY. 4 PROCESS, I PROMISE. MR. VOSS: WITHOUT LOOKING THEM UP AS I SIT HERE, THE 5 5 FORMALITIES REQUIRED OF REPORTERS OR MEDIA ON THE ORANGE THE COURT: SO YES, IN TERMS OF -- WELL, FIRST OF 6 ALL, THE QUESTION WAS, "THIS RESUME." SO, AGAIN, YOUR COUNSEL 6 COUNTY RULES HAVE BEEN FOLLOWED? THE COURT: THE APPLICATION IS TO RECORD. ANYBODY 7 WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO FOLLOW UP. WAS ATTACHMENTS OF INCLUDING THE REPORTER, CAN SIT IN THE COURTROOM AND TAKE 8 COPIES OF THIS RESUME 8 IF WE COULD HAVE THE QUESTION READ BACK, 9 9 10 PLEASE. 10 MR_VOSS: I DIDN'T REMEMBER THEM OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD. 11 (RECORD READ.) 11 12 THE COURT: AND OVERRULED. IT WAS SIMILAR. 12 THE COURT: IF THERE'S TO BE SOME SORT OF RECORDING, WHETHER IT BE AUDIO OR VIDEO OR PHOTOGRAPHS, THOSE SORTS OF 13 BY MR. HART: 13 O OKAY. AND DO YOU HAVE ANY OF THOSE OTHER THINGS IS WHAT NEEDS, BECAUSE THOSE ARE PROHIBITED ABSENT 14 14 RESUMES WITH YOU TODAY THAT SHOW HIM TO CLAIM A DOCTORAL 15 15 APPROVAL FROM THE COURT. BUT, AGAIN, WE'RE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC. THE 16 DEGREE? 16 PUBLIC IS WELCOME TO SIT IN HERE, AND THAT INCLUDES THE MEDIA. 17 17 A MY COUNSELS DO. THEY JUST CAN'T DO ANYTHING THAT'S PROHIBITED, WHICH WOULD BE 18 Q YOU THINK THEY'RE HERE TODAY? 18 19 A I THINK SO. 19 RECORDING OF ANY WAY PHOTOGRAPH ANYTHING YOU KNOW Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE EXHIBIT NUMBER IS? 20 INTERRUPTING OR INTERFERING WITH THE TRIAL IN ANY WAY, WHICH 20 WOULD INCLUDE WONDERING ABOUT THE COURTROOM, OR -- AND AGAIN, 21 A I DON'T. 21 22 THE COURT: OKAY. WE'RE RIGHT AT 10:30, STRAIGHT UP. 2.2 NO INTERVIEWS OR ANYTHING IN THE COURTROOM TO THE EXTENT -- OR SO, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE WILL GO AHEAD TAKE 23 TALK TO COUNSEL OR ANYBODY, THAT'S OUTSIDE THE COURTROOM, AND 2.3 OUR MORNING RECESS AT THIS TIME, 15 MINUTES, 10:45 BACK OBVIOUSLY, NOT WHILE WE'RE IN SESSION AND NOT IN THE COURTROOM 24 24 25 OUTSIDE. 25 AT ALL. 26 AGAIN, NO RESEARCH, DISCUSSING MATTERS. YOU 26 MR. VOSS: THANK YOU FOR THE CLARIFICATION. Page 63 Page 65 ARE STARTING TO HEAR THINGS, BUT THERE'S A WAYS TO GO, SO 1 THE COURT: NOT A PROBLEM. 1 2 PLEASE KEEP THAT OPEN MIND. 2 AND THEN, AS ALWAYS, WE HAVE A COUPLE OF JUROR THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME. 3 NOTES HERE. WE HAVE -- WHICH NUMBERS ARE THESE? THIS IS (IURY EXCUSED FOR MORNING RECESS.) NUMBER 5 WHICH IS MERIT PEOU P-E-O-U IN JUROR SEAT NUMBER 4 4 5 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE 5, WHO SAYS: "I WAS INFORMED BY MY EMPLOYER THAT I WILL ONLY 6 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 6 BE COVERED AND PAID FOR THREE DAYS FROM MY COMPANY. I'M THE COURT: SO WE'LL TAKE THAT BREAK, AND WE'LL PICK 7 REOUESTING TO BE EXCUSED AS I AM THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD. 7 8 UP AT THAT POINT IN TIME. THANK YOU VERY MUCH EVERYONE. 8 AND THIS WILL BE FINANCIAL HARDSHIP. I'M RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL 9 HOUSEHOLD FINANCES, INCLUDING MORTGAGE. MY SUPERVISOR CAN BE (MORNING RECESS.) 9 CONTACTED AT THE PROVIDED NAME AND PHONE NUMBER." 10 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE 10 11 THE OTHER NOTE WE HAVE IS FROM JUROR NO. 6, 11 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 12 12 THE COURT: LET'S GO ON THE RECORD. WE ARE OUTSIDE WHICH IS MR. HUNG: "I WILL HAVE A DOCTOR'S APPOINTMENT THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. ALL RIGHT. A COUPLE THINGS TO 13 (SPECIALIST) THAT WAS SCHEDULED ON NOVEMBER 13TH, WHICH IS 1.3 14 ADDRESS. 14 NEXT WEDNESDAY, THE EXACT APPOINTMENT TIME IS AT 10:30 A.M. 15 IT WAS DONE BEFORE I WAS APPOINTED TO BE A JUROR. LJUST 15 WE -- FIRST OF ALL, WE HAVE A REPORTER THAT HAS 16 CHECKED AND FOUND OUTLAST NIGHT. I APPARENTLY DID NOT 16 BEEN HERE IN THE COURTROOM. I THINK SHE WENT DOWN TO 17 REMEMBER TO INCLUDE WHEN THE COURT ASKED ME EARLIER. THANK 17 DOUBLE-CHECK WITH MEDIA RELATION. OBVIOUSLY, THIS IS AN OPEN PUBLIC COURTROOM; WELCOME TO BE HERE. BUT, I BELIEVE, SHE'S 18 YOU FOR YOUR UNDERSTANDING." 18 BEEN ADVISED IT CANNOT BE RECORDED. SHE'S WELCOME TO TAKE 19 SO AS I TOLD THEM YESTERDAY, I NEED TO HEAR 19 ABOUT ANY EXCUSE OR ANY PROBLEMS BEFORE WE EXCUSE THE OTHER NOTES, BUT THERE CANNOT BE ANY AUDIO OR VIDEO RECORDING. 2.0 20 21 JURORS. SO I'M NOT GOING TO CALL THEM IN INDIVIDUALLY. 21 AND SHE NEEDS TO REMAIN IN THE GALLERY. THEY 22 BEFORE WE RESUME WITH QUESTIONING, I'LL SAY WE'VE RECEIVED 22 ARE NOT WONDER AROUND THE COURTROOM. 2.3 SOME NOTES, AND AS WE'VE INDICATED PRIOR TO SWEARING EVERYONE THERE WILL BE NO INTERVIEWS OR ANYTHING IN THE 23 24 IN AS JUROR, RELEASING ANY JURORS, WE HAD TO FIND OUT ABOUT 24 COURTROOM. TO THE EXTENT THERE ARE ANY DISCUSSIONS WITH THE 25 REPORTER, THAT WOULD BE ON A BREAK, OUTSIDE OF THE COURTROOM. 25 ANY CONFLICTS OR REQUESTS BEFORE WE EXCUSED EVERYBODY. 26 AT THIS POINT, AS I STATED, WHAT MAY HAVE BEEN BUT, AGAIN, THIS IS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC, 26

	Page 66		Page 68
1	A GROUND YESTERDAY IS LIKELY NOT A GROUND TODAY. I WILL	1	COPY ALSO DOES NOT CLAIM A DOCTORAL DEGREE?
2	ACKNOWLEDGE THEM AND LEAVE IT AT THAT.	2	A IS IT GOING TO BE SHOWN?
3	THEN WE'LL SEE WHAT HAPPENS OVER THE NEXT	3	THE REPORTER: I'M SORRY?
4	COUPLE DAYS WITH OUR ATTENDANCE, BUT AT THIS POINT, THAT'S ALL	4	THE WITNESS: IS IT GOING TO BE SHOWN HERE?
5	I CAN DO IS ACKNOWLEDGE THEM SO THEY DON'T KEEP PESTERING MY	5	THE COURT: NOT YET. BUT YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND LOOK
6	STAFF ABOUT THE NOTES, AND THEN GO FROM THERE. I JUST WANTED	6	AT IT. TAKE YOUR TIME AND LOOK THROUGH THE PAGES THERE IN
7	TO KEEP EVERYBODY INFORMED.	7	FRONT OF YOU.
8	MR. HART: VERY GOOD. THANK YOU, JUDGE.	8	THE WITNESS: YES.
9	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HE'S CHECKING IN WITH THE	9	BY MR. HART:
10	JURORS, I ASSUME THEY'RE OUT THERE, AND WE'LL GET STARTED	10	Q SO YES, YOU AGREE WITH ME?
11	RIGHT BACK UP.	11	A ABOUT WHAT? SORRY.
12	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE	12	Q THE QUESTION WAS: DO YOU AGREE WITH ME THAT
13	PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)	13	THIS COPY OF YOUR RESUME, AS IN THE ONE FROM 221, DOES NOT
14	THE COURT: WE HAVE BEEN REJOINED BY ALL OF OUR	14	CLAIM A DOCTORAL DEGREE?
15	JURORS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.	15	A IT DOES CLAIM TO HAVE THAT HE HAS COMPLETED
16	WE DID RECEIVE A COUPLE OF NOTES FROM THE	16	DOCTORATE THESIS ENTITLED, SO AND THE TITLE WAS THERE.
17	JURORS. AND AS I INDICATED YESTERDAY, WITH ANY CONFLICTS OR	17	WHEN I SWORE IN THIS MORNING, I HAVE TO SWEAR
18	PROBLEMS OR SCHEDULING THINGS, WE NEED TO HEAR ABOUT BEFORE WE	18	TO TELL THE TRUTH AND ENTIRE TRUTH. THIS IS NOT ENTIRE TRUTH.
19	SWORE ALL THE JURORS AND EXCUSED EVERYBODY AT THIS TIME. SO	19	IT WAS MISLEADING.
20	THINGS THAT MAY HAVE BEEN A BASIS YESTERDAY, UNFORTUNATELY	20	Q WHAT PART OF THE RESUME ARE YOU LOOKING AT?
21	WE'RE NOT IN POSITION TO ACCOMMODATE NOW BECAUSE IF WE GET	21	A AT PAGE 3.
22	BELOW OUR NECESSARY NUMBER OF JURORS, THEN THE WHOLE THING HAS	22	Q OKAY.
23	TO BE STARTED ANEW. SO UNFORTUNATELY, THAT'S KIND OF THE	23	A "EDUCATION." IF I WERE HIM, I WOULD SAY:
24	CIRCUMSTANCE THAT WE FIND OURSELVES IN.	24	"PH.D. CANDIDATE."
25	SO I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU KNOW THAT	25	Q OKAY. HE DIDN'T SAY "PH.D. CANDIDATE." HE
26	WE DID SEE THOSE NOTES, BUT THAT'S WHERE WE STAND.	26	ALSO DIDN'T SAY "PH.D. DEGREE"; DID HE?
	Page 67		Page 69
1	WITH THAT, WE HAVE DR. THANG COME BACK UP TO	1	A BUT NOT IN HERE, BUT IN OTHER PAGES.
2	THE WITNESS STAND.	2	Q OKAY. NOT THE QUESTION.
			Q DIETH HOT THE QUESTION
3	AND, MR. HART, YOU MAY RESUME WITH YOUR	3	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1,
3	AND, MR. HART, YOU MAY RESUME WITH YOUR QUESTIONING.		
		3	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1,
4	QUESTIONING.	3 4	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37
4 5	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	3 4 5	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36.
4 5 6	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART:	3 4 5 6	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT
4 5 6 7	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING	3 4 5 6 7	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME.
4 5 6 7 8	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TOOK THE BREAK, THAT WAS A GRANT APPLICATION TO	3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. VOSS ON THAT.
4 5 6 7 8	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TOOK THE BREAK, THAT WAS A GRANT APPLICATION TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; CORRECT?	3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. VOSS ON THAT. MR. VOSS: HANG ON, YOUR HONOR.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TOOK THE BREAK, THAT WAS A GRANT APPLICATION TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE STATE DEPARTMENT; ISN'T IT?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. VOSS ON THAT. MR. VOSS: HANG ON, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: TAKE YOUR TIME. SO THAT'S THE COVER PAGE AND THE RESUME. MR. VOSS: IT'S GOING TO TAKE ME A FEW MOMENTS, YOUR
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TOOK THE BREAK, THAT WAS A GRANT APPLICATION TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE STATE DEPARTMENT; ISN'T IT? A THAT'S DIFFERENT DIFFERENT AGENCY.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. VOSS ON THAT. MR. VOSS: HANG ON, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: TAKE YOUR TIME. SO THAT'S THE COVER PAGE AND THE RESUME. MR. VOSS: IT'S GOING TO TAKE ME A FEW MOMENTS, YOUR HONOR. IT'S A FAIRLY LENGTHY DOCUMENT. I'M NOT SURE WHAT
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TOOK THE BREAK, THAT WAS A GRANT APPLICATION TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE STATE DEPARTMENT; ISN'T IT? A THAT'S DIFFERENT DIFFERENT AGENCY. Q TURN, IF YOU WOULD, TO EXHIBIT 222.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. VOSS ON THAT. MR. VOSS: HANG ON, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: TAKE YOUR TIME. SO THAT'S THE COVER PAGE AND THE RESUME. MR. VOSS: IT'S GOING TO TAKE ME A FEW MOMENTS, YOUR HONOR. IT'S A FAIRLY LENGTHY DOCUMENT. I'M NOT SURE WHAT HE'S TRYING NOT TO INCLUDE.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TOOK THE BREAK, THAT WAS A GRANT APPLICATION TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE STATE DEPARTMENT; ISN'T IT? A THAT'S DIFFERENT DIFFERENT AGENCY. Q TURN, IF YOU WOULD, TO EXHIBIT 222. THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE THE OTHER BINDER.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. VOSS ON THAT. MR. VOSS: HANG ON, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: TAKE YOUR TIME. SO THAT'S THE COVER PAGE AND THE RESUME. MR. VOSS: IT'S GOING TO TAKE ME A FEW MOMENTS, YOUR HONOR. IT'S A FAIRLY LENGTHY DOCUMENT. I'M NOT SURE WHAT HE'S TRYING NOT TO INCLUDE. THE COURT: WE DON'T NEED ANY COMMENTARY. WE JUST
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TOOK THE BREAK, THAT WAS A GRANT APPLICATION TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE STATE DEPARTMENT; ISN'T IT? A THAT'S DIFFERENT DIFFERENT AGENCY. Q TURN, IF YOU WOULD, TO EXHIBIT 222. THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE THE OTHER BINDER. BY MR. HART:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. VOSS ON THAT. MR. VOSS: HANG ON, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: TAKE YOUR TIME. SO THAT'S THE COVER PAGE AND THE RESUME. MR. VOSS: IT'S GOING TO TAKE ME A FEW MOMENTS, YOUR HONOR. IT'S A FAIRLY LENGTHY DOCUMENT. I'M NOT SURE WHAT HE'S TRYING NOT TO INCLUDE. THE COURT: WE DON'T NEED ANY COMMENTARY. WE JUST NEED TO TAKE YOUR TIME TO REVIEW IT AND THEN YOUR DECISION.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TOOK THE BREAK, THAT WAS A GRANT APPLICATION TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE STATE DEPARTMENT; ISN'T IT? A THAT'S DIFFERENT DIFFERENT AGENCY. Q TURN, IF YOU WOULD, TO EXHIBIT 222. THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE THE OTHER BINDER. BY MR. HART: Q DO YOU HAVE IT?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. VOSS ON THAT. MR. VOSS: HANG ON, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: TAKE YOUR TIME. SO THAT'S THE COVER PAGE AND THE RESUME. MR. VOSS: IT'S GOING TO TAKE ME A FEW MOMENTS, YOUR HONOR. IT'S A FAIRLY LENGTHY DOCUMENT. I'M NOT SURE WHAT HE'S TRYING NOT TO INCLUDE. THE COURT: WE DON'T NEED ANY COMMENTARY. WE JUST NEED TO TAKE YOUR TIME TO REVIEW IT AND THEN YOUR DECISION. THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR, MAY I GO BACK TO SOMETHING?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TOOK THE BREAK, THAT WAS A GRANT APPLICATION TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE STATE DEPARTMENT; ISN'T IT? A THAT'S DIFFERENT DIFFERENT AGENCY. Q TURN, IF YOU WOULD, TO EXHIBIT 222. THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE THE OTHER BINDER. BY MR. HART: Q DO YOU HAVE IT? A YES.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. VOSS ON THAT. MR. VOSS: HANG ON, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: TAKE YOUR TIME. SO THAT'S THE COVER PAGE AND THE RESUME. MR. VOSS: IT'S GOING TO TAKE ME A FEW MOMENTS, YOUR HONOR. IT'S A FAIRLY LENGTHY DOCUMENT. I'M NOT SURE WHAT HE'S TRYING NOT TO INCLUDE. THE COURT: WE DON'T NEED ANY COMMENTARY. WE JUST NEED TO TAKE YOUR TIME TO REVIEW IT AND THEN YOUR DECISION. THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR, MAY I GO BACK TO SOMETHING? THE COURT: NO, NOT RIGHT NOW.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TOOK THE BREAK, THAT WAS A GRANT APPLICATION TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE STATE DEPARTMENT; ISN'T IT? A THAT'S DIFFERENT DIFFERENT AGENCY. Q TURN, IF YOU WOULD, TO EXHIBIT 222. THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE THE OTHER BINDER. BY MR. HART: Q DO YOU HAVE IT? A YES. Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT EXHIBIT 222 IS, PLEASE?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. VOSS ON THAT. MR. VOSS: HANG ON, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: TAKE YOUR TIME. SO THAT'S THE COVER PAGE AND THE RESUME. MR. VOSS: IT'S GOING TO TAKE ME A FEW MOMENTS, YOUR HONOR. IT'S A FAIRLY LENGTHY DOCUMENT. I'M NOT SURE WHAT HE'S TRYING NOT TO INCLUDE. THE COURT: WE DON'T NEED ANY COMMENTARY. WE JUST NEED TO TAKE YOUR TIME TO REVIEW IT AND THEN YOUR DECISION. THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR, MAY I GO BACK TO SOMETHING? THE COURT: NO, NOT RIGHT NOW. THE WITNESS: I FOUND SOMETHING IN
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TOOK THE BREAK, THAT WAS A GRANT APPLICATION TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE STATE DEPARTMENT; ISN'T IT? A THAT'S DIFFERENT DIFFERENT AGENCY. Q TURN, IF YOU WOULD, TO EXHIBIT 222. THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE THE OTHER BINDER. BY MR. HART: Q DO YOU HAVE IT? A YES. Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT EXHIBIT 222 IS, PLEASE? A YES. IT WAS A GRANT APPLICATIONS TO THE	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. VOSS ON THAT. MR. VOSS: HANG ON, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: TAKE YOUR TIME. SO THAT'S THE COVER PAGE AND THE RESUME. MR. VOSS: IT'S GOING TO TAKE ME A FEW MOMENTS, YOUR HONOR. IT'S A FAIRLY LENGTHY DOCUMENT. I'M NOT SURE WHAT HE'S TRYING NOT TO INCLUDE. THE COURT: WE DON'T NEED ANY COMMENTARY. WE JUST NEED TO TAKE YOUR TIME TO REVIEW IT AND THEN YOUR DECISION. THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR, MAY I GO BACK TO SOMETHING? THE COURT: NO, NOT RIGHT NOW. THE WITNESS: I FOUND SOMETHING IN THE COURT: THE QUESTION IS: THE COVER PAGE AND THEN
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TOOK THE BREAK, THAT WAS A GRANT APPLICATION TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE STATE DEPARTMENT; ISN'T IT? A THAT'S DIFFERENT DIFFERENT AGENCY. Q TURN, IF YOU WOULD, TO EXHIBIT 222. THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE THE OTHER BINDER. BY MR. HART: Q DO YOU HAVE IT? A YES. Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT EXHIBIT 222 IS, PLEASE? A YES. IT WAS A GRANT APPLICATIONS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DATED AUGUST 7, 1986.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. VOSS ON THAT. MR. VOSS: HANG ON, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: TAKE YOUR TIME. SO THAT'S THE COVER PAGE AND THE RESUME. MR. VOSS: IT'S GOING TO TAKE ME A FEW MOMENTS, YOUR HONOR. IT'S A FAIRLY LENGTHY DOCUMENT. I'M NOT SURE WHAT HE'S TRYING NOT TO INCLUDE. THE COURT: WE DON'T NEED ANY COMMENTARY. WE JUST NEED TO TAKE YOUR TIME TO REVIEW IT AND THEN YOUR DECISION. THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR, MAY I GO BACK TO SOMETHING? THE COURT: NO, NOT RIGHT NOW. THE WITNESS: I FOUND SOMETHING IN THE COURT: THE QUESTION IS: THE COVER PAGE AND THEN THE FULL RESUME, 36 THROUGH 39.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TOOK THE BREAK, THAT WAS A GRANT APPLICATION TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE STATE DEPARTMENT; ISN'T IT? A THAT'S DIFFERENT DIFFERENT AGENCY. Q TURN, IF YOU WOULD, TO EXHIBIT 222. THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE THE OTHER BINDER. BY MR. HART: Q DO YOU HAVE IT? A YES. Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT EXHIBIT 222 IS, PLEASE? A YES. IT WAS A GRANT APPLICATIONS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DATED AUGUST 7, 1986. Q AND IF YOU LOOK AT PAGE 36 OF EXHIBIT 222, DO	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. VOSS ON THAT. MR. VOSS: HANG ON, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: TAKE YOUR TIME. SO THAT'S THE COVER PAGE AND THE RESUME. MR. VOSS: IT'S GOING TO TAKE ME A FEW MOMENTS, YOUR HONOR. IT'S A FAIRLY LENGTHY DOCUMENT. I'M NOT SURE WHAT HE'S TRYING NOT TO INCLUDE. THE COURT: WE DON'T NEED ANY COMMENTARY. WE JUST NEED TO TAKE YOUR TIME TO REVIEW IT AND THEN YOUR DECISION. THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR, MAY I GO BACK TO SOMETHING? THE COURT: NO, NOT RIGHT NOW. THE WITNESS: I FOUND SOMETHING IN THE COURT: THE QUESTION IS: THE COVER PAGE AND THEN THE FULL RESUME, 36 THROUGH 39. MR. VOSS: EXCLUDING ALL THE OTHER
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TOOK THE BREAK, THAT WAS A GRANT APPLICATION TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE STATE DEPARTMENT; ISN'T IT? A THAT'S DIFFERENT DIFFERENT AGENCY. Q TURN, IF YOU WOULD, TO EXHIBIT 222. THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE THE OTHER BINDER. BY MR. HART: Q DO YOU HAVE IT? A YES. Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT EXHIBIT 222 IS, PLEASE? A YES. IT WAS A GRANT APPLICATIONS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DATED AUGUST 7, 1986. Q AND IF YOU LOOK AT PAGE 36 OF EXHIBIT 222, DO WE SEE THE SAME RESUME AS WE SAW ATTACHED TO EXHIBIT 221?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. VOSS ON THAT. MR. VOSS: HANG ON, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: TAKE YOUR TIME. SO THAT'S THE COVER PAGE AND THE RESUME. MR. VOSS: IT'S GOING TO TAKE ME A FEW MOMENTS, YOUR HONOR. IT'S A FAIRLY LENGTHY DOCUMENT. I'M NOT SURE WHAT HE'S TRYING NOT TO INCLUDE. THE COURT: WE DON'T NEED ANY COMMENTARY. WE JUST NEED TO TAKE YOUR TIME TO REVIEW IT AND THEN YOUR DECISION. THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR, MAY I GO BACK TO SOMETHING? THE COURT: NO, NOT RIGHT NOW. THE WITNESS: I FOUND SOMETHING IN THE COURT: THE QUESTION IS: THE COVER PAGE AND THEN THE FULL RESUME, 36 THROUGH 39. MR. VOSS: EXCLUDING ALL THE OTHER THE COURT: THE QUESTION WAS
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TOOK THE BREAK, THAT WAS A GRANT APPLICATION TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE STATE DEPARTMENT; ISN'T IT? A THAT'S DIFFERENT DIFFERENT AGENCY. Q TURN, IF YOU WOULD, TO EXHIBIT 222. THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE THE OTHER BINDER. BY MR. HART: Q DO YOU HAVE IT? A YES. Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT EXHIBIT 222 IS, PLEASE? A YES. IT WAS A GRANT APPLICATIONS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DATED AUGUST 7, 1986. Q AND IF YOU LOOK AT PAGE 36 OF EXHIBIT 222, DO WE SEE THE SAME RESUME AS WE SAW ATTACHED TO EXHIBIT 221? THOSE WOULD BE PAGES 36 THROUGH 39.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. VOSS ON THAT. MR. VOSS: HANG ON, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: TAKE YOUR TIME. SO THAT'S THE COVER PAGE AND THE RESUME. MR. VOSS: IT'S GOING TO TAKE ME A FEW MOMENTS, YOUR HONOR. IT'S A FAIRLY LENGTHY DOCUMENT. I'M NOT SURE WHAT HE'S TRYING NOT TO INCLUDE. THE COURT: WE DON'T NEED ANY COMMENTARY. WE JUST NEED TO TAKE YOUR TIME TO REVIEW IT AND THEN YOUR DECISION. THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR, MAY I GO BACK TO SOMETHING? THE COURT: NO, NOT RIGHT NOW. THE WITNESS: I FOUND SOMETHING IN THE COURT: THE QUESTION IS: THE COVER PAGE AND THEN THE FULL RESUME, 36 THROUGH 39. MR. VOSS: EXCLUDING ALL THE OTHER THE COURT: THE QUESTION WAS MR. VOSS: 36 TO 39?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	QUESTIONING. MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE EXHIBIT 221 THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TOOK THE BREAK, THAT WAS A GRANT APPLICATION TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE STATE DEPARTMENT; ISN'T IT? A THAT'S DIFFERENT DIFFERENT AGENCY. Q TURN, IF YOU WOULD, TO EXHIBIT 222. THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE THE OTHER BINDER. BY MR. HART: Q DO YOU HAVE IT? A YES. Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT EXHIBIT 222 IS, PLEASE? A YES. IT WAS A GRANT APPLICATIONS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DATED AUGUST 7, 1986. Q AND IF YOU LOOK AT PAGE 36 OF EXHIBIT 222, DO WE SEE THE SAME RESUME AS WE SAW ATTACHED TO EXHIBIT 221?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. HART: I WOULD LIKE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1, AND ALSO PAGES 38 37 THE COURT: 36. MR. HART: 36, 37, 38, AND 39. SO JUST THE FRONT PAGE AND THE RESUME. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR FROM MR. VOSS ON THAT. MR. VOSS: HANG ON, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: TAKE YOUR TIME. SO THAT'S THE COVER PAGE AND THE RESUME. MR. VOSS: IT'S GOING TO TAKE ME A FEW MOMENTS, YOUR HONOR. IT'S A FAIRLY LENGTHY DOCUMENT. I'M NOT SURE WHAT HE'S TRYING NOT TO INCLUDE. THE COURT: WE DON'T NEED ANY COMMENTARY. WE JUST NEED TO TAKE YOUR TIME TO REVIEW IT AND THEN YOUR DECISION. THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR, MAY I GO BACK TO SOMETHING? THE COURT: NO, NOT RIGHT NOW. THE WITNESS: I FOUND SOMETHING IN THE COURT: THE QUESTION IS: THE COVER PAGE AND THEN THE FULL RESUME, 36 THROUGH 39. MR. VOSS: EXCLUDING ALL THE OTHER THE COURT: THE QUESTION WAS

	Page 70		Page 72
1	MR. VOSS: WE WOULD HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THOSE BEING	1	A YES.
2	RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.	2	Q SO AT THE TOP OF THIS EXHIBIT, WE SEE A FAX
3	THE COURT: SO, AGAIN, JUST SO WE HAVE A CLEAR	3	NUMBER AND A DATE FROM MAY 3RD OF 1996.
4	RECORD, WE HAVE EXHIBIT 222. THE FOLLOWING PAGES WILL BE	4	WAS THIS LETTER FAXED TO YOU?
5	ADMITTED AS OPPOSED TO THE ENTIRETY OF IT: PAGE NUMBER 1,	5	A I DON'T REMEMBER.
6	WHICH IS THE COVER PAGE FOR THE APPLICATION, AND THEN PAGES 36	6	Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT NUMBER?
7	THROUGH AND INCLUDING PAGE 39, WHICH IS THE ENTIRETY OF THE	7	A NO.
8	PLAINTIFF'S RESUME THAT WAS ATTACHED TO THIS APPLICATION. SO	8	Q WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT WHOEVER YOU GOT
9	THOSE PAGES WILL BE ADMITTED AT THIS POINT.	9	THIS FROM RECEIVED IT BY FAX FROM DORNAN'S OFFICE?
10	(EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 1 AND PAGES 36 TO 39 ADMITTED.)	10	A NO. THIS, I DON'T REMEMBER. BUT THIS CAME
11	THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU MAY CONTINUE.	11	DIRECTLY, BECAUSE I WAS VERY GOOD FRIEND OF MR. SANTOLI.
12	MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I WOULD LIKE TO	12	Q AND WHO IS MR. SANTOLI?
13	PUBLISH, FOR THE JURY'S BENEFIT, EXHIBIT 222, PAGE 38.	13	A MR. SANTOLI, HE SERVE IN VIETNAM, IN THE
14	THE COURT: ANYTHING FOR THOSE THAT WERE ADMITTED MAY	14	VIETNAM WAR, AS AN INFANTRYMAN. AND WHEN HE COMPLETED HIS
15	BE PUBLISHED.	15	TOUR OF DUTY, HE RETURN TO U.S. AND HE BECAME AN AUTHOR, A
16	(DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.)	16	BEST-SELLER AUTHOR. AND I KNEW HIM AND HIS VIETNAMESE WIFE
17	MR. HART: OKAY. SO YOU CAN ZOOM INTO THE EDUCATION	17	YEARS BEFORE HE JOINED MR. DORNAN AS A STAFFER.
18	PART.	18	AND HE WAS ASSIGNED BY DORNAN AS A DEDICATED
19	BY MR. HART:	19	STAFF TO CONDUCT THE FOUR-MONTH-LONG INVESTIGATION INTO
20	Q DR. THANG, WE SEE, AGAIN, THIS COPY OF THE	20	S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA, THE PLAINTIFF.
21	RESUME ATTACHED TO THE GRANT PROPOSAL BY I.R.A.C. TO THE	21	Q OKAY. AND WHAT WAS HIS POSITION IN DORNAN'S
22	HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT; AGAIN DECLARES A	22	OFFICE IN SPRING OF 1996? DO YOU KNOW?
23	DOCTORAL THESIS; IT DOES NOT CLAIM TO BE A DOCTORAL DEGREE;	23	A HE WAS A LEGAL ASSISTANT. IT WAS STATED THERE,
24	CORRECT?	24	"MY ASSISTANT, AL SANTOLI."
25	A YES.	25 26	Q OKAY. DO YOU BELIEVE THIS TO BE LET ME ASK
26	Q OKAY. LET'S MOVE ON NOW TO EXHIBIT	26	YOU THIS FIRST: IS THIS A COPY OF ONE OF THE LETTERS THAT YOU
	Page 71		Page 73
1	MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, OBJECT TO THE HIGHLIGHTING.	1	RELIED ON WHEN YOU PUBLISHED YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE?
2	THAT'S NOT PART OF THE DOCUMENT.	2	A IT WAS ONE OF THE MANY DOCUMENTS, YES.
3	THE COURT: YES, THE HIGHLIGHTING IS NOT. SO WE CAN	3	Q OKAY. WELL, I'M NOT ASKING ABOUT "THE MANY".
4	GO AHEAD AND I THINK WE'RE DONE WITH THIS, SO WE CAN TAKE	4	I'M ASKING ABOUT THIS ONE.
5	IT DOWN.	5	IS THIS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF ONE OF THE
6	BY MR. HART:	6	DOCUMENTS THAT YOU RELIED ON?
7	Q DR. THANG, LET'S TAKE A LOOK NOW AT	7	A THAT'S CORRECT.
8	EXHIBIT 233.	8	Q OKAY. AND DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU LOOKED AT
9	DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT EXHIBIT 233 IS?	9	THIS LETTER IN RELATION TO PUBLISHING THE ARTICLE ON MAY 25TH
10	A YES, I DO.	10	OF 2020?
11	Q AND WHAT DO YOU RECOGNIZE IT TO BE?	11	A OH, YES.
12	A IT WAS A LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN TO THE	12	Q HOW CLOSE IN TIME?
13	DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT, OR O.R.R.,	13	A I HAVE HAD THIS ONE ARCHIVED. AND WHEN I
14	WHICH WAS PART OF THE ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND	14	RECEIVED THE TWO PUBLICATIONS BY MR. KHOA DISPARAGING US, I
15	FAMILIES, WHICH WAS UNDER THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN	15	LOOK AT THESE DOCUMENTS THAT I HAVE IN ARCHIVE.
16	SERVICES.	16	Q OKAY. SO AS OF APRIL AND MAY OF 2020, YOU'VE
17	Q CAN YOU TELL ME WHERE THIS COPY OF THE	17	GONE BACK INTO YOUR ARCHIVES AND FOUND THIS LETTER?
18	APRIL 2ND, 1996 ROBERT DORNAN LETTER CAME FROM?	18	A YES.
19	A IT CAME FROM MR. AL SANTOLI, THE STAFF OF	19	Q AND IS THIS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THAT
20 21	DORNAN, ASSIGNED TO CONDUCT INVESTIGATION I HAD MENTIONED ABOUT, THE FOUR-MONTH-LONG INVESTIGATION. AND YOU CAN SEE HIS	20	LETTER THAT YOU FOUND?
22	NAME ON PAGE 2, AT THE BOTTOM, THE LAST SENTENCE. HE GAVE ME	21	A IT IS. MR. HART: OKAY. YOUR HONOR, I WOULD MOVE THIS INTO
23	THE COPY OF THIS LETTER.	23	EVIDENCE, PLEASE.
24	Q I'M SORRY. WHERE IS IT? OH, AL	24	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION.
25	A SANTOLI.	25	THE COURT: OKAY. IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND FOR THE
26	Q SANTOLI?	26	RECORD, IT'S TWO PAGES, IS THE ENTIRETY OF THE EXHIBIT.
			, , , ,

	Page 74		Page 76
1	MR. HART: 223.	1	AND IF YOU READ, AGAIN, MY ARTICLE OF MAY 25,
2	THE COURT: 233.	2	2020 AND YOU HAVE EXCERPT HERE MOST OF IT WAS ABOUT
3	MR. HART: 233. SORRY.	3	SMITH, CONGRESSMAN SMITH.
4	(EXHIBIT 233 ADMITTED.)	4	AND I HAD TO HURRIEDLY COME OUT WITH A
5	BY MR. HART:	5	RESPONSE, BECAUSE THE SECOND WRITING OF MR. KHOA WAS VERY
6	Q SO, DR. THANG, IF YOU LOOKED AT THIS LETTER,	6	DAMAGING TO ORGANIZATION. I HAD TO COME OUT AND IT WAS A
7	DID YOU RELY ON THIS IN ANY WAY TO FACT-CHECK YOURSELF FOR	7	TRICK OF THE MIND. IT'S SO OBVIOUS THAT I DIDN'T EVEN CHECK
8	WHAT YOU WERE SAYING IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 ARTICLE?	8	THAT.
9	A MOST DOCUMENTS, YES.	9	AND YOU MAKE THE SAME MISTAKE AT DEPOSITION,
10	O SO THE ANSWER IS YES, YOU DID REFER TO THIS?	10	MR. COUNSEL. AND IT'S VERY COMMON. IT'S SO OBVIOUS. OFTEN
11	A YES.	11	YOU DON'T DOUBLE-CHECK WHAT YOU THOUGHT TO BE OBVIOUS.
12	Q AND SO CAN YOU EXPLAIN TO US WHY, WHEN YOU	12	BY MR. HART:
13	WROTE THE ARTICLE, IF YOU'RE REFERRING TO THIS LETTER OR	13	
14		14	Q OKAY. BUT, DR. THANG, YOU HAVE THIS REFERENCE
	REVIEWING THIS LETTER IN REALTIME, YOUR ARTICLE SAYS THE		MATERIAL IN FRONT OF YOU WHEN YOU'RE PREPARING THE ARTICLE.
15	AUTHOR OF THE LETTER WAS CHRIS SMITH INSTEAD OF ROBERT DORNAN?	15	A NO. I READ A LOT OF THEM, AND THEN I SAT DOWN
16	A YES. AT THE TIME, I WAS WORKING WITH	16	AND WROTE IT IN JUST THREE DAYS TO RESPOND IMMEDIATELY BEFORE
17	AL SANTOLI TO SUPPORT A LEGISLATION AUTHORED BY CONGRESSMAN	17	THE DAMAGE GOT TO A POINT OF NO RETURN, BEYOND MY CONTROL. I
18	CHRISTOPHER SMITH, A VERY GOOD FRIEND OF MINE, TOO, OF NEW	18	HAD TO MITIGATE IT IMMEDIATELY.
19	JERSEY. AND THAT LEGISLATION, IF PASSED, WOULD BLOCK THE	19	Q ISN'T IT TRUE, DR. THANG, THAT YOU DIDN'T FIND
20	FORCED REPATRIATION OF TENS OF THOUSANDS	20	THIS LETTER UNTIL AFTER YOU GOT SUED?
21	(REPORTER ASKS FOR CLARIFICATION.)	21	A NO, I ALWAYS HAD IT.
22	THE WITNESS: IT WOULD BLOCK THE FORCED REPATRIATION	22	Q YOU ALWAYS REMEMBERED, BUT YOU DIDN'T HAVE THIS
23	OF TENS OF THOUSANDS BOAT PEOPLE.	23	LETTER UNTIL AFTER YOU GOT SUED?
24	BY MR. HART:	24	A NO, I HAD IT WITH ME ALL ALONG, AND MORE THAN
25	Q LET ME INTERRUPT, JUST BRIEFLY.	25	JUST THIS LETTER.
26	ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT BACK IN 1996 OR IN 2020?	26	Q OKAY. SO WHAT FACT-CHECK DID THIS LETTER
	Page 75		Page 77
1	Page 75 A IN 1995 AND 1996.	1	Page 77 PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A
1 2		1 2	
	A IN 1995 AND 1996.		PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A
2	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS	2	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION.
2	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE.	2	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT?
2 3 4	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH	2 3 4	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL
2 3 4 5	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL	2 3 4 5	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION.
2 3 4 5 6	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS.	2 3 4 5	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996
2 3 4 5 6	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS MR. VOSS: IT WAS ASKED IN THE NARRATIVE.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE WHITEBOARD?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS MR. VOSS: IT WAS ASKED IN THE NARRATIVE. THE COURT: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE NARRATIVE,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE WHITEBOARD? A "IN ADDITION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS MR. VOSS: IT WAS ASKED IN THE NARRATIVE. THE COURT: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE NARRATIVE, BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A DEBATE ABOUT IT.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE WHITEBOARD? A "IN ADDITION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT LR.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. HAS MISREPRESENTED MR. LE XUAN KHOA."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS MR. VOSS: IT WAS ASKED IN THE NARRATIVE. THE COURT: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE NARRATIVE, BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A DEBATE ABOUT IT. GO RIGHT AHEAD, COUNSEL, WITH YOUR QUESTION.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE WHITEBOARD? A "IN ADDITION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT I.R.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. HAS MISREPRESENTED MR. LE XUAN KHOA." THE COURT: CAN I INTERRUPT YOU? CAN YOU DIRECT US
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS MR. VOSS: IT WAS ASKED IN THE NARRATIVE. THE COURT: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE NARRATIVE, BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A DEBATE ABOUT IT. GO RIGHT AHEAD, COUNSEL, WITH YOUR QUESTION. MR. HART: THANK YOU.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE WHITEBOARD? A "IN ADDITION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT I.R.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. HAS MISREPRESENTED MR. LE XUAN KHOA." THE COURT: CAN I INTERRUPT YOU? CAN YOU DIRECT US IN THE LETTER WHAT PARAGRAPH OR PAGE YOU'RE LOOKING AT.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS MR. VOSS: IT WAS ASKED IN THE NARRATIVE. THE COURT: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE NARRATIVE, BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A DEBATE ABOUT IT. GO RIGHT AHEAD, COUNSEL, WITH YOUR QUESTION. MR. HART: THANK YOU. BY MR. HART:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE WHITEBOARD? A "IN ADDITION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT I.R.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. HAS MISREPRESENTED MR. LE XUAN KHOA." THE COURT: CAN I INTERRUPT YOU? CAN YOU DIRECT US IN THE LETTER WHAT PARAGRAPH OR PAGE YOU'RE LOOKING AT. THE WITNESS: OKAY.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS MR. VOSS: IT WAS ASKED IN THE NARRATIVE. THE COURT: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE NARRATIVE, BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A DEBATE ABOUT IT. GO RIGHT AHEAD, COUNSEL, WITH YOUR QUESTION. MR. HART: THANK YOU. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, MY QUESTION IS VERY SIMPLE: IF YOU	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE WHITEBOARD? A "IN ADDITION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT I.R.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. HAS MISREPRESENTED MR. LE XUAN KHOA." THE COURT: CAN I INTERRUPT YOU? CAN YOU DIRECT US IN THE LETTER WHAT PARAGRAPH OR PAGE YOU'RE LOOKING AT. THE WITNESS: OKAY. THE COURT: AND THEN YOU CAN START OVER.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS MR. VOSS: IT WAS ASKED IN THE NARRATIVE. THE COURT: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE NARRATIVE, BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A DEBATE ABOUT IT. GO RIGHT AHEAD, COUNSEL, WITH YOUR QUESTION. MR. HART: THANK YOU. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, MY QUESTION IS VERY SIMPLE: IF YOU HAVE ACCESS TO THIS LETTER AT THE TIME YOU'RE PREPARING YOUR	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE WHITEBOARD? A "IN ADDITION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT I.R.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. HAS MISREPRESENTED MR. LE XUAN KHOA." THE COURT: CAN I INTERRUPT YOU? CAN YOU DIRECT US IN THE LETTER WHAT PARAGRAPH OR PAGE YOU'RE LOOKING AT. THE WITNESS: OKAY. THE COURT: AND THEN YOU CAN START OVER. THE WITNESS: PAGE 1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS MR. VOSS: IT WAS ASKED IN THE NARRATIVE. THE COURT: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE NARRATIVE, BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A DEBATE ABOUT IT. GO RIGHT AHEAD, COUNSEL, WITH YOUR QUESTION. MR. HART: THANK YOU. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, MY QUESTION IS VERY SIMPLE: IF YOU HAVE ACCESS TO THIS LETTER AT THE TIME YOU'RE PREPARING YOUR MAY 25 ARTICLE, WHY IS IT THAT YOU MISIDENTIFY THE AUTHOR OF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE WHITEBOARD? A "IN ADDITION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT I.R.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. HAS MISREPRESENTED MR. LE XUAN KHOA." THE COURT: CAN I INTERRUPT YOU? CAN YOU DIRECT US IN THE LETTER WHAT PARAGRAPH OR PAGE YOU'RE LOOKING AT. THE WITNESS: OKAY. THE COURT: AND THEN YOU CAN START OVER. THE WITNESS: PAGE 1. THE COURT: OKAY.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS MR. VOSS: IT WAS ASKED IN THE NARRATIVE. THE COURT: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE NARRATIVE, BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A DEBATE ABOUT IT. GO RIGHT AHEAD, COUNSEL, WITH YOUR QUESTION. MR. HART: THANK YOU. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, MY QUESTION IS VERY SIMPLE: IF YOU HAVE ACCESS TO THIS LETTER AT THE TIME YOU'RE PREPARING YOUR MAY 25 ARTICLE, WHY IS IT THAT YOU MISIDENTIFY THE AUTHOR OF THE LETTER?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE WHITEBOARD? A "IN ADDITION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT I.R.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. HAS MISREPRESENTED MR. LE XUAN KHOA." THE COURT: CAN I INTERRUPT YOU? CAN YOU DIRECT US IN THE LETTER WHAT PARAGRAPH OR PAGE YOU'RE LOOKING AT. THE WITNESS: OKAY. THE COURT: AND THEN YOU CAN START OVER. THE WITNESS: PAGE 1. THE COURT: OKAY. THE WITNESS: THE SECOND PARAGRAPH.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS MR. VOSS: IT WAS ASKED IN THE NARRATIVE. THE COURT: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE NARRATIVE, BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A DEBATE ABOUT IT. GO RIGHT AHEAD, COUNSEL, WITH YOUR QUESTION. MR. HART: THANK YOU. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, MY QUESTION IS VERY SIMPLE: IF YOU HAVE ACCESS TO THIS LETTER AT THE TIME YOU'RE PREPARING YOUR MAY 25 ARTICLE, WHY IS IT THAT YOU MISIDENTIFY THE AUTHOR OF THE LETTER? A THE SHORT ANSWER IS THIS: I WAS WORKING VERY	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE WHITEBOARD? A "IN ADDITION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT I.R.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. HAS MISREPRESENTED MR. LE XUAN KHOA." THE COURT: CAN I INTERRUPT YOU? CAN YOU DIRECT US IN THE LETTER WHAT PARAGRAPH OR PAGE YOU'RE LOOKING AT. THE WITNESS: OKAY. THE COURT: AND THEN YOU CAN START OVER. THE WITNESS: PAGE 1. THE COURT: OKAY. THE WITNESS: THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. THE COURT: IN THE MIDDLE OF THAT SECOND PARAGRAPH?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS MR. VOSS: IT WAS ASKED IN THE NARRATIVE. THE COURT: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE NARRATIVE, BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A DEBATE ABOUT IT. GO RIGHT AHEAD, COUNSEL, WITH YOUR QUESTION. MR. HART: THANK YOU. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, MY QUESTION IS VERY SIMPLE: IF YOU HAVE ACCESS TO THIS LETTER AT THE TIME YOU'RE PREPARING YOUR MAY 25 ARTICLE, WHY IS IT THAT YOU MISIDENTIFY THE AUTHOR OF THE LETTER? A THE SHORT ANSWER IS THIS: I WAS WORKING VERY CLOSELY WITH BOTH DORNAN AND SMITH TO PROTECT THE REFUGEES	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE WHITEBOARD? A "IN ADDITION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT I.R.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. HAS MISREPRESENTED MR. LE XUAN KHOA." THE COURT: CAN I INTERRUPT YOU? CAN YOU DIRECT US IN THE LETTER WHAT PARAGRAPH OR PAGE YOU'RE LOOKING AT. THE WITNESS: OKAY. THE COURT: AND THEN YOU CAN START OVER. THE WITNESS: PAGE 1. THE COURT: OKAY. THE WITNESS: THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. THE COURT: IN THE MIDDLE OF THAT SECOND PARAGRAPH? THE WITNESS: YES.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS MR. VOSS: IT WAS ASKED IN THE NARRATIVE. THE COURT: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE NARRATIVE, BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A DEBATE ABOUT IT. GO RIGHT AHEAD, COUNSEL, WITH YOUR QUESTION. MR. HART: THANK YOU. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, MY QUESTION IS VERY SIMPLE: IF YOU HAVE ACCESS TO THIS LETTER AT THE TIME YOU'RE PREPARING YOUR MAY 25 ARTICLE, WHY IS IT THAT YOU MISIDENTIFY THE AUTHOR OF THE LETTER? A THE SHORT ANSWER IS THIS: I WAS WORKING VERY CLOSELY WITH BOTH DORNAN AND SMITH TO PROTECT THE REFUGEES FROM BEING FORCIBLY REPATRIATED. AND ON THE SIDE AND I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE WHITEBOARD? A "IN ADDITION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT LR.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. HAS MISREPRESENTED MR. LE XUAN KHOA." THE COURT: CAN I INTERRUPT YOU? CAN YOU DIRECT US IN THE LETTER WHAT PARAGRAPH OR PAGE YOU'RE LOOKING AT. THE WITNESS: OKAY. THE COURT: AND THEN YOU CAN START OVER. THE WITNESS: PAGE 1. THE COURT: OKAY. THE WITNESS: THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. THE COURT: IN THE MIDDLE OF THAT SECOND PARAGRAPH? THE WITNESS: YES. THE COURT: OKAY. AND THEN, NOW, YOU MAY GO AHEAD
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS MR. VOSS: IT WAS ASKED IN THE NARRATIVE. THE COURT: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE NARRATIVE, BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A DEBATE ABOUT IT. GO RIGHT AHEAD, COUNSEL, WITH YOUR QUESTION. MR. HART: THANK YOU. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, MY QUESTION IS VERY SIMPLE: IF YOU HAVE ACCESS TO THIS LETTER AT THE TIME YOU'RE PREPARING YOUR MAY 25 ARTICLE, WHY IS IT THAT YOU MISIDENTIFY THE AUTHOR OF THE LETTER? A THE SHORT ANSWER IS THIS: I WAS WORKING VERY CLOSELY WITH BOTH DORNAN AND SMITH TO PROTECT THE REFUGEES FROM BEING FORCIBLY REPATRIATED. AND ON THE SIDE AND I WORK WITH AL SANTOLI ON THAT ISSUE. AND ON THE SIDE, HE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE WHITEBOARD? A "IN ADDITION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT I.R.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. HAS MISREPRESENTED MR. LE XUAN KHOA." THE COURT: CAN I INTERRUPT YOU? CAN YOU DIRECT US IN THE LETTER WHAT PARAGRAPH OR PAGE YOU'RE LOOKING AT. THE WITNESS: OKAY. THE WITNESS: PAGE 1. THE COURT: AND THEN YOU CAN START OVER. THE WITNESS: THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. THE COURT: IN THE MIDDLE OF THAT SECOND PARAGRAPH? THE WITNESS: YES. THE COURT: OKAY. AND THEN, NOW, YOU MAY GO AHEAD AND HIGHLIGHT IT NOW THAT WE'RE ALL TO THAT.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS MR. VOSS: IT WAS ASKED IN THE NARRATIVE. THE COURT: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE NARRATIVE, BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A DEBATE ABOUT IT. GO RIGHT AHEAD, COUNSEL, WITH YOUR QUESTION. MR. HART: THANK YOU. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, MY QUESTION IS VERY SIMPLE: IF YOU HAVE ACCESS TO THIS LETTER AT THE TIME YOU'RE PREPARING YOUR MAY 25 ARTICLE, WHY IS IT THAT YOU MISIDENTIFY THE AUTHOR OF THE LETTER? A THE SHORT ANSWER IS THIS: I WAS WORKING VERY CLOSELY WITH BOTH DORNAN AND SMITH TO PROTECT THE REFUGEES FROM BEING FORCIBLY REPATRIATED. AND ON THE SIDE AND I WORK WITH AL SANTOLI ON THAT ISSUE. AND ON THE SIDE, HE BRIEFED ME ABOUT THE PROGRESS OF HIS INVESTIGATION INTO	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE WHITEBOARD? A "IN ADDITION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT LR.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. HAS MISREPRESENTED MR. LE XUAN KHOA." THE COURT: CAN I INTERRUPT YOU? CAN YOU DIRECT US IN THE LETTER WHAT PARAGRAPH OR PAGE YOU'RE LOOKING AT. THE WITNESS: OKAY. THE COURT: AND THEN YOU CAN START OVER. THE WITNESS: PAGE 1. THE COURT: OKAY. THE WITNESS: THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. THE COURT: IN THE MIDDLE OF THAT SECOND PARAGRAPH? THE WITNESS: YES. THE COURT: OKAY. AND THEN, NOW, YOU MAY GO AHEAD AND HIGHLIGHT IT NOW THAT WE'RE ALL TO THAT. MR. HART: OKAY. LET'S PUBLISH.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A IN 1995 AND 1996. MR. HART: OKAY. AND MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE. THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. SO YES, I WILL MR. VOSS: I THINK IF HE HAD BEEN ALLOWED TO FINISH HIS ANSWER AND NOT BEEN INTERRUPTED, THE QUESTION WAS ASKED OF HIM IN THE NARRATIVE. HE IS CALLED AS AN ADVERSE WITNESS. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I WILL GRANT THE MOTION TO STRIKE IT. AND YOU CAN RE-ASK THE QUESTION. AND THEN IT WAS MR. VOSS: IT WAS ASKED IN THE NARRATIVE. THE COURT: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE NARRATIVE, BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A DEBATE ABOUT IT. GO RIGHT AHEAD, COUNSEL, WITH YOUR QUESTION. MR. HART: THANK YOU. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, MY QUESTION IS VERY SIMPLE: IF YOU HAVE ACCESS TO THIS LETTER AT THE TIME YOU'RE PREPARING YOUR MAY 25 ARTICLE, WHY IS IT THAT YOU MISIDENTIFY THE AUTHOR OF THE LETTER? A THE SHORT ANSWER IS THIS: I WAS WORKING VERY CLOSELY WITH BOTH DORNAN AND SMITH TO PROTECT THE REFUGEES FROM BEING FORCIBLY REPATRIATED. AND ON THE SIDE AND I WORK WITH AL SANTOLI ON THAT ISSUE. AND ON THE SIDE, HE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PROVIDE YOU IN TERMS OF WRITING THAT MR. KHOA COMMITTED A CRIMINAL FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS AND MISLEADING. CRIMINAL THE COURT: WAIT. IF YOU WANT TO REPHRASE, I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU MAY REPHRASE THE QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHAT PART OF THIS EXHIBIT, THIS APRIL 2ND, 1996 LETTER FROM ROBERT DORNAN, SUPPORTS THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 LETTER THAT I HAVE PRINTED ON THE WHITEBOARD? A "IN ADDITION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT I.R.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. HAS MISREPRESENTED MR. LE XUAN KHOA." THE COURT: CAN I INTERRUPT YOU? CAN YOU DIRECT US IN THE LETTER WHAT PARAGRAPH OR PAGE YOU'RE LOOKING AT. THE WITNESS: OKAY. THE WITNESS: PAGE 1. THE COURT: AND THEN YOU CAN START OVER. THE WITNESS: THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. THE COURT: IN THE MIDDLE OF THAT SECOND PARAGRAPH? THE WITNESS: YES. THE COURT: OKAY. AND THEN, NOW, YOU MAY GO AHEAD AND HIGHLIGHT IT NOW THAT WE'RE ALL TO THAT.

	Page 78		Page 80
1	THE COURT: YOU MAY CONTINUE. I JUST WANTED TO MAKE	1	THE COURT: WAIT.
2	SURE THAT WE WERE ALL SEEING WHERE	2	GO AHEAD.
3	THE WITNESS: SHOULD I READ THE ENTIRE PARAGRAPH?	3	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE.
4	THE COURT: WHATEVER WORKS. YOUR RESPONSE TO THE	4	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
5	QUESTION.	5	YOU MAY RESPOND.
6	THE WITNESS: YES. "ENCLOSED IS AN ARTICLE FROM THE	6	THE WITNESS: AS A CEO MYSELF, I'M HELD ACCOUNTABLE
7	MARCH 1996 COMMUNITY NEWS, WHICH IS PUBLISHED BY ORANGE COUNTY	7	TO WHATEVER APPLICATION I SUBMITTED TO ANY GRANT-MAKING
8	MAGAZINE, PUBLISHED IN MY DISTRICT IN ORANGE COUNTY,	8	AGENCY, REGARDLESS. THAT'S THE ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF A
9	CALIFORNIA. THE ARTICLE ENTITLED S.E.A.R.A.C. AND NAVASA"	9	CEO, LET ALONE A CEO AND A PRESIDENT.
10	WHICH WAS A CREATION OF S.E.A.R.A.C "FOUND TO HAVE	10	BY MR. HART:
11	MISAPPROPRIATED VIETNAMESE COMMUNITY OF ORANGE COUNTY NAME IN	11	Q OKAY. AND SO WHAT YOU'RE TELLING US IS, THIS
12	EFFORT TO SECURE GOVERNMENT FUNDS."	12	IS GOOD ENOUGH FOR YOU, WHAT'S SAID HERE, FOR YOU TO SAY WHAT
13	NAVASA OF ORANGE COUNTY IS A NON-PROFIT	13	YOU SAID IN YOUR LETTER IN YOUR ARTICLE?
14	ORGANIZATION BASED IN ORANGE COUNTY.	14	A THIS IS GOOD ENOUGH FOR CONGRESSMAN DORNAN TO
15	MR. HART: OBJECTION. MOVE TO STRIKE.	15	SAY IT TO THE GRANT-MAKING AGENCY HERE, WHICH IS THE OFFICE OF
16	NONRESPONSIVE.	16	REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT.
17	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	17	Q BUT THAT'S NOT THE QUESTION.
18	I DON'T NEED AN EDITORIAL. JUST HIGHLIGHT TO	18	THE QUESTION IS WHETHER THIS IS GOOD ENOUGH FOR
19	THE LETTER, THE PORTIONS OF THE LETTER.	19	YOU TO DERIVATIVELY APPLY TO PROFESSOR KHOA?
20	THE WITNESS: "IN ADDITION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT	20	A IT'S GOOD ENOUGH FOR ME TO TRUST MR. DORNAN'S
21	I.R.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. HAS MISREPRESENTED LE XUAN KHOA AS	21	LETTER.
22	DOCTOR. RECENTLY IN THE FACE OF PUBLIC INQUIRY, MR. KHOA	22	Q AND WHAT IF MR. DORNAN IS WRONG?
23	ADMITTED THAT HE'S NOT A DOCTOR."	23	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE, YOUR HONOR.
24	"WE HAVE ALSO FOUND THAT MEMBERS OF THE PRESENT	24	THE COURT: SUSTAINED. CALLS FOR SPECULATION.
25	O.R.R. STAFF AFFILIATED WITH THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT GRANT	25	BY MR. HART:
26	PROCESS HAD PREVIOUS ASSOCIATION WITH I.R.A.C./S.E.A.R.A.C. AS	26	Q HAVEN'T YOU ASSUMED THE RISK THAT DORNAN MIGHT
			Q IIIVEA TOO ISSOINED IIIE AGA IIII DOANNE AMOIT
		1	- 0.1
	Page 79		Page 81
1	Page 79 PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS."	1	Page 81 BE INCORRECT?
1 2		1 2	
	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS."		BE INCORRECT?
2	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE	2	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION
2	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW.	2	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE.
2 3 4	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY.	2 3 4	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
2 3 4 5	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LETS BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND	2 3 4 5	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART:
2 3 4 5	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH.	2 3 4 5	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST,
2 3 4 5 6 7	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.)	2 3 4 5 6 7	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE PORTION THAT YOU READ FROM IS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT? A IT'S IMPLIED.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE PORTION THAT YOU READ FROM IS NOW SHOWN AS THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. "ENCLOSED" IS THE FIRST	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT? A IT'S IMPLIED. Q IT DOESN'T SAY IT; DOES IT?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE PORTION THAT YOU READ FROM IS NOW SHOWN AS THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. "ENCLOSED" IS THE FIRST WORD AND "STAFFER CONSULTANTS" AT THE END.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT? A IT'S IMPLIED. Q IT DOESN'T SAY IT; DOES IT? A IT DOESN'T SAY IT, BUT EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE PORTION THAT YOU READ FROM IS NOW SHOWN AS THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. "ENCLOSED" IS THE FIRST WORD AND "STAFFER CONSULTANTS" AT THE END. THAT'S WHAT YOU READ?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT? A IT'S IMPLIED. Q IT DOESN'T SAY IT; DOES IT? A IT DOESN'T SAY IT, BUT EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S IMPLIED. ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CEO AND PRESIDENT.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE PORTION THAT YOU READ FROM IS NOW SHOWN AS THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. "ENCLOSED" IS THE FIRST WORD AND "STAFFER CONSULTANTS" AT THE END. THAT'S WHAT YOU READ? A THAT'S CORRECT.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT? A IT'S IMPLIED. Q IT DOESN'T SAY IT; DOES IT? A IT DOESN'T SAY IT, BUT EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S IMPLIED. ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CEO AND PRESIDENT. Q OKAY. I GET YOUR POINT.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE PORTION THAT YOU READ FROM IS NOW SHOWN AS THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. "ENCLOSED" IS THE FIRST WORD AND "STAFFER CONSULTANTS" AT THE END. THAT'S WHAT YOU READ? A THAT'S CORRECT. Q AND THIS EXHIBIT IS IN EVIDENCE.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT? A IT'S IMPLIED. Q IT DOESN'T SAY IT; DOES IT? A IT DOESN'T SAY IT, BUT EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S IMPLIED. ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CEO AND PRESIDENT. Q OKAY. I GET YOUR POINT. LET'S MOVE NOW TO EXHIBIT 234. TAKE A FEW
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE PORTION THAT YOU READ FROM IS NOW SHOWN AS THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. "ENCLOSED" IS THE FIRST WORD AND "STAFFER CONSULTANTS" AT THE END. THAT'S WHAT YOU READ? A THAT'S CORRECT. Q AND THIS EXHIBIT IS IN EVIDENCE. CAN YOU TELL US WHERE IN THIS LETTER IT SAYS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT? A IT'S IMPLIED. Q IT DOESN'T SAY IT; DOES IT? A IT DOESN'T SAY IT, BUT EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S IMPLIED. ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CEO AND PRESIDENT. Q OKAY. I GET YOUR POINT. LET'S MOVE NOW TO EXHIBIT 234. TAKE A FEW MINUTES TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 234, AND TELL ME IF YOU RECOGNIZE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE PORTION THAT YOU READ FROM IS NOW SHOWN AS THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. "ENCLOSED" IS THE FIRST WORD AND "STAFFER CONSULTANTS" AT THE END. THAT'S WHAT YOU READ? A THAT'S CORRECT. Q AND THIS EXHIBIT IS IN EVIDENCE. CAN YOU TELL US WHERE IN THIS LETTER IT SAYS THAT MR. KHOA FALSELY CLAIMED A DOCTORAL DEGREE?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT? A IT'S IMPLIED. Q IT DOESN'T SAY IT; DOES IT? A IT DOESN'T SAY IT, BUT EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S IMPLIED. ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CEO AND PRESIDENT. Q OKAY. I GET YOUR POINT. LET'S MOVE NOW TO EXHIBIT 234. TAKE A FEW MINUTES TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 234, AND TELL ME IF YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT THAT IS.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE PORTION THAT YOU READ FROM IS NOW SHOWN AS THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. "ENCLOSED" IS THE FIRST WORD AND "STAFFER CONSULTANTS" AT THE END. THAT'S WHAT YOU READ? A THAT'S CORRECT. Q AND THIS EXHIBIT IS IN EVIDENCE. CAN YOU TELL US WHERE IN THIS LETTER IT SAYS THAT MR. KHOA FALSELY CLAIMED A DOCTORAL DEGREE? A IT SAYS THAT I.R.A.C AND S.E.A.R.A.C. AND	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT? A IT'S IMPLIED. Q IT DOESN'T SAY IT; DOES IT? A IT DOESN'T SAY IT, BUT EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S IMPLIED. ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CEO AND PRESIDENT. Q OKAY. I GET YOUR POINT. LET'S MOVE NOW TO EXHIBIT 234. TAKE A FEW MINUTES TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 234, AND TELL ME IF YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT THAT IS. A I DO.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE PORTION THAT YOU READ FROM IS NOW SHOWN AS THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. "ENCLOSED" IS THE FIRST WORD AND "STAFFER CONSULTANTS" AT THE END. THAT'S WHAT YOU READ? A THAT'S CORRECT. Q AND THIS EXHIBIT IS IN EVIDENCE. CAN YOU TELL US WHERE IN THIS LETTER IT SAYS THAT MR. KHOA FALSELY CLAIMED A DOCTORAL DEGREE? A IT SAYS THAT I.R.A.C AND S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA, AT THE TIME, WAS THE PRESIDENT OF EITHER BOARD OF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT? A IT'S IMPLIED. Q IT DOESN'T SAY IT; DOES IT? A IT DOESN'T SAY IT, BUT EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S IMPLIED. ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CEO AND PRESIDENT. Q OKAY. I GET YOUR POINT. LET'S MOVE NOW TO EXHIBIT 234. TAKE A FEW MINUTES TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 234, AND TELL ME IF YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT THAT IS. A I DO. Q WHAT DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT TO BE?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE PORTION THAT YOU READ FROM IS NOW SHOWN AS THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. "ENCLOSED" IS THE FIRST WORD AND "STAFFER CONSULTANTS" AT THE END. THAT'S WHAT YOU READ? A THAT'S CORRECT. Q AND THIS EXHIBIT IS IN EVIDENCE. CAN YOU TELL US WHERE IN THIS LETTER IT SAYS THAT MR. KHOA FALSELY CLAIMED A DOCTORAL DEGREE? A IT SAYS THAT I.R.A.C AND S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA, AT THE TIME, WAS THE PRESIDENT OF EITHER BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ALSO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR. AND HE SIGNED ALL THE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT? A IT'S IMPLIED. Q IT DOESN'T SAY IT; DOES IT? A IT DOESN'T SAY IT, BUT EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S IMPLIED. ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CEO AND PRESIDENT. Q OKAY. I GET YOUR POINT. LET'S MOVE NOW TO EXHIBIT 234. TAKE A FEW MINUTES TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 234, AND TELL ME IF YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT THAT IS. A I DO. Q WHAT DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT TO BE? A IT WAS A LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN DATED
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE PORTION THAT YOU READ FROM IS NOW SHOWN AS THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. "ENCLOSED" IS THE FIRST WORD AND "STAFFER CONSULTANTS" AT THE END. THAT'S WHAT YOU READ? A THAT'S CORRECT. Q AND THIS EXHIBIT IS IN EVIDENCE. CAN YOU TELL US WHERE IN THIS LETTER IT SAYS THAT MR. KHOA FALSELY CLAIMED A DOCTORAL DEGREE? A IT SAYS THAT I.R.A.C AND S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA, AT THE TIME, WAS THE PRESIDENT OF EITHER BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ALSO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR. AND HE SIGNED ALL THE PROPOSAL GRANT APPLICATIONS. AND IN MANY OF THOSE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT? A IT'S IMPLIED. Q IT DOESN'T SAY IT; DOES IT? A IT DOESN'T SAY IT, BUT EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S IMPLIED. ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CEO AND PRESIDENT. Q OKAY. I GET YOUR POINT. LET'S MOVE NOW TO EXHIBIT 234. TAKE A FEW MINUTES TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 234, AND TELL ME IF YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT THAT IS. A I DO. Q WHAT DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT TO BE? A IT WAS A LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN DATED APRIL 30, 1996, TO THE HONORABLE WILLIAMS-BRIDGERS, THE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE PORTION THAT YOU READ FROM IS NOW SHOWN AS THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. "ENCLOSED" IS THE FIRST WORD AND "STAFFER CONSULTANTS" AT THE END. THAT'S WHAT YOU READ? A THAT'S CORRECT. Q AND THIS EXHIBIT IS IN EVIDENCE. CAN YOU TELL US WHERE IN THIS LETTER IT SAYS THAT MR. KHOA FALSELY CLAIMED A DOCTORAL DEGREE? A IT SAYS THAT I.R.A.C AND S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA, AT THE TIME, WAS THE PRESIDENT OF EITHER BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ALSO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR. AND HE SIGNED ALL THE PROPOSAL GRANT APPLICATIONS. AND IN MANY OF THOSE APPLICATIONS, HE LISTED HIMSELF AS A PROJECT DIRECTOR,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT? A IT'S IMPLIED. Q IT DOESN'T SAY IT; DOES IT? A IT DOESN'T SAY IT, BUT EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S IMPLIED. ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CEO AND PRESIDENT. Q OKAY. I GET YOUR POINT. LET'S MOVE NOW TO EXHIBIT 234. TAKE A FEW MINUTES TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 234, AND TELL ME IF YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT THAT IS. A I DO. Q WHAT DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT TO BE? A IT WAS A LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN DATED APRIL 30, 1996, TO THE HONORABLE WILLIAMS-BRIDGERS, THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE PORTION THAT YOU READ FROM IS NOW SHOWN AS THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. "ENCLOSED" IS THE FIRST WORD AND "STAFFER CONSULTANTS" AT THE END. THAT'S WHAT YOU READ? A THAT'S CORRECT. Q AND THIS EXHIBIT IS IN EVIDENCE. CAN YOU TELL US WHERE IN THIS LETTER IT SAYS THAT MR. KHOA FALSELY CLAIMED A DOCTORAL DEGREE? A IT SAYS THAT I.R.A.C AND S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA, AT THE TIME, WAS THE PRESIDENT OF EITHER BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ALSO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR. AND HE SIGNED ALL THE PROPOSAL GRANT APPLICATIONS. AND IN MANY OF THOSE APPLICATIONS, HE LISTED HIMSELF AS A PROJECT DIRECTOR, INCLUDING HIS INCLUDE HIS C.V., HIS RESUME.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT? A IT'S IMPLIED. Q IT DOESN'T SAY IT; DOES IT? A IT DOESN'T SAY IT, BUT EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S IMPLIED. ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CEO AND PRESIDENT. Q OKAY. I GET YOUR POINT. LET'S MOVE NOW TO EXHIBIT 234. TAKE A FEW MINUTES TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 234, AND TELL ME IF YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT THAT IS. A I DO. Q WHAT DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT TO BE? A IT WAS A LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN DATED APRIL 30, 1996, TO THE HONORABLE WILLIAMS-BRIDGERS, THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE. Q ALL RIGHT. AND DO YOU KNOW WHERE THIS LETTER
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE PORTION THAT YOU READ FROM IS NOW SHOWN AS THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. "ENCLOSED" IS THE FIRST WORD AND "STAFFER CONSULTANTS" AT THE END. THAT'S WHAT YOU READ? A THAT'S CORRECT. Q AND THIS EXHIBIT IS IN EVIDENCE. CAN YOU TELL US WHERE IN THIS LETTER IT SAYS THAT MR. KHOA FALSELY CLAIMED A DOCTORAL DEGREE? A IT SAYS THAT I.R.A.C AND S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA, AT THE TIME, WAS THE PRESIDENT OF EITHER BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ALSO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR. AND HE SIGNED ALL THE PROPOSAL GRANT APPLICATIONS. AND IN MANY OF THOSE APPLICATIONS, HE LISTED HIMSELF AS A PROJECT DIRECTOR, INCLUDING HIS INCLUDE HIS C.V., HIS RESUME. Q OKAY. SO HOW DOES THAT SHOW US THAT YOUR	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT? A IT'S IMPLIED. Q IT DOESN'T SAY IT; DOES IT? A IT DOESN'T SAY IT, BUT EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S IMPLIED. ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CEO AND PRESIDENT. Q OKAY. I GET YOUR POINT. LET'S MOVE NOW TO EXHIBIT 234. TAKE A FEW MINUTES TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 234, AND TELL ME IF YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT THAT IS. A I DO. Q WHAT DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT TO BE? A IT WAS A LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN DATED APRIL 30, 1996, TO THE HONORABLE WILLIAMS-BRIDGERS, THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE. Q ALL RIGHT. AND DO YOU KNOW WHERE THIS LETTER CAME FROM? IS THIS SOMETHING YOU'VE HAD IN YOUR ARCHIVES?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PAID STAFF OF CONSULTANTS." MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO PUT THIS PORTION UP FOR THE JURY NOW. THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HART: LET'S BLOW IT UP SO WE CAN SEE THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, THE PORTION THAT YOU READ FROM IS NOW SHOWN AS THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. "ENCLOSED" IS THE FIRST WORD AND "STAFFER CONSULTANTS" AT THE END. THAT'S WHAT YOU READ? A THAT'S CORRECT. Q AND THIS EXHIBIT IS IN EVIDENCE. CAN YOU TELL US WHERE IN THIS LETTER IT SAYS THAT MR. KHOA FALSELY CLAIMED A DOCTORAL DEGREE? A IT SAYS THAT LR.A.C AND S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA, AT THE TIME, WAS THE PRESIDENT OF EITHER BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ALSO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR. AND HE SIGNED ALL THE PROPOSAL GRANT APPLICATIONS. AND IN MANY OF THOSE APPLICATIONS, HE LISTED HIMSELF AS A PROJECT DIRECTOR, INCLUDING HIS INCLUDE HIS C.V., HIS RESUME. Q OKAY. SO HOW DOES THAT SHOW US THAT YOUR STATEMENT IS TRUE; THAT MR. KHOA FALSELY CLAIMED A DOCTORAL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BE INCORRECT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. SAME. CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND RELEVANCE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU AGREE WITH ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE LETTER DOESN'T SAY PROFESSOR KHOA MISREPRESENTED; DOES IT? A IT'S IMPLIED. Q IT DOESN'T SAY IT; DOES IT? A IT DOESN'T SAY IT, BUT EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S IMPLIED. ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CEO AND PRESIDENT. Q OKAY. I GET YOUR POINT. LET'S MOVE NOW TO EXHIBIT 234. TAKE A FEW MINUTES TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 234, AND TELL ME IF YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT THAT IS. A I DO. Q WHAT DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT TO BE? A IT WAS A LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN DATED APRIL 30, 1996, TO THE HONORABLE WILLIAMS-BRIDGERS, THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE. Q ALL RIGHT. AND DO YOU KNOW WHERE THIS LETTER CAME FROM? IS THIS SOMETHING YOU'VE HAD IN YOUR ARCHIVES? A YES.

	Page 82		Page 84
1	A YES.	1	Q OKAY. THE TOP OF THE SECOND PAGE: "I HEREBY
2	Q ALL RIGHT. AND CAN YOU TELL ME WHERE IN THIS	2	REQUEST THAT THE INSPECTOR GENERAL'S OFFICE INVESTIGATE THESE
3	LETTER IT SAYS THAT MR. KHOA FALSELY CLAIMED A DOCTORAL DEGREE	3	MATTERS"?
4	IN HIS GRANT APPLICATION?	4	A MAY I EXPLAIN?
5	THE COURT: CAN YOU START BY IDENTIFYING THE	5	Q WELL, NOT YET.
6	PARAGRAPH SO WE CAN ALL KIND OF	6	DO YOU DISAGREE WITH MY CHARACTERIZATION AS
7	THE WITNESS: SORRY. ON PAGE 1, THE BOTTOM	7	THIS IS CONGRESSMAN DORNAN ASKING THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO
8	PARAGRAPH, NUMBER 5, MARKED AS NUMBER 5: MR. KHOA'S	8	INVESTIGATE CONCERNS THAT HAVE BEEN COMMUNICATED TO HIM?
9	SELF-PROMOTION AS PH.D. HOWEVER, HE RECENTLY ADMITTED THAT HE	9	A NO, I DISAGREE.
10	DOES NOT HAVE SUCH A DEGREE. HIS MISREPRESENTATION OF	10	Q WHAT IS YOUR DISAGREEMENT BASED ON?
11	ACADEMIC CREDENTIAL MAY HAVE RESULTED IN HIS RECEIVING STATE	11	A MY DISAGREEMENT IS CAN YOU GO BACK TO THE
12	DEPARTMENT GRANTS OVER COMPETING APPLICANTS.	12	FIRST PAGE?
13	AND THEN THE NEXT PAGE: I HEREBY REQUEST THAT	13	RIGHT THERE, YES.
14	YOUR INSPECTOR GENERAL'S OFFICE INVESTIGATE THESE MATTERS AND	14	CONGRESSMAN DORNAN ASSERTED FOUR FINDINGS THAT
15	PROVIDE ME WITH THE FULL INFORMATION BY MAY 30, 1996.	15	HE HAS VERIFIED OVER FOUR MONTHS OF INVESTIGATION. AND THE
16	AND HE LISTED A NUMBER OF ITEMS. AMONG THEM,	16	ONE THAT WAS FINDING THAT HE HAS NOT FULLY VERIFIED BEING
17	THE THIRD SENTENCE, IT SAYS THIRD, ITEM NUMBER 3, THIRD:	17	MARKED AS ALLEGATIONS, VERY CLEAR.
18 19	"DIPLOMAS AND OTHER CERTIFICATION OF MR. LE XUAN KHOA'S ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS."	18	NUMBER 5 IS A FINDING THAT HAD BEEN CHIEFLY
20	ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS. BY MR. HART:	20	VERIFIED. ONE, IT IS BY KNOWLEDGEABLE PERSONS WHO KNEW MR. KHOA BECAUSE THEY SERVED IN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE VIETNAM,
21	Q I'M SORRY. WHERE ARE YOU READING THAT?	21	SOUTH VIETNAM, AND ALSO IN ACADEMIA WITH MR. KHOA. AND THEY
22	A OH, THAT'S THE NEXT-TO-LAST PARAGRAPH.	22	GOT SHARED THE SAME COPIES OF THESE GRANT APPLICATIONS. AND
23	THE COURT: ON PAGE 2, THE LARGEST PARAGRAPH THERE IN	23	THEY KNEW HIM. AND THEY TOLD CONGRESSMAN DORNAN, THAT: NO,
24	THE MIDDLE, THAT SENTENCE THAT STARTS WITH THE WORD "THIRD."	24	KHOA WAS NOT A DOCTOR. WE KNEW HIM.
25	MR. HART: OKAY. SO I WOULD OFFER THIS EXHIBIT INTO	25	AND, THEN, THESE VIETNAMESE-AMERICAN LEADERS,
26	EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR. IT'S EXHIBIT 234.	26	THEY WENT ON THE PRESS, IN THE RADIO PROGRAM NEWS, TO
	Page 83	1	Da ~ a 0 E
	1 age 00		Page 85
1	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION.	1	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME
1 2		1 2	
2	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED.	2	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE
2 3 4	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.)	2 3 4	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF.
2 3 4 5	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE.	2 3 4 5	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE
2 3 4 5	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY.	2 3 4 5	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING
2 3 4 5 6	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.)	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS THAT HE'S RECEIVED TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS, EXPRESSED	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY. THE COURT: WAIT. OVERRULED. IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS THAT HE'S RECEIVED TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS, EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF PRIVATE 501(C)(3)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY. THE COURT: WAIT. OVERRULED. IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH. IT'S BEING OFFERED FOR WHY YOU DISAGREE, WAS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS THAT HE'S RECEIVED TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS, EXPRESSED	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY. THE COURT: WAIT. OVERRULED. IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS THAT HE'S RECEIVED TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS, EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF PRIVATE 501(C)(3) ORGANIZATION S.E.A.R.A.C., FORMALLY KNOWN AS I.R.A.C.;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY. THE COURT: WAIT. OVERRULED. IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH. IT'S BEING OFFERED FOR WHY YOU DISAGREE, WAS THE QUESTION.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS THAT HE'S RECEIVED TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS, EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF PRIVATE 501(C)(3) ORGANIZATION S.E.A.R.A.C., FORMALLY KNOWN AS I.R.A.C.; CORRECT?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY. THE COURT: WAIT. OVERRULED. IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH. IT'S BEING OFFERED FOR WHY YOU DISAGREE, WAS THE QUESTION. MR. HART: VERY WELL.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS THAT HE'S RECEIVED TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS, EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF PRIVATE 501(C)(3) ORGANIZATION S.E.A.R.A.C., FORMALLY KNOWN AS I.R.A.C.; CORRECT? A CORRECT.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY. THE COURT: WAIT. OVERRULED. IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH. IT'S BEING OFFERED FOR WHY YOU DISAGREE, WAS THE QUESTION. MR. HART: VERY WELL. THE WITNESS: MAY I CONTINUE?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS THAT HE'S RECEIVED TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS, EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF PRIVATE 501(C)(3) ORGANIZATION S.E.A.R.A.C., FORMALLY KNOWN AS I.R.A.C.; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q THAT'S WHAT HE'S SAYING. AND THEN THE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY. THE COURT: WAIT. OVERRULED. IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH. IT'S BEING OFFERED FOR WHY YOU DISAGREE, WAS THE QUESTION. MR. HART: VERY WELL. THE WITNESS: MAY I CONTINUE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. BUT I WILL NOTE THAT THIS IS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS THAT HE'S RECEIVED TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS, EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF PRIVATE 501(C)(3) ORGANIZATION S.E.A.R.A.C., FORMALLY KNOWN AS I.R.A.C.; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q THAT'S WHAT HE'S SAYING. AND THEN THE A YOU MISSED THE FIRST PART THAT WE DID IT IN	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY. THE COURT: WAIT. OVERRULED. IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH. IT'S BEING OFFERED FOR WHY YOU DISAGREE, WAS THE QUESTION. MR. HART: VERY WELL. THE WITNESS: MAY I CONTINUE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. BUT I WILL NOTE THAT THIS IS BEING OFFERED FOR WHAT HE WHY HE DISAGREED, NOT NECESSARILY
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS THAT HE'S RECEIVED TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS, EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF PRIVATE 501(C)(3) ORGANIZATION S.E.A.R.A.C., FORMALLY KNOWN AS I.R.A.C.; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q THAT'S WHAT HE'S SAYING. AND THEN THE A YOU MISSED THE FIRST PART THAT WE DID IT IN JANUARY 1996.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY. THE COURT: WAIT. OVERRULED. IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH. IT'S BEING OFFERED FOR WHY YOU DISAGREE, WAS THE QUESTION. MR. HART: VERY WELL. THE WITNESS: MAY I CONTINUE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. BUT I WILL NOTE THAT THIS IS BEING OFFERED FOR WHAT HE WHY HE DISAGREED, NOT NECESSARILY THAT ANY STATEMENT IS TRUE OR FALSE THAT HE IS REPORTING.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS THAT HE'S RECEIVED TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS, EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF PRIVATE 501(C)(3) ORGANIZATION S.E.A.R.A.C., FORMALLY KNOWN AS I.R.A.C.; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q THAT'S WHAT HE'S SAYING. AND THEN THE A YOU MISSED THE FIRST PART THAT WE DID IT IN JANUARY 1996. Q YEAH. SO THEIR CONCERNS INCLUDE NOW LET'S	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY. THE COURT: WAIT. OVERRULED. IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH. IT'S BEING OFFERED FOR WHY YOU DISAGREE, WAS THE QUESTION. MR. HART: VERY WELL. THE WITNESS: MAY I CONTINUE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. BUT I WILL NOTE THAT THIS IS BEING OFFERED FOR WHAT HE WHY HE DISAGREED, NOT NECESSARILY THAT ANY STATEMENT IS TRUE OR FALSE THAT HE IS REPORTING. THE WITNESS: AND HE ASKED THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS THAT HE'S RECEIVED TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS, EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF PRIVATE 501(C)(3) ORGANIZATION S.E.A.R.A.C., FORMALLY KNOWN AS I.R.A.C.; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q THAT'S WHAT HE'S SAYING. AND THEN THE A YOU MISSED THE FIRST PART THAT WE DID IT IN JANUARY 1996. Q YEAH. SO THEIR CONCERNS INCLUDE NOW LET'S RAISE IT UP SO WE CAN READ NUMBER 5.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY. THE COURT: WAIT. OVERRULED. IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH. IT'S BEING OFFERED FOR WHY YOU DISAGREE, WAS THE QUESTION. MR. HART: VERY WELL. THE WITNESS: MAY I CONTINUE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. BUT I WILL NOTE THAT THIS IS BEING OFFERED FOR WHAT HE WHY HE DISAGREED, NOT NECESSARILY THAT ANY STATEMENT IS TRUE OR FALSE THAT HE IS REPORTING. THE WITNESS: AND HE ASKED THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF S.E.A.R.A.C. TO PROVIDE PROOF THAT KHOA WAS A DOCTOR. HE KNEW
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS THAT HE'S RECEIVED TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS, EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF PRIVATE 501(C)(3) ORGANIZATION S.E.A.R.A.C., FORMALLY KNOWN AS I.R.A.C.; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q THAT'S WHAT HE'S SAYING. AND THEN THE A YOU MISSED THE FIRST PART THAT WE DID IT IN JANUARY 1996. Q YEAH. SO THEIR CONCERNS INCLUDE NOW LET'S RAISE IT UP SO WE CAN READ NUMBER 5. SO SOMEBODY IS TELLING ROBERT DORNAN THAT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY. THE COURT: WAIT. OVERRULED. IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH. IT'S BEING OFFERED FOR WHY YOU DISAGREE, WAS THE QUESTION. MR. HART: VERY WELL. THE WITNESS: MAY I CONTINUE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. BUT I WILL NOTE THAT THIS IS BEING OFFERED FOR WHAT HE WHY HE DISAGREED, NOT NECESSARILY THAT ANY STATEMENT IS TRUE OR FALSE THAT HE IS REPORTING. THE WITNESS: AND HE ASKED THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF S.E.A.R.A.C. TO PROVIDE PROOF THAT KHOA WAS A DOCTOR. HE KNEW THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, BECAUSE HE SERVED ON ADVISORY
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS THAT HE'S RECEIVED TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS, EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF PRIVATE 501(C)(3) ORGANIZATION S.E.A.R.A.C., FORMALLY KNOWN AS I.R.A.C.; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q THAT'S WHAT HE'S SAYING. AND THEN THE A YOU MISSED THE FIRST PART THAT WE DID IT IN JANUARY 1996. Q YEAH. SO THEIR CONCERNS INCLUDE NOW LET'S RAISE IT UP SO WE CAN READ NUMBER 5. SO SOMEBODY IS TELLING ROBERT DORNAN THAT THEY'RE CONCERNED THERE MIGHT BE A SELF-PROMOTION AS PH.D. BY MR. KHOA; CORRECT? A CORRECT.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY. THE COURT: WAIT. OVERRULED. IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH. IT'S BEING OFFERED FOR WHY YOU DISAGREE, WAS THE QUESTION. MR. HART: VERY WELL. THE WITNESS: MAY I CONTINUE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. BUT I WILL NOTE THAT THIS IS BEING OFFERED FOR WHAT HE WHY HE DISAGREED, NOT NECESSARILY THAT ANY STATEMENT IS TRUE OR FALSE THAT HE IS REPORTING. THE WITNESS: AND HE ASKED THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF S.E.A.R.A.C. TO PROVIDE PROOF THAT KHOA WAS A DOCTOR. HE KNEW THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, BECAUSE HE SERVED ON ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF S.E.A.R.A.C., MR. DORNAN. AND THERE WAS NO PROOF. AND THIS IS BEING COMMUNICATED TO THE INSPECTOR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS THAT HE'S RECEIVED TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS, EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF PRIVATE 501(C)(3) ORGANIZATION S.E.A.R.A.C., FORMALLY KNOWN AS I.R.A.C.; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q THAT'S WHAT HE'S SAYING. AND THEN THE A YOU MISSED THE FIRST PART THAT WE DID IT IN JANUARY 1996. Q YEAH. SO THEIR CONCERNS INCLUDE NOW LET'S RAISE IT UP SO WE CAN READ NUMBER 5. SO SOMEBODY IS TELLING ROBERT DORNAN THAT THEY'RE CONCERNED THERE MIGHT BE A SELF-PROMOTION AS PH.D. BY MR. KHOA; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND HE'S ASKING THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO LOOK	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY. THE COURT: WAIT. OVERRULED. IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH. IT'S BEING OFFERED FOR WHY YOU DISAGREE, WAS THE QUESTION. MR. HART: VERY WELL. THE WITNESS: MAY I CONTINUE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. BUT I WILL NOTE THAT THIS IS BEING OFFERED FOR WHAT HE WHY HE DISAGREED, NOT NECESSARILY THAT ANY STATEMENT IS TRUE OR FALSE THAT HE IS REPORTING. THE WITNESS: AND HE ASKED THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF S.E.A.R.A.C. TO PROVIDE PROOF THAT KHOA WAS A DOCTOR. HE KNEW THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, BECAUSE HE SERVED ON ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF S.E.A.R.A.C., MR. DORNAN. AND THERE WAS NO PROOF. AND THIS IS BEING COMMUNICATED TO THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF DEPARTMENT OF STATE THE FACT THAT HAD BEEN TRIPLY VERIFIED.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS THAT HE'S RECEIVED TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS, EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF PRIVATE 501(C)(3) ORGANIZATION S.E.A.R.A.C., FORMALLY KNOWN AS I.R.A.C.; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q THAT'S WHAT HE'S SAYING. AND THEN THE A YOU MISSED THE FIRST PART THAT WE DID IT IN JANUARY 1996. Q YEAH. SO THEIR CONCERNS INCLUDE NOW LET'S RAISE IT UP SO WE CAN READ NUMBER 5. SO SOMEBODY IS TELLING ROBERT DORNAN THAT THEY'RE CONCERNED THERE MIGHT BE A SELF-PROMOTION AS PH.D. BY MR. KHOA; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND HE'S ASKING THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO LOOK INTO THAT?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY. THE COURT: WAIT. OVERRULED. IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH. IT'S BEING OFFERED FOR WHY YOU DISAGREE, WAS THE QUESTION. MR. HART: VERY WELL. THE WITNESS: MAY I CONTINUE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. BUT I WILL NOTE THAT THIS IS BEING OFFERED FOR WHAT HE WHY HE DISAGREED, NOT NECESSARILY THAT ANY STATEMENT IS TRUE OR FALSE THAT HE IS REPORTING. THE WITNESS: AND HE ASKED THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF S.E.A.R.A.C. TO PROVIDE PROOF THAT KHOA WAS A DOCTOR. HE KNEW THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, BECAUSE HE SERVED ON ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF S.E.A.R.A.C., MR. DORNAN. AND THERE WAS NO PROOF. AND THIS IS BEING COMMUNICATED TO THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF DEPARTMENT OF STATE THE FACT THAT HAD BEEN TRIPLY VERIFIED. THE ONLY THING HE ASKED WAS TO FIND OUT,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. VOSS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: THEN IT SHALL BE ADMITTED. AND THIS IS, IN ITS ENTIRETY, TWO PAGES. EXHIBIT 234 IS ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 234 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: SO I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH THE FIRST PAGE. THE COURT: YOU MAY. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO THIS LETTER FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAYS THAT HE'S RECEIVED TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS, EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF PRIVATE 501(C)(3) ORGANIZATION S.E.A.R.A.C., FORMALLY KNOWN AS I.R.A.C.; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q THAT'S WHAT HE'S SAYING. AND THEN THE A YOU MISSED THE FIRST PART THAT WE DID IT IN JANUARY 1996. Q YEAH. SO THEIR CONCERNS INCLUDE NOW LET'S RAISE IT UP SO WE CAN READ NUMBER 5. SO SOMEBODY IS TELLING ROBERT DORNAN THAT THEY'RE CONCERNED THERE MIGHT BE A SELF-PROMOTION AS PH.D. BY MR. KHOA; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND HE'S ASKING THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO LOOK	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHALLENGE MR. KHOA OF THAT, TO THE POINT THAT HE HAD TO COME OUT IN LATE FEBRUARY, ADMIT THAT: I WAS NOT A DOCTOR. SO THAT'S A SECOND VERIFICATION BY THE PLAINTIFF HIMSELF. AND THE THIRD ONE IS, DORNAN WROTE THE S.E.A.R.A.C.'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON MARCH 6TH OF 1996 ASKING THEM TO, FIRST OF ALL, REMOVE HIS NAME FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND MR. HART: OBJECTION. HE'S TESTIFYING HEARSAY. THE COURT: WAIT. OVERRULED. IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH. IT'S BEING OFFERED FOR WHY YOU DISAGREE, WAS THE QUESTION. MR. HART: VERY WELL. THE WITNESS: MAY I CONTINUE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. BUT I WILL NOTE THAT THIS IS BEING OFFERED FOR WHAT HE WHY HE DISAGREED, NOT NECESSARILY THAT ANY STATEMENT IS TRUE OR FALSE THAT HE IS REPORTING. THE WITNESS: AND HE ASKED THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF S.E.A.R.A.C. TO PROVIDE PROOF THAT KHOA WAS A DOCTOR. HE KNEW THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, BECAUSE HE SERVED ON ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF S.E.A.R.A.C., MR. DORNAN. AND THERE WAS NO PROOF. AND THIS IS BEING COMMUNICATED TO THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF DEPARTMENT OF STATE THE FACT THAT HAD BEEN TRIPLY VERIFIED.

	Page 86		Page 88
1	HIM; HOW IT MIGHT HAVE AFFECTED THE GRANT-MAKING PROCESS OF	1	A ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?
2	THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE, WHICH IS, BY THE WAY, STRICTLY	2	Q I APOLOGIZE. I CAN'T LET YOU JUST NARRATE.
3	REGULATED BY FEDERAL LAW.	3	ISN'T IT TRUE, DR. THANG, THAT YOU USED YOUR
4	THE COURT: OKAY. NOW WE'RE GETTING A LITTLE BIT	4	RELATIONSHIP WITH THIS PERSON IN DORNAN'S OFFICE IN ORDER TO
5	AFIELD.	5	GENERATE LETTERS LIKE THIS?
6	BY MR. HART:	6	A NO. I DIDN'T HAVE THE AUTHORITY.
7	Q OKAY. SO I CAN READ THIS LETTER, AND I DON'T	7	Q YOU SENT LETTERS, AND YOU'RE PART OF THE
8	SEE ANY OF THAT IN THIS LETTER.	8	ENTITIES REQUESTING INVESTIGATION AGAINST MR. KHOA AND
9	YOU'RE NOT THE AUTHOR OF THIS LETTER; ARE YOU?	9	S.E.A.R.A.C.; ARE YOU NOT?
10	A I'M NOT.	10	A YOU HAVE NO EVIDENCE TO THAT, AND I DIDN'T.
11	Q AND, YET, YOU'RE TELLING US THAT THIS LETTER	11	Q I THINK I MIGHT HAVE SOME.
12	MEANS SO MUCH MORE THAN THE ENGLISH WORDS CONTAINED THEREIN;	12	A I DON'T THINK SO. BUT LET ME ANSWER THE
13	CORRECT?	13	QUESTION.
14	A BECAUSE I HAVE THE BACKGROUND.	14	THE COURT: WAIT, WAIT, EVERYBODY. WE NEED TO
15	THE COURT: WAIT. WAIT.	15	LISTEN TO THE QUESTION AND ANSWER THE QUESTION AS DIRECTLY AS
16	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE. COUNSEL IS	16	POSSIBLE. AND SO IF WE CAN HAVE
17	TESTIFYING.	17	MR. HART: I'LL START OVER.
18	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	18	THE COURT: THAT QUESTION AGAIN AND LISTEN.
19	YOU MAY RESPOND.	19	AGAIN, YOUR ATTORNEY WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY
20	THE WITNESS: BECAUSE I HAVE BEEN BRIEFED BY MR. AL	20	TO QUESTION YOU AND FLESH OUT OR CLARIFY WHATEVER THEY BELIEVE
21	SANTOLI ALL ALONG. AND I HAVE MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS, AND NOT	21	IS NECESSARY. BUT AT THIS POINT, YOU NEED TO LISTEN TO
22	JUST THIS AND THE OTHER LETTER FROM DORNAN.	22	MR. HART'S QUESTION.
23	BY MR. HART:	23	THE WITNESS: I HADN'T ANSWERED HIS LAST QUESTION.
24	Q OKAY. SO YOU KNOW BETTER THAN THIS DOCUMENT	24	THE COURT: HE'S GOING TO ASK IT AGAIN RIGHT NOW.
25 26	ALONE BECAUSE YOUR FRIEND, IN CONGRESSMAN DORNAN'S OFFICE,	25 26	BY MR. HART: O ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU USED YOUR RELATIONSHIP
20	TOLD YOU HE REALLY MEANS TO SAY MORE THAN HE'S ACTUALLY	20	Q ISN I II IKUE IHAI 100 USED 100K KELAHUNSHIF
	Page 87		Page 89
1	Page 87 written Here?	1	Page 89 WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS
1 2	-	1 2	
	WRITTEN HERE?		WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS
2	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY	2	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA?
2	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE.	2 3	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO.
2 3 4	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE. Q OKAY. BUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT DOESN'T SAY	2 3 4	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES
2 3 4 5 6 7	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE. Q OKAY. BUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT DOESN'T SAY THAT'S WHAT THE FINDING IS, DOES IT? A IT DOES. Q IT SAYS THEIR CONCERNS ARE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. IT	2 3 4 5	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE. Q OKAY. BUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT DOESN'T SAY THAT'S WHAT THE FINDING IS, DOES IT? A IT DOES. Q IT SAYS THEIR CONCERNS ARE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. IT SAYS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE. Q OKAY. BUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT DOESN'T SAY THAT'S WHAT THE FINDING IS, DOES IT? A IT DOES. Q IT SAYS THEIR CONCERNS ARE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. IT SAYS THE COURT: I THINK WE CAN SCROLL UP A LITTLE BIT SO	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE. Q OKAY. BUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT DOESN'T SAY THAT'S WHAT THE FINDING IS, DOES IT? A IT DOES. Q IT SAYS THEIR CONCERNS ARE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. IT SAYS THE COURT: I THINK WE CAN SCROLL UP A LITTLE BIT SO WE CAN SEE IT.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD. BY MR. HART:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE. Q OKAY. BUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT DOESN'T SAY THAT'S WHAT THE FINDING IS, DOES IT? A IT DOES. Q IT SAYS THEIR CONCERNS ARE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. IT SAYS THE COURT: I THINK WE CAN SCROLL UP A LITTLE BIT SO WE CAN SEE IT. BY MR. HART:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU, ON BEHALF OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE. Q OKAY. BUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT DOESN'T SAY THAT'S WHAT THE FINDING IS, DOES IT? A IT DOES. Q IT SAYS THEIR CONCERNS ARE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. IT SAYS THE COURT: I THINK WE CAN SCROLL UP A LITTLE BIT SO WE CAN SEE IT. BY MR. HART: Q HIS MISREPRESENTATION OF ACADEMIC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU, ON BEHALF OF BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S., MADE YOUR OWN REQUEST OF THE INSPECTOR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE. Q OKAY. BUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT DOESN'T SAY THAT'S WHAT THE FINDING IS, DOES IT? A IT DOES. Q IT SAYS THEIR CONCERNS ARE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. IT SAYS THE COURT: I THINK WE CAN SCROLL UP A LITTLE BIT SO WE CAN SEE IT. BY MR. HART: Q HIS MISREPRESENTATION OF ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS MAY HAVE RESULTED IN RECEIVING STATE DEPARTMENT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU, ON BEHALF OF BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S., MADE YOUR OWN REQUEST OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA AND S.E.A.R.A.C.?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE. Q OKAY. BUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT DOESN'T SAY THAT'S WHAT THE FINDING IS, DOES IT? A IT DOES. Q IT SAYS THEIR CONCERNS ARE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. IT SAYS THE COURT: I THINK WE CAN SCROLL UP A LITTLE BIT SO WE CAN SEE IT. BY MR. HART: Q HIS MISREPRESENTATION OF ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS MAY HAVE RESULTED IN RECEIVING STATE DEPARTMENT GRANTS OVER COMPETING APPLICANTS.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU, ON BEHALF OF BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S., MADE YOUR OWN REQUEST OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA AND S.E.A.R.A.C.? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE. Q OKAY. BUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT DOESN'T SAY THAT'S WHAT THE FINDING IS, DOES IT? A IT DOES. Q IT SAYS THEIR CONCERNS ARE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. IT SAYS THE COURT: I THINK WE CAN SCROLL UP A LITTLE BIT SO WE CAN SEE IT. BY MR. HART: Q HIS MISREPRESENTATION OF ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS MAY HAVE RESULTED IN RECEIVING STATE DEPARTMENT GRANTS OVER COMPETING APPLICANTS. SO THAT REMAINS A NOT-PROVEN ISSUE.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU, ON BEHALF OF BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S., MADE YOUR OWN REQUEST OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA AND S.E.A.R.A.C.? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE. Q OKAY. BUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT DOESN'T SAY THAT'S WHAT THE FINDING IS, DOES IT? A IT DOES. Q IT SAYS THEIR CONCERNS ARE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. IT SAYS THE COURT: I THINK WE CAN SCROLL UP A LITTLE BIT SO WE CAN SEE IT. BY MR. HART: Q HIS MISREPRESENTATION OF ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS MAY HAVE RESULTED IN RECEIVING STATE DEPARTMENT GRANTS OVER COMPETING APPLICANTS. SO THAT REMAINS A NOT-PROVEN ISSUE. DO WE KNOW IF HE GOT GRANT FUNDS OVER SOMEBODY	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU, ON BEHALF OF BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S., MADE YOUR OWN REQUEST OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA AND S.E.A.R.A.C.? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: I DID WRITE ON BEHALF OF ALL THE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE. Q OKAY. BUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT DOESN'T SAY THAT'S WHAT THE FINDING IS, DOES IT? A IT DOES. Q IT SAYS THEIR CONCERNS ARE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. IT SAYS THE COURT: I THINK WE CAN SCROLL UP A LITTLE BIT SO WE CAN SEE IT. BY MR. HART: Q HIS MISREPRESENTATION OF ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS MAY HAVE RESULTED IN RECEIVING STATE DEPARTMENT GRANTS OVER COMPETING APPLICANTS. SO THAT REMAINS A NOT-PROVEN ISSUE. DO WE KNOW IF HE GOT GRANT FUNDS OVER SOMEBODY ELSE BECAUSE THERE'S A MISREPRESENTATION?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU, ON BEHALF OF BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S., MADE YOUR OWN REQUEST OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA AND S.E.A.R.A.C.? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: I DID WRITE ON BEHALF OF ALL THE ORGANIZATIONS, THE VIETNAMESE-AMERICAN LEADERS. AND THE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE. Q OKAY. BUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT DOESN'T SAY THAT'S WHAT THE FINDING IS, DOES IT? A IT DOES. Q IT SAYS THEIR CONCERNS ARE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. IT SAYS THE COURT: I THINK WE CAN SCROLL UP A LITTLE BIT SO WE CAN SEE IT. BY MR. HART: Q HIS MISREPRESENTATION OF ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS MAY HAVE RESULTED IN RECEIVING STATE DEPARTMENT GRANTS OVER COMPETING APPLICANTS. SO THAT REMAINS A NOT-PROVEN ISSUE. DO WE KNOW IF HE GOT GRANT FUNDS OVER SOMEBODY ELSE BECAUSE THERE'S A MISREPRESENTATION? A WE KNOW THAT, AND DORNAN KNOWS THAT HE RECEIVED	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU, ON BEHALF OF BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S., MADE YOUR OWN REQUEST OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA AND S.E.A.R.A.C.? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: I DID WRITE ON BEHALF OF ALL THE ORGANIZATIONS, THE VIETNAMESE-AMERICAN LEADERS. AND THE INVESTIGATION STARTED BEFORE THAT LETTER. I JUST SUPPORTED
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE. Q OKAY. BUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT DOESN'T SAY THAT'S WHAT THE FINDING IS, DOES IT? A IT DOES. Q IT SAYS THEIR CONCERNS ARE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. IT SAYS THE COURT: I THINK WE CAN SCROLL UP A LITTLE BIT SO WE CAN SEE IT. BY MR. HART: Q HIS MISREPRESENTATION OF ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS MAY HAVE RESULTED IN RECEIVING STATE DEPARTMENT GRANTS OVER COMPETING APPLICANTS. SO THAT REMAINS A NOT-PROVEN ISSUE. DO WE KNOW IF HE GOT GRANT FUNDS OVER SOMEBODY ELSE BECAUSE THERE'S A MISREPRESENTATION?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU, ON BEHALF OF BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S., MADE YOUR OWN REQUEST OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA AND S.E.A.R.A.C.? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: I DID WRITE ON BEHALF OF ALL THE ORGANIZATIONS, THE VIETNAMESE-AMERICAN LEADERS. AND THE INVESTIGATION STARTED BEFORE THAT LETTER. I JUST SUPPORTED THE REQUEST FROM DORNAN TO THE OFFICE OF REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE. Q OKAY. BUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT DOESN'T SAY THAT'S WHAT THE FINDING IS, DOES IT? A IT DOES. Q IT SAYS THEIR CONCERNS ARE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. IT SAYS THE COURT: I THINK WE CAN SCROLL UP A LITTLE BIT SO WE CAN SEE IT. BY MR. HART: Q - HIS MISREPRESENTATION OF ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS MAY HAVE RESULTED IN RECEIVING STATE DEPARTMENT GRANTS OVER COMPETING APPLICANTS. SO THAT REMAINS A NOT-PROVEN ISSUE. DO WE KNOW IF HE GOT GRANT FUNDS OVER SOMEBODY ELSE BECAUSE THERE'S A MISREPRESENTATION? A WE KNOW THAT, AND DORNAN KNOWS THAT HE RECEIVED GRANTS. AND IT'S NOT JUST ONE TIME THAT THE MISREPRESENTATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU, ON BEHALF OF BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S., MADE YOUR OWN REQUEST OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA AND S.E.A.R.A.C.? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: I DID WRITE ON BEHALF OF ALL THE ORGANIZATIONS, THE VIETNAMESE-AMERICAN LEADERS. AND THE INVESTIGATION STARTED BEFORE THAT LETTER. I JUST SUPPORTED THE REQUEST FROM DORNAN TO THE OFFICE OF REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT. BY MR. HART:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WRITTEN HERE? A YES AND NO, BECAUSE HE ALSO SHARE WITH ME MANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FROM HIS OFFICE. Q OKAY. BUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT DOESN'T SAY THAT'S WHAT THE FINDING IS, DOES IT? A IT DOES. Q IT SAYS THEIR CONCERNS ARE 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. IT SAYS THE COURT: I THINK WE CAN SCROLL UP A LITTLE BIT SO WE CAN SEE IT. BY MR. HART: Q HIS MISREPRESENTATION OF ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS MAY HAVE RESULTED IN RECEIVING STATE DEPARTMENT GRANTS OVER COMPETING APPLICANTS. SO THAT REMAINS A NOT-PROVEN ISSUE. DO WE KNOW IF HE GOT GRANT FUNDS OVER SOMEBODY ELSE BECAUSE THERE'S A MISREPRESENTATION? A WE KNOW THAT, AND DORNAN KNOWS THAT HE RECEIVED GRANTS. AND IT'S NOT JUST ONE TIME THAT THE MISREPRESENTATION HAPPEN, IT'S NOT JUST ONE YEAR, NOT JUST WRITTEN ONE FEDERAL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU, ON BEHALF OF BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S., MADE YOUR OWN REQUEST OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA AND S.E.A.R.A.C.? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: I DID WRITE ON BEHALF OF ALL THE ORGANIZATIONS, THE VIETNAMESE-AMERICAN LEADERS. AND THE INVESTIGATION STARTED BEFORE THAT LETTER. I JUST SUPPORTED THE REQUEST FROM DORNAN TO THE OFFICE OF REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	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	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU, ON BEHALF OF BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S., MADE YOUR OWN REQUEST OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA AND S.E.A.R.A.C.? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: I DID WRITE ON BEHALF OF ALL THE ORGANIZATIONS, THE VIETNAMESE-AMERICAN LEADERS. AND THE INVESTIGATION STARTED BEFORE THAT LETTER. I JUST SUPPORTED THE REQUEST FROM DORNAN TO THE OFFICE OF REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT. BY MR. HART: Q WHY WERE YOU ASKING THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	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	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU, ON BEHALF OF BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S., MADE YOUR OWN REQUEST OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA AND S.E.A.R.A.C.? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: I DID WRITE ON BEHALF OF ALL THE ORGANIZATIONS, THE VIETNAMESE-AMERICAN LEADERS. AND THE INVESTIGATION STARTED BEFORE THAT LETTER. I JUST SUPPORTED THE REQUEST FROM DORNAN TO THE OFFICE OF REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT. BY MR. HART: Q WHY WERE YOU ASKING THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO INVESTIGATE S.E.A.R.A.C.?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	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	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU, ON BEHALF OF BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S., MADE YOUR OWN REQUEST OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA AND S.E.A.R.A.C.? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: I DID WRITE ON BEHALF OF ALL THE ORGANIZATIONS, THE VIETNAMESE-AMERICAN LEADERS. AND THE INVESTIGATION STARTED BEFORE THAT LETTER. I JUST SUPPORTED THE REQUEST FROM DORNAN TO THE OFFICE OF REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT. BY MR. HART: Q WHY WERE YOU ASKING THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO INVESTIGATE S.E.A.R.A.C.? A I DON'T RECALL ASKING INSPECTOR GENERAL OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	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	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	WITH THE STAFF AT DORNAN'S OFFICE TO GENERATE THIS INVESTIGATION BY THEM AGAINST S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? A NO. MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE SAID, "NO." MR. VOSS: REQUEST THAT THEY SHOW THE TOP OF THE PAGE. CAN WE SCROLL TO THE TOP OF THE PAGE? THE COURT: THERE WE GO. THAT'S GOOD. BY MR. HART: Q DR. THANG, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU, ON BEHALF OF BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S., MADE YOUR OWN REQUEST OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA AND S.E.A.R.A.C.? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: I DID WRITE ON BEHALF OF ALL THE ORGANIZATIONS, THE VIETNAMESE-AMERICAN LEADERS. AND THE INVESTIGATION STARTED BEFORE THAT LETTER. I JUST SUPPORTED THE REQUEST FROM DORNAN TO THE OFFICE OF REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT. BY MR. HART: Q WHY WERE YOU ASKING THE INSPECTOR GENERAL TO INVESTIGATE S.E.A.R.A.C.? A I DON'T RECALL ASKING INSPECTOR GENERAL OF DEPARTMENT OF STATE INVESTIGATE S.E.A.R.A.C.

Page 90 Page 92 RECOLLECTION. UNFORTUNATELY, I ONLY HAVE ONE COPY. AND HUMAN SERVICES. 2 THE COURT: AGAIN, HE'S JUST SHOWING HIM TO REFRESH THE COURT: WELL, LET MR. VOSS SEE IT FIRST, AND THEN 2 3 WE CAN ... 3 HIS RECOLLECTION. THAT'S THE QUESTION HE WANTS TO ASK --4 (DISCUSSION BETWEEN COUNSEL.) THAT'S THE OUESTION HE WANTS TO ASK. 5 MR. VOSS: SO THE PRIOR REQUEST FOR RECOLLECTION IS MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD OBJECT AT THIS TIME. 5 6 PERHAPS WE CAN DISCUSS THAT AT A BREAK. 6 WITHDRAWN, AND WE HAVE A NEW ONE NOW? THE COURT: SUPPOSEDLY, IT IS. 7 THE COURT: OBJECT TO HIM JUST SHOWING IT TO REFRESH 8 HIS RECOLLECTION? 8 BY MR. HART: 9 MR. VOSS: YES. ESPECIALLY THE DOCUMENT IS, ON TOP 9 Q DR. THANG, THE QUESTION IS --10 OF EVERYTHING ELSE, HAS GOT MARKINGS ON IT AND --10 A I'M STILL READING. THE COURT: WELL THAT'S ONE THING FOR ADMISSION OR MR. VOSS: IS THERE A COPY WE COULD BE LOOKING AT? 11 11 12 PUBLICATION. BUT IN TERMS OF JUST SHOWING IT TO THE WITNESS 12 THE COURT: I'VE BEEN REPORTED THAT THERE IS ONLY 13 TO REFRESH RECOLLECTION, I AM INCLINED TO ALLOW THAT. 13 ONE. MR. VOSS: REFRESH RECOLLECTION OF WHAT? THE WITNESS: WHAT'S THE OUESTION AGAIN, PLEASE? 14 14 15 THE COURT: HIS DENIAL THAT THERE WAS A REQUEST TO 15 BY MR. HART: 16 INSPECT OR TO CONDUCT AN INVESTIGATION. 16 Q DOES THIS, EXHIBIT 18, REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION THAT YOU WROTE A LETTER TO, LET'S JUST SAY 17 MR. VOSS: OF WHOM? 17 BROADLY, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ASKING THAT THEY INVESTIGATE 18 THE COURT: OF S.E.A.R.A.C., I BELIEVE. 18 19 MR. VOSS: BY WHAT ENTITY? INVESTIGATE BY WHOM? 19 SEARAC AND MR KHOA? MR. HART: THE OUESTION WAS THE INSPECTOR GENERAL. A NO, IN THE SENSE THAT I WROTE TO -- ON BEHALF 20 2.0 OF MANY OTHER ORGANIZATIONS, I WROTE TO THE DIRECTOR OF THE 21 SAME AS THE DORNAN LETTERS. 21 22 MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD OBJECT. IT'S NOT A 2.2 OFFICE OF REFLIGEE SETTLEMENT. WHICH WAS PART OF THE DEPARTMENT 23 OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES. IT'S NOT PART OF THE U.S. 2.3 LETTER TO THE INSPECTOR GENERAL. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO YOU MAY SHOW IT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE. AND SHE WAS NOT INSPECTOR GENERAL, LET 24 24 ALONE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE WITNESS. YOUR OBJECTION'S NOTED. IT'S NOT BEING ADMITTED AND 25 25 26 IT'S NOT BEING SHOWN TO ANYBODY. 26 AND IN ALL OF THESE HERE, THE FIVE POINTS, AND Page 91 Page 93 MR. VOSS: I SEE NO REFERENCE TO INSPECTOR GENERAL AT NONE OF THAT ADDRESSES THE MISREPRESENTATION BY MR. KHOA OF 1 1 2 ALL. HIS ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS IN ORDER TO APPLY AND OBTAIN GRANT 3 THE COURT: WE CAN SHOW JUST ABOUT ANYTHING TO A FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. WITNESS TO TRY TO REFRESH RECOLLECTION O THAT'S BECAUSE YOU DIDN'T LEARN ABOUT ANY OF 4 4 5 MR. HART: I'D LIKE TO MARK THIS AS EXHIBIT 18 FOR THAT UNTIL AFTER YOU'D BEEN TALKING TO DORNAN STAFF, CORRECT, 6 IDENTIFICATION. 6 WHICH HAPPENED LATER THAN THAT LETTER? A NO. DORNAN STAFF -- ACTUALLY, DORNAN FOUND OUT 7 THE COURT: FOR IDENTIFICATION ONLY. WHAT NUMBER? 8 MR. HART: 18. 8 IN EARLY MARCH, AS TESTIFIED, ON MARCH 6, 1996. HE WROTE TO THE COURT: IS THAT THE NEXT IN ORDER? 9 THE BOARD OF DIRECTOR OF S.E.A.R.A.C. DEMANDING PROOF OF HIS 9 ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS OF HIS BEING A DOCTOR. 10 MR. HART: YES. 10 11 11 THE COURT: SO 18. SO IT'S HOW MANY PAGES, JUST SO Q BACK TO THE ORIGINAL QUESTION. 12 WE KNOW FOR THE RECORD? 12 DOES THIS REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION THAT YOU'VE 13 WRITTEN THIS LETTER ON B.P.S.O.S. STATIONARY ASKING THE THE WITNESS: SIX PAGES. 1.3 14 THE COURT: IT'S A SIX-PAGE LETTER THAT'S BEING 14 DEPARTMENT OF REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT TO INVESTIGATE 15 S.E.A.R.A.C. AND MR. KHOA? 15 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION ONLY AS EXHIBIT 18. 16 MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY, WE REALLY NEED TO 16 (EXHIBIT 18 MARKED) 17 HAVE A COPY OF THIS LETTER WHEN WE'RE GETTING QUESTIONS LIKE 17 THE COURT: HE'LL HAVE A QUESTION. WE DON'T NEED TO THAT. I HAVE NO WAY TO BE ABLE TO VERIFY THE APPROPRIATENESS TESTIFY AS TO ANY CONTENTS OF THAT AT THIS POINT. 18 18 ALL RIGHT. HE HAS REVIEWED THE LETTER. 19 OF THE OUESTION. 19 20 THE COURT: CAN YOU SHARE ANOTHER ONE? 20 BY MR. HART: 21 MR. HART: I JUST DON'T HAVE ANOTHER ONE. 21 O DR. THANG, DOES THIS, EXHIBIT 18, REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION THAT IN MARCH OF 1996, YOU WROTE A LETTER TO THE 22 MR. VOSS: THAT WAS A MOUTHFUL. 22 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES REQUESTING THAT THEY 23 MR. HART: I CAN TAKE WHAT THE WITNESS HAS. 23 24 THE COURT: YES, THAT'S WHAT WE'LL NEED TO DO AT THIS 24 INVESTIGATE S.E.A.R.A.C.? 25 MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, OBJECTION. WE WERE TOLD THAT 25 POINT IN TIME, SINCE WE DON'T HAVE SUFFICIENT COPIES. AND 26 THEN WE'LL SEE HOW THIS GOES. IT WAS REGARDING INSPECTOR GENERAL. I'M NOW HEARING HEALTH

Page 94 Page 96 BY MR. HART: MR. VOSS, I DO NOT NEED A BUNCH OF SPEAKING 2 OBJECTIONS AND ARGUMENT IN FRONT OF THE JURY EITHER. 2 O FROM THIS PROCESS DR THANG --3 MR. VOSS: IS THE PRIOR QUESTION WITHDRAWN? 3 SO THAT'S WHY I'M SAYING WE ALL NEED TO ELEVATE MR. HART: I THOUGHT IT WAS ANSWERED. OUR PERFORMANCE HERE A LITTLE BIT SO THAT WE CAN, AS I SAID TO THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER RESPONSE TO THE THE JURY, HAVE AN EFFICIENT AND CLEAR PRESENTATION OF WHATEVER 5 5 6 PRIOR OUESTION? THE FACTS MAY BE AND BOTH SIDES HAVE AN EQUAL AND FAIR THE WITNESS: I WAS TOLD IRRELEVANT. OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT WHAT THEY -- AS THEY UNDERSTAND THE 8 THE COURT: NOT A COMMENTARY ON THE PRIOR OUESTION. 8 FACTS. DID YOU COMPLETE YOUR RESPONSE TO THE PRIOR QUESTION? SO WE START WITH THE PLAINTIFFS. THEY GET TO 9 9 PRESENT THEIR EVIDENCE FIRST. SO, DR. THANG, YOU WILL HAVE 10 THE WITNESS: YES. 10 THE COURT: SO NOW WE'RE HAVING A DIFFERENT QUESTION. 11 YOUR OPPORTUNITY, AGAIN, ON QUESTIONING FROM YOUR COUNSEL, 11 12 MR. VOSS: AND MY OBJECTION TO THE PRIOR QUESTION 12 DURING YOUR CASE, IF THERE'S ADDITIONAL THINGS. BUT THE 13 WAS, I DIDN'T HAVE THE DOCUMENT TO BE ABLE USE TO BE ABLE TO 13 PLAINTIFF HAS THE BURDEN OF PROOF, AND THEY, THEREFORE, GET TO FORMULATE AN OBJECTION. AND WE HAD A WHOLE GIANT MOUTHFUL BY GO FIRST IN TRYING TO PRESENT EVERYTHING. 14 14 COUNSEL. I DON'T THINK IT WAS APPROPRIATE TO ASK THAT 15 15 SO IF THERE ARE ANY OTHER DOCUMENTS, MR. HART, OUESTION WITHOUT ME HAVING A CHANCE TO SEE IT AND OBJECT. I WOULD ENCOURAGE YOU TO USE THE LUNCHTIME, THE LUNCH BREAK, 16 16 THE COURT: OKAY. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE'RE GOING TO GET COPIES OF THINGS. 17 17 18 TO TAKE A LITTLE BIT OF AN EARLY LUNCH BREAK HERE TODAY. IT'S 18 WE NEED TO MAKE SURE, ALSO, THAT WE HAVE CLEAN 19 ABOUT A QUARTER TILL. SO WE'RE GOING TO GO AHEAD AND PAUSE SO 19 COPIES OF MATTERS SO LIMEAN OBVIOUSLY YOUR COPIES CAN BE WE CAN STREAMLINE THINGS A LITTLE BIT MORE HERE, AND MAKE A 20 THAT YOU'RE USING FOR YOUR OWN PERSONAL USE, ANNOTATE AS MUCH 20 LITTLE MORE EFFICIENT USE OF EVERYBODY'S TIME. AS YOU LIKE FOR YOUR OWN USE. BUT IN TERMS OF WHAT'S GOING TO 21 21 2.2 SO WE'LL TAKE THAT LUNCH RECESS. IF YOU COULD 2.2 BE SHOWN TO A WITNESS, WHAT'S GOING TO BE PRESENTED TO THE 23 BE BACK AT 1:30 SO THAT WE CAN RESUME AND GET OUR AFTERNOON 23 JURY, WE NEED TO HAVE CLEAN COPIES OF DOCUMENTS SO THAT SESSION IN. NOTHING IS ADDED TO THE EXHIBIT ITSELF. 24 24 THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME: WE APPRECIATE IT MR HART: UNDERSTOOD JUDGE AND LAPOLOGIZE FOR 25 25 26 (JURY EXCUSED FOR LUNCH.) 26 THIS LITTLE TRIP UP TODAY. Page 95 Page 97 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE THE COURT: THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE THAT. 1 1 2 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 2 MR. VOSS: I APOLOGIZE, TOO, ON THE SPEAKING 3 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU MAY RETURN TO YOUR SEAT. 3 OBJECTIONS, YOUR HONOR. I KNOW BETTER. WE ALL NEED TO STEP UP OUR GAME HERE A BIT. WE NEED TO THE DIFFICULTY OF COURSE IS IF I DON'T HAVE 4 4 5 START --5 THE DOCUMENT, I CAN'T TELL YOU WHETHER IT MISCHARACTERIZES IN 6 WAIT. IT IS MY TURN RIGHT NOW TO BE SPEAKING. 6 THE OUESTION OR NOT. WE NEED TO START WITH OUR WITNESS WHO NEEDS TO 7 THE COURT: AND I'VE JUST ADDRESSED THAT. SO I'M NOT 7 8 ANSWER THE OUESTIONS THAT ARE POSED TO HIM, NOT VOLUNTEER WHAT 8 ASKING FOR AN EXPLANATION OR DEFENSE OR ANYTHING: I'M NOT 9 HE WANTS EVERYBODY TO HEAR. 9 SANCTIONING ANYBODY OR ANYTHING. I'M TRYING TO BE CLEAR ON 10 THE EXPECTATIONS THAT I HAVE SO THAT WE CAN, AGAIN, PRESENT 10 SO YOUR COUNSEL WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO 11 THIS CASE TO THE JURY IN AS CLEAR A WAY AS POSSIBLE, AND SO 11 QUESTION YOU. AT THAT TIME, HE WILL ASK AND SEEK WHATEVER 12 CLARIFICATION, ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IS NECESSARY. 12 THAT BOTH SIDES HAVE THE FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT THEIR AT THIS TIME, IT IS MR. HART'S OPPORTUNITY TO 1.3 VERSION, THEIR FACTS, IN THE WAY THAT IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE 1.3 14 ASK THE SPECIFIC OUESTIONS HE WOULD LIKE A RESPONSE TO. 14 DONE. NOT JUST THROWING THEM OUT WHEN WE THINK WE HAVE AN OPENING TO THROW OUT SOME INFORMATION. 1.5 15 AND, AS I'VE SAID BEFORE, IT IS YOUR OBLIGATION 16 SO DOES ANYBODY HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, OR IS 16 TO ANSWER THOSE OLIESTIONS TO THE BEST OF YOUR ABILITY AND 17 ANYBODY UNCLEAR ON ANYTHING THAT THE COURT HAS INDICATED? 17 RECOLLECTION AS DIRECTLY AS POSSIBLE, NOT TO VOLUNTEER AND MR. HART: NO, YOUR HONOR. THROW IN A BUNCH OF OTHER STUFF THAT YOU MAY WANT TO MISDIRECT 18 18 MR. VOSS: NO, YOUR HONOR. HEARD YOU THE FIRST TIME; OR WHATEVER THE CASE MAY BE, OR THINK THAT IT IS, YOU KNOW, 19 19 NECESSARY FOR CONTEXT, WHATEVER THE CASE MAY BE. 20 I APOLOGIZE. 20 21 THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY KINDLY; I APPRECIATE THAT. 21 MR. VOSS, AGAIN, WILL HAVE THAT OPPORTUNITY TO 22 MR. HART, WE HAVE A LITTLE EXTRA TIME HERE. IF 22 FOLLOW UP WITH YOU. YOU NEED TO GET OTHER DOCUMENTS TOGETHER, THEN I WOULD AND NEXT THING IS, WE NEED TO STOP BUTCHERING 23 23 24 ENCOURAGE YOU TO MAKE USE OF THAT TIME TO GET COPIES AND 24 THIS RECORD IN TERMS OF OUR BACK-AND-FORTH WITH EVERYBODY. WE 25 NEED -- IF WE HAVE DOCUMENTS, WE NEED COPIES OF DOCUMENTS FOR 25 EVERYTHING. 26 MR. HART: I THINK WHAT I REALLY NEED TO DO RIGHT NOW EVERYBODY. THAT STARTS WITH NUMBER ONE. 26

Page 98 Page 100 IS JUST MAKE ENOUGH COPIES FOR EVERYBODY TO HAVE ONE. MR. VOSS: AND ADMITTEDLY, BOTH BY THE NATURE OF THE 2 EXPANSIVE ANSWERS, YOU KNOW, BUT, AS WELL AS, I JUST HAVE TO 2 INCLUDING THE COURT 3 IS THERE A PLACE HERE I CAN DO THAT 3 SHARE WITH THE COURT MY CONCERN, 352 -- THAT WE'RE SPENDING COMMERCIALLY? ALL THE TIME IN THE 1970S AGAIN, OR 1990S, AND NOT ON THE TIME THE COURT: THE LAW LIBRARY ACROSS THE COURTHOUSE IN QUESTION. 5 5 MIGHT HAVE -- THERE'S A PLAZA OF FLAGS. WE HAVE A LAW LIBRARY THE COURT: WELL, I THINK WHAT WE'RE ATTEMPTING TO ON THE OTHER SIDE FOCUS ON IS WHAT HE RELIED UPON -- WHAT THE -- IF THESE ARE MR. HART: I'VE BEEN THERE. THE LETTERS OR SOME OF THE INFORMATION THAT HE --8 8 THE COURT: THEY USED TO HAVE -- IT'S BEEN YEARS MR. VOSS: BUT THE QUESTIONS WERE BEING ASKED NOW, 9 9 SINCE I BEEN IN THERE. THEY USED TO HAVE PHOTOCOPIER MACHINES DOES THIS REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION AS TO WHETHER YOU WROTE 10 10 SOME ON THE LETTER IN 1996; IT'S NOT ADDRESSING WHAT DID HE 11 OVER THERE. I WOULD ASSUME THAT WOULD BE THE CLOSEST THAT 11 12 COMES TO MY MIND. 12 USE TO DRAFT THIS IN 2020. 13 LOOK THROUGH YOUR NOTES AND SEE, ARE THERE 13 THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. OTHER DOCUMENTS? TRY AND ANTICIPATE A LITTLE BIT. DO YOU MR. VOSS: SO DO WE HAVE ANY KIND OF BALLPARK? HOW 14 14 ANTICIPATE MAYBE IF THERE ARE ADDITIONAL -- I KNOW YOU CAN'T 15 15 ABOUT THIS WAY, ARE WE HALFWAY THROUGH, OR ARE THREE-QUARTERS NECESSARILY READ THE FUTURE. 16 16 OF THE WAY THROUGH. 17 MR. HART: THIS IS THE ONLY LOOSE EXHIBIT THAT HAS 17 MR. HART: I WOULD SAY WE'RE MORE THAN HALFWAY 18 COME UP. 18 THROUGH. 19 THE COURT: THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING IF YOU THINK 19 THE COURT: WE HAVE THE TIME ESTIMATES AND THE BIG THERE MAY BE POTENTIAL OTHER DOCUMENTS THAT YOU HAVE THAT YOU THING IS THE OVERALL TIME ESTIMATES. IF YOU WANT TO 20 20 21 THINK COULD BE NECESSARY, I WOULD ENCOURAGE YOU TO MAKE COPIES 21 REALLOCATE, YOU KNOW, YOUR TIME THAT YOU'VE ESTIMATED FROM ONE 22 OF THOSE AS WELL. 22 WITNESS TO ANOTHER, I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THAT. WE HAVE TO 23 MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. 23 BE CONCERNED WITH OUR OVERALL TIME ESTIMATES. MR. VOSS: BECAUSE, YOUR HONOR, WE EXCHANGED 240 24 MR. HART: IF WE FINISH THIS WITNESS TODAY, WE'RE ON 24 DOCUMENTS ON THIS CASE. SO I'M CONCERNED WHEN I SEE SOMETHING SCHEDULE. THAT'S BECAUSE WE'RE A LITTLE AHEAD OF THINGS FROM 25 25 26 THAT APPEARS TO HAVE BEEN USED IN A DEPO. 26 THE JURY SELECTION AND FROM SHORT OPENINGS. Page 99 Page 101 THE COURT: I'LL HAVE TO CROSS THE BRIDGE AS EACH ONE 1 MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, WHAT I'M CONCERNED ABOUT, WE 1 2 PRESENTS ITSELF. BUT I'M REQUESTING THAT WE GET COPIES SAID TO THE JURY, WE'RE GOING TO GET THE CASE TO THEM ON 3 TOGETHER SO THAT WE CAN ALL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO CLOSING DAY ON THE 19TH. AND I DON'T WANT THIS -- WE SAID IT UNDERSTAND AND EVERYBODY CAN MAKE THE RECORD AS CLEAN AS WAS 90 -- FIRST IT WAS AN HOUR THEN IT WAS 90 MINUTES FOR 4 4 5 THIS WITNESS. AND IF WE SPEND ALL DAY ON THIS WITNESS, THEN 6 MR. VOSS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 6 IT'S NECESSARILY GOING TO START TO IMPINGE ON THE TIME WE HAVE 7 TO PUT ON OUR DEFENSE, AND THAT'S NOT APPROPRIATE. 7 MR. HART: VERY GOOD, THANK YOU, JUDGE, 8 MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, CAN WE HAVE INDICATION OF TIME 8 SO HOW DO WE AGREE AS TO WHAT THE TIME LIMITS 9 ESTIMATES? I'M NOT SURE HOW LONG WE'VE BEEN ON THIS WITNESS 9 ARE, BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO BE HERE ON WEDNESDAY, GOING, 10 SO FAR BUT JUST TRYING TO GET ROADMAP FOR HOW MUCH LONGER, AND 10 OKAY, YOUR TURN, YOU HAVE GOT TWO HOURS. 11 WHO IS GOING NEXT. 11 THE COURT: I WILL CONTINUE TO MONITOR, AS WE GO 12 THE COURT: THANK YOU FOR REMINDING ME; THAT WAS A 12 ALONG, HOW WE'RE DOING WITH THEIR OVERALL TIMES ESTIMATES FROM MENTAL NOTE THAT I HAD IN TERMS OF GETTING STARTED THERE. THE PLAINTIFFS SIDE, AND MAKING SURE THE DEFENSE GETS THEIR --1.3 1.3 14 MR. VOSS: I BELIEVE WE HEARD AN HOUR TO 90 MINUTES. 14 MR. VOSS: I SHARE THAT AS A CONCERN. 15 THE COURT: 90 MINUTES WAS THE MOST RECENT ONE THAT 15 THE COURT: I APPRECIATE THAT. AND, LIKE I SAID, WE 16 I'VE HEARD AND WE'VE BEEN GOING ABOUT OVER AN HOUR PROBABLY 16 NEED TO MAKE SURE WE STICK TO IT BECAUSE WE WILL START TO LOSE 17 ABOUT 75 MINUTES OR SO. WE'RE IN THAT BALLPARK; THAT'S, YOU 17 JURORS. THEY'VE ALREADY TRIED A COUPLE TIMES. KNOW, WITHOUT DOING THE MATH ON MY LITTLE NOTES HERE REAL 18 18 MR. HART: I UNDERSTOOD, JUDGE. QUICKLY, BUT WE'RE IN THAT BALLPARK, AND WHERE DO YOU THINK MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. 19 19 20 20 (LUNCH RECESS.) 21 MR. HART: I'M NEVER GOOD AT THIS QUESTION, JUDGE. I 21 22 JUST DON'T KNOW, BECAUSE I HAVE TO SEE WHERE THE WITNESS GOES. 22 AND, YOU KNOW, THIS IS QUITE A SEQUITOUS TO RIDE, THIS 23 23 24 PARTICULAR WITNESS. 24 25 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. AND IT HAS BEEN DRAGGED 25 OUT SOME THROUGH THE PROCESS HERE. 26

	Page 102		Page 104
1	SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA - THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024	1	MALICIOUS.
2	AFTERNOON SESSION	2	THE COURT: I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE, OUTSIDE
3	****	3	THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO THEM,
4	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT:)	4	AND MAKE SURE, THE EXPECTATIONS, YOU HAVE EVERY RIGHT TO BE
5	THE COURT: LET'S GO AHEAD AND GO ON THE RECORD AT	5	HERE. TAKE YOUR NOTES, THAT'S A OKAY.
6	THIS POINT IN TIME.	6	ALL RIGHT. AND WE'LL BRING IN THE JURORS, AND
7	WE'VE BEEN HAVING A DISCUSSION OUTSIDE THE	7	I APOLOGIZE FOR THE DELAY HERE.
8	PRESENCE OF THE JURY, TRYING TO PIN DOWN WHAT PORTIONS OF	8	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE
9	DEFENDANT'S DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT WOULD BE READ, IF THE NEED	9	PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)
10	ARISES, RELATED TO THAT PAGE 89 THAT WE DISCUSSED EARLIER.	10	THE COURT: WE HAVE BEEN REJOINED BY OUR JURORS.
11	SO WE KIND OF WORKED THAT IN OFF THE RECORD. I	11	THANK YOU VERY KINDLY, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, FOR YOUR
12	JUST WANTED TO POINT THAT OUT.	12	PATIENCE. WE'RE JUST TRYING TO KEEP THINGS WORKING ON
13	AND THIS IS A COMPLETELY ADMINISTRATIVE SIDE	13	STREAMLINING THINGS TO KEEP IT GOING SO WE ARE SAVING TIME. I
14	MATTER, BUT I NEED TO RAISE BEFORE I FORGET. THERE'S A MOCK	14	WOULD APOLOGIZE FOR KEEPING YOU OUT FOR A LITTLE BIT, BUT WE
15	TRIAL IN HERE TONIGHT, SO WE NEED TO CLEAN UP. I WOULDN'T	15	ARE, IN THE LONG RUN, SAVING TIME THROUGH THOSE EXERCISES.
16	LEAVE ANYTHING THAT GETS YOU KNOW, THAT NEEDS TO BE	16	IF WE COULD HAVE OUR WITNESS COME BACK, AND
17	PROTECTED OR ANYTHING, SO IT WOULD BE BEST TO JUST SO YOU	17	WE'LL GO AHEAD AND PICK UP.
18	ARE AWARE.	18	GO RIGHT AHEAD, MR. HART.
19	MR. HART: ARE YOU USING JURY BOX?	19	MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE.
20	THE COURT: THERE WILL BE THERE WILL BE THE	20	BY MR. HART:
21	SCOREKEEPER. SO THERE WILL BE A FEW PEOPLE, THE ATTORNEY	21	O DR. THANG, YOU HAVE YOUR COPY OF WHAT'S BEEN
22	SCOREKEEPERS USUALLY SIT IN THE JURY BOX.	22	MARKED AS EXHIBIT 18?
23	YOU CAN PUT BOXES IN THE JURY ROOM. THE JURY	23	A YES.
24	BOX, IT WON'T BE FULL, BUT THERE WILL BE PEOPLE IN THE JURY	24	Q OKAY. DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT THIS IS?
25	BOX, THE SCOREKEEPERS ARE TYPICALLY IN THERE.	25	A YES.
26	MR. HART: FAIR ENOUGH.	26	Q TELL THE JURY WHAT THIS IS, PLEASE.
	SHCIERCE TARKETOOGIE		Q TEEL THE SORT WHEN THIS 18, TEEL BELL.
	Page 103		Page 105
1	THE COURT: I WAS GOING TO TALK TO OUR MEDIA	1	A IT WAS A LETTER DATED MARCH 10, 1996, TO
2	REPRESENTATIVE, BUT I HAVEN'T SEEN ANY OF THEM RETURN.	2	MS. LAVINIA LIMON, DIRECTOR OF OFFICE OF REFUGEE RESETTLEMENT,
3	MR. VOSS: ALSO WANT TO CHECK.	3	WHICH IS PART OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES.
4	THE COURT: WHAT?	4	Q OKAY. AND IS THIS B.P.S.O.S. STATIONARY?
5	MR. VOSS: IT WASN'T A HUGE DEAL, BUT IT WOULD BE	5	A THAT'S CORRECT.
6	WORTH IT.	6	Q AND IS IT SIGNED BY YOU ON THE LAST PAGE?
7	MR. HART: I UNDERSTAND THAT SOME OF THEM WANT TO SIT	7	A IT WAS SIGNED BY ME.
8	IN AND WATCH AND TAKE THEIR NOTES. I'VE TOLD THEM I THINK	8	Q CAN YOU SAY, FOR THE RECORD, HOW MANY PAGES
9	THAT'S OKAY.	9	THIS LETTER IS?
10	THE COURT: I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE ALL	10	A IT WAS SIX PAGES.
11	ON THE SAME PAGE OF WHAT'S	11	Q AND WHO ALL WAS COPIED WITH THIS LETTER? IS
12	MR. VOSS: I WAS APPROACHED IN THE COURTROOM.	12	THAT SHOWN ON THE LAST PAGE?
13	THE COURT: I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THIS IS A	13	A YES.
14	PUBLIC PROCEEDING. THEY'RE WELCOME TO SIT IN THE GALLERY AND	14	Q ALL RIGHT. AND SO CAN YOU TELL THE JURY, NOW
15	TAKE NOTES, BUT THEY CANNOT PHOTOGRAPH, DO ANY SORTS OF	15	THAT YOUR RECOLLECTION IS REFRESHED, WHAT THE PURPOSE OF THIS
16	RECORDING WHATSOEVER. THEY CANNOT COME IN PAST THE RAIL AND	16	LETTER WAS?
17	WONDER AROUND THE COURTROOM. THEY CAN'T DISTURB THE	17	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS NOT AN
18	PROCEEDINGS IN ANY WAY. THEY CAN'T DO ANY INTERVIEWS HERE IN	18	EXHIBIT THAT'S BEING OFFERED TO SEE IF IT REFRESHED HIS
19	THE COURTROOM.	19	RECOLLECTION. I OBJECT TO THE FORM OF THAT QUESTION.
20	AND I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY UNDERSTAND,	20	MR. HART: I'LL REPHRASE, JUDGE.
21	THE JURORS HAVE BEEN TOLD NOT TALK TO ANYBODY ABOUT THE CASE,	21	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
22	SO THEY SHOULD NOT BE TRYING TO INTERVIEW OR INTERACT WITH THE	22	BY MR. HART:
23	JURORS, EITHER. SO, I MEAN, THEY ARE COMPLETELY WELCOME. I	23	Q DR. THANG, HAVING HAD A CHANCE TO LOOK AT THIS
24	JUST WANT TO TRY AND NIP IT IN THE BUD TO AVOID ANY	24	MARCH 10, 1996 B.P.S.O.S. LETTER TO LAVINIA LIMON, DOES THAT
25	MISUNDERSTANDINGS.	25	REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION THAT YOU REQUESTED AN INVESTIGATION
26	MR. VOSS: WHAT OCCURRED WAS INCORRECT BUT NOT	26	INTO S.E.A.R.A.C. AND PROFESSOR KHOA?

	Page 106		Page 108
1	A THIS WAS A LETTER FROM OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS,	1	PROJECTS TO AN ORGANIZATION, WHICH IS FREQUENTLY AT ODDS WITH
2	OUR LATE BOARD CHAIR	2	THE COMMUNITY IT IS SUPPOSED TO SERVE."
3	(REPORTER ASK FOR CLARIFICATION.)	3	AND THAT'S A REFERENCE TO S.E.A.R.A.C.
4	THE WITNESS: OUR LATE BOARD CHAIR DRAFTED THIS	4	DO YOU SEE THAT?
5	ONE. AT THE TIME, I ACTUALLY REMEMBER I WAS A YOUNG PH.D.	5	A YES, I DO.
6	WORKING FULL-TIME AT THE NAVY. I DIDN'T KNOW MUCH WHAT WAS	6	Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHY BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S. WAS AT
7	GOING ON IN THE COMMUNITY. BUT AS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, I SIGN	7	ODDS WITH S.E.A.R.A.C.?
8	IT.	8	MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, I RENEW MY OBJECTION
9	BY MR. HART:	9	UNDER 352.
10	Q OKAY. WERE YOU TOLD TO SEND THIS LETTER BY THE	10	THE COURT: WE'RE STARTING TO GET A BIT FAR AFIELD
11	BOARD OF DIRECTORS?	11	FROM THE INTENDED PURPOSE OF THAT.
12	A THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS ONLY TOOK CARE OF	12	MR. HART: I UNDERSTAND, JUDGE.
13	INTERNAL GOVERNANCE. MY LATE BOARD CHAIR WAS VERY MUCH IN	13	THE COURT: WAIT. WAIT.
14	TUNE WITH THE COMMUNITY. HE COLLECTED AND COMPILED ALL THE	14	MR. HART: SORRY.
15	CONCERNS AMONG VIETNAMESE-AMERICAN LEADERS, AND HE COMPILED	15	THE COURT: WE CAN GET THIS ONE, AND THEN WE NEED TO
16	THIS LETTER. AND IT WAS MY DUTY TO SIGN IT AND SEND IT OUT.	16	GET MOVING ALONG.
17	Q DO YOU RECALL NOW, HAVING REVIEWED THIS LETTER,	17	MR. HART: YES, JUDGE.
18	THAT B.P.S.O.S. IN MARCH OF 1996 WAS REQUESTING AN	18	THE COURT: YOU MAY RESPOND TO THAT ONE QUESTION, AND
19	INVESTIGATION OF S.E.A.R.A.C. AND PROFESSOR KHOA?	19	THEN WE'RE NOT GOING TO DIVE DEEP HERE.
20	A VERY VAGUELY AFTER YOU SHOW ME THIS.	20	THE WITNESS: CAN YOU REPEAT IT?
21	Q OKAY. AND DO YOU AUTHENTICATE THAT THIS IS A	21	BY MR. HART:
22	LETTER THAT YOU RECALL SENDING AND SIGNING ON THE LAST PAGE?	22	Q MY QUESTION WAS: DO YOU RECALL WHY BOAT PEOPLE
23	A YES.	23	S.O.S. WAS AT ODDS WITH S.E.A.R.A.C., HAD ISSUES WITH
24	MR. HART: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO OFFER THIS	24	S.E.A.R.A.C., IN MARCH OF 1996?
25	EXHIBIT INTO EVIDENCE AS EXHIBIT 18.	25	A IT SAID THAT S.E.A.R.A.C. WAS AT ODDS WITH THE
26	MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE TWO OBJECTIONS. ONE OF	26	COMMUNITIES IT'S SUPPOSED TO SERVE, INCLUDING REFUGEES,
	Page 107		7 100
	1490 107		Page 109
1	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE	1	Page 109 IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN
1 2		1 2	
	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE		IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN
2	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN	2	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA.
2	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE.	2	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT
2 3 4	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS	2 3 4	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS?
2 3 4 5	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED.	2 3 4 5	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO
2 3 4 5	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD?	2 3 4 5	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT.
2 3 4 5 6 7	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR	2 3 4 5 6	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR TIMING POINT.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE QUESTION, TO THE QUESTION POSED, NOT AS TO OTHER MATTERS.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR TIMING POINT. ALL RIGHT. WE DO NEED TO GET A LITTLE BIT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE QUESTION, TO THE QUESTION POSED, NOT AS TO OTHER MATTERS. THE WITNESS: I REMEMBER, BUT IT REQUIRES ME A LOT OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR TIMING POINT. ALL RIGHT. WE DO NEED TO GET A LITTLE BIT CLEANER COPY OF IT. ARE WE INTENDING TO PUBLISH THIS AT THIS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE QUESTION, TO THE QUESTION POSED, NOT AS TO OTHER MATTERS. THE WITNESS: I REMEMBER, BUT IT REQUIRES ME A LOT OF TIME TO EXPLAIN, YOUR HONOR.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR TIMING POINT. ALL RIGHT. WE DO NEED TO GET A LITTLE BIT CLEANER COPY OF IT. ARE WE INTENDING TO PUBLISH THIS AT THIS POINT IN TIME? MR. HART: NO, BUT I'D LIKE TO INQUIRE INTO SOME OF THE CONTENT.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE QUESTION, TO THE QUESTION POSED, NOT AS TO OTHER MATTERS. THE WITNESS: I REMEMBER, BUT IT REQUIRES ME A LOT OF TIME TO EXPLAIN, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: I'LL WITHDRAW
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR TIMING POINT. ALL RIGHT. WE DO NEED TO GET A LITTLE BIT CLEANER COPY OF IT. ARE WE INTENDING TO PUBLISH THIS AT THIS POINT IN TIME? MR. HART: NO, BUT I'D LIKE TO INQUIRE INTO SOME OF THE CONTENT. THE COURT: OKAY. SO AS TO THE CONDITION OF THE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE QUESTION, TO THE QUESTION POSED, NOT AS TO OTHER MATTERS. THE WITNESS: I REMEMBER, BUT IT REQUIRES ME A LOT OF TIME TO EXPLAIN, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: I'LL WITHDRAW THE COURT: OKAY.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR TIMING POINT. ALL RIGHT. WE DO NEED TO GET A LITTLE BIT CLEANER COPY OF IT. ARE WE INTENDING TO PUBLISH THIS AT THIS POINT IN TIME? MR. HART: NO, BUT I'D LIKE TO INQUIRE INTO SOME OF THE CONTENT. THE COURT: OKAY. SO AS TO THE CONDITION OF THE COPY, WE DO NEED FOR OUR NEXT TRIAL DATE WE'LL NEED A CLEAN	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE QUESTION, TO THE QUESTION POSED, NOT AS TO OTHER MATTERS. THE WITNESS: I REMEMBER, BUT IT REQUIRES ME A LOT OF TIME TO EXPLAIN, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: I'LL WITHDRAW THE COURT: OKAY. MR. HART: BASED ON THAT AND ASK A DIFFERENT FINAL QUESTION. BY MR. HART:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR TIMING POINT. ALL RIGHT. WE DO NEED TO GET A LITTLE BIT CLEANER COPY OF IT. ARE WE INTENDING TO PUBLISH THIS AT THIS POINT IN TIME? MR. HART: NO, BUT I'D LIKE TO INQUIRE INTO SOME OF THE CONTENT. THE COURT: OKAY. SO AS TO THE CONDITION OF THE COPY, WE DO NEED FOR OUR NEXT TRIAL DATE WE'LL NEED A CLEAN COPY OF IT. HOPEFULLY, WE CAN TRACK DOWN THAT.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE QUESTION, TO THE QUESTION POSED, NOT AS TO OTHER MATTERS. THE WITNESS: I REMEMBER, BUT IT REQUIRES ME A LOT OF TIME TO EXPLAIN, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: I'LL WITHDRAW THE COURT: OKAY. MR. HART: BASED ON THAT AND ASK A DIFFERENT FINAL QUESTION.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR TIMING POINT. ALL RIGHT. WE DO NEED TO GET A LITTLE BIT CLEANER COPY OF IT. ARE WE INTENDING TO PUBLISH THIS AT THIS POINT IN TIME? MR. HART: NO, BUT I'D LIKE TO INQUIRE INTO SOME OF THE CONTENT. THE COURT: OKAY. SO AS TO THE CONDITION OF THE COPY, WE DO NEED FOR OUR NEXT TRIAL DATE WE'LL NEED A CLEAN COPY OF IT. HOPEFULLY, WE CAN TRACK DOWN THAT. AND AS TO THE 352, IT WILL BE OVERRULED. BUT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE QUESTION, TO THE QUESTION POSED, NOT AS TO OTHER MATTERS. THE WITNESS: I REMEMBER, BUT IT REQUIRES ME A LOT OF TIME TO EXPLAIN, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: I'LL WITHDRAW THE COURT: OKAY. MR. HART: BASED ON THAT AND ASK A DIFFERENT FINAL QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHICH IS: THE CC LIST INCLUDES SEVEN PLACES ON THE BACK OF THE PAGE, INCLUDING ALL MEMBERS OF CONGRESS ON THE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR TIMING POINT. ALL RIGHT. WE DO NEED TO GET A LITTLE BIT CLEANER COPY OF IT. ARE WE INTENDING TO PUBLISH THIS AT THIS POINT IN TIME? MR. HART: NO, BUT I'D LIKE TO INQUIRE INTO SOME OF THE CONTENT. THE COURT: OKAY. SO AS TO THE CONDITION OF THE COPY, WE DO NEED FOR OUR NEXT TRIAL DATE WE'LL NEED A CLEAN COPY OF IT. HOPEFULLY, WE CAN TRACK DOWN THAT. AND AS TO THE 352, IT WILL BE OVERRULED. BUT WE ARE GOING TO NOT SPEND A DEEP DIVE AT ALL ON THIS ONE. SO	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE QUESTION, TO THE QUESTION POSED, NOT AS TO OTHER MATTERS. THE WITNESS: I REMEMBER, BUT IT REQUIRES ME A LOT OF TIME TO EXPLAIN, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: I'LL WITHDRAW THE COURT: OKAY. MR. HART: BASED ON THAT AND ASK A DIFFERENT FINAL QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHICH IS: THE CC LIST INCLUDES SEVEN PLACES ON THE TWO SUBCOMMITTEES, CONGRESSMAN DORNAN, SENATOR CHARLES ROTH.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR TIMING POINT. ALL RIGHT. WE DO NEED TO GET A LITTLE BIT CLEANER COPY OF IT. ARE WE INTENDING TO PUBLISH THIS AT THIS POINT IN TIME? MR. HART: NO, BUT I'D LIKE TO INQUIRE INTO SOME OF THE CONTENT. THE COURT: OKAY. SO AS TO THE CONDITION OF THE COPY, WE DO NEED FOR OUR NEXT TRIAL DATE WE'LL NEED A CLEAN COPY OF IT. HOPEFULLY, WE CAN TRACK DOWN THAT. AND AS TO THE 352, IT WILL BE OVERRULED. BUT WE ARE GOING TO NOT SPEND A DEEP DIVE AT ALL ON THIS ONE. SO IT WILL BE ADMITTED AT THIS TIME.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE QUESTION, TO THE QUESTION POSED, NOT AS TO OTHER MATTERS. THE WITNESS: I REMEMBER, BUT IT REQUIRES ME A LOT OF TIME TO EXPLAIN, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: I'LL WITHDRAW THE COURT: OKAY. MR. HART: BASED ON THAT AND ASK A DIFFERENT FINAL QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHICH IS: THE CC LIST INCLUDES SEVEN PLACES ON THE BACK OF THE PAGE, INCLUDING ALL MEMBERS OF CONGRESS ON THE TWO SUBCOMMITTEES, CONGRESSMAN DORNAN, SENATOR CHARLES ROTH. DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF WHY THIS LETTER
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR TIMING POINT. ALL RIGHT. WE DO NEED TO GET A LITTLE BIT CLEANER COPY OF IT. ARE WE INTENDING TO PUBLISH THIS AT THIS POINT IN TIME? MR. HART: NO, BUT I'D LIKE TO INQUIRE INTO SOME OF THE CONTENT. THE COURT: OKAY. SO AS TO THE CONDITION OF THE COPY, WE DO NEED FOR OUR NEXT TRIAL DATE WE'LL NEED A CLEAN COPY OF IT. HOPEFULLY, WE CAN TRACK DOWN THAT. AND AS TO THE 352, IT WILL BE OVERRULED. BUT WE ARE GOING TO NOT SPEND A DEEP DIVE AT ALL ON THIS ONE. SO IT WILL BE ADMITTED AT THIS TIME. (EXHIBIT 352 ADMITTED.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE QUESTION, TO THE QUESTION POSED, NOT AS TO OTHER MATTERS. THE WITNESS: I REMEMBER, BUT IT REQUIRES ME A LOT OF TIME TO EXPLAIN, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: I'LL WITHDRAW THE COURT: OKAY. MR. HART: BASED ON THAT AND ASK A DIFFERENT FINAL QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHICH IS: THE CC LIST INCLUDES SEVEN PLACES ON THE BACK OF THE PAGE, INCLUDING ALL MEMBERS OF CONGRESS ON THE TWO SUBCOMMITTEES, CONGRESSMAN DORNAN, SENATOR CHARLES ROTH. DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF WHY THIS LETTER COMPLAINING ABOUT S.E.A.R.A.C. WAS SENT TO ALL THOSE PARTIES?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR TIMING POINT. ALL RIGHT. WE DO NEED TO GET A LITTLE BIT CLEANER COPY OF IT. ARE WE INTENDING TO PUBLISH THIS AT THIS POINT IN TIME? MR. HART: NO, BUT I'D LIKE TO INQUIRE INTO SOME OF THE CONTENT. THE COURT: OKAY. SO AS TO THE CONDITION OF THE COPY, WE DO NEED FOR OUR NEXT TRIAL DATE WE'LL NEED A CLEAN COPY OF IT. HOPEFULLY, WE CAN TRACK DOWN THAT. AND AS TO THE 352, IT WILL BE OVERRULED. BUT WE ARE GOING TO NOT SPEND A DEEP DIVE AT ALL ON THIS ONE. SO IT WILL BE ADMITTED AT THIS TIME. (EXHIBIT 352 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE QUESTION, TO THE QUESTION POSED, NOT AS TO OTHER MATTERS. THE WITNESS: I REMEMBER, BUT IT REQUIRES ME A LOT OF TIME TO EXPLAIN, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: I'LL WITHDRAW THE COURT: OKAY. MR. HART: BASED ON THAT AND ASK A DIFFERENT FINAL QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHICH IS: THE CC LIST INCLUDES SEVEN PLACES ON THE BACK OF THE PAGE, INCLUDING ALL MEMBERS OF CONGRESS ON THE TWO SUBCOMMITTEES, CONGRESSMAN DORNAN, SENATOR CHARLES ROTH. DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF WHY THIS LETTER COMPLAINING ABOUT S.E.A.R.A.C. WAS SENT TO ALL THOSE PARTIES? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, OBJECTION. 352, YOUR HONOR.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR TIMING POINT. ALL RIGHT. WE DO NEED TO GET A LITTLE BIT CLEANER COPY OF IT. ARE WE INTENDING TO PUBLISH THIS AT THIS POINT IN TIME? MR. HART: NO, BUT I'D LIKE TO INQUIRE INTO SOME OF THE CONTENT. THE COURT: OKAY. SO AS TO THE CONDITION OF THE COPY, WE DO NEED FOR OUR NEXT TRIAL DATE WE'LL NEED A CLEAN COPY OF IT. HOPEFULLY, WE CAN TRACK DOWN THAT. AND AS TO THE 352, IT WILL BE OVERRULED. BUT WE ARE GOING TO NOT SPEND A DEEP DIVE AT ALL ON THIS ONE. SO IT WILL BE ADMITTED AT THIS TIME. (EXHIBIT 352 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE QUESTION, TO THE QUESTION POSED, NOT AS TO OTHER MATTERS. THE WITNESS: I REMEMBER, BUT IT REQUIRES ME A LOT OF TIME TO EXPLAIN, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: I'LL WITHDRAW THE COURT: OKAY. MR. HART: BASED ON THAT AND ASK A DIFFERENT FINAL QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHICH IS: THE CC LIST INCLUDES SEVEN PLACES ON THE BACK OF THE PAGE, INCLUDING ALL MEMBERS OF CONGRESS ON THE TWO SUBCOMMITTEES, CONGRESSMAN DORNAN, SENATOR CHARLES ROTH. DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF WHY THIS LETTER COMPLAINING ABOUT S.E.A.R.A.C. WAS SENT TO ALL THOSE PARTIES? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, OBJECTION. 352, YOUR HONOR.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR TIMING POINT. ALL RIGHT. WE DO NEED TO GET A LITTLE BIT CLEANER COPY OF IT. ARE WE INTENDING TO PUBLISH THIS AT THIS POINT IN TIME? MR. HART: NO, BUT I'D LIKE TO INQUIRE INTO SOME OF THE CONTENT. THE COURT: OKAY. SO AS TO THE CONDITION OF THE COPY, WE DO NEED FOR OUR NEXT TRIAL DATE WE'LL NEED A CLEAN COPY OF IT. HOPEFULLY, WE CAN TRACK DOWN THAT. AND AS TO THE 352, IT WILL BE OVERRULED. BUT WE ARE GOING TO NOT SPEND A DEEP DIVE AT ALL ON THIS ONE. SO IT WILL BE ADMITTED AT THIS TIME. (EXHIBIT 352 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE QUESTION, TO THE QUESTION POSED, NOT AS TO OTHER MATTERS. THE WITNESS: I REMEMBER, BUT IT REQUIRES ME A LOT OF TIME TO EXPLAIN, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: I'LL WITHDRAW THE COURT: OKAY. MR. HART: BASED ON THAT AND ASK A DIFFERENT FINAL QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHICH IS: THE CC LIST INCLUDES SEVEN PLACES ON THE TWO SUBCOMMITTEES, CONGRESSMAN DORNAN, SENATOR CHARLES ROTH. DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF WHY THIS LETTER COMPLAINING ABOUT S.E.A.R.A.C. WAS SENT TO ALL THOSE PARTIES? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, OBJECTION. 352, YOUR HONOR. THERE'S NO RELEVANCE TO THIS INQUIRY. THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR TIMING POINT. ALL RIGHT. WE DO NEED TO GET A LITTLE BIT CLEANER COPY OF IT. ARE WE INTENDING TO PUBLISH THIS AT THIS POINT IN TIME? MR. HART: NO, BUT I'D LIKE TO INQUIRE INTO SOME OF THE CONTENT. THE COURT: OKAY. SO AS TO THE CONDITION OF THE COPY, WE DO NEED FOR OUR NEXT TRIAL DATE WE'LL NEED A CLEAN COPY OF IT. HOPEFULLY, WE CAN TRACK DOWN THAT. AND AS TO THE 352, IT WILL BE OVERRULED. BUT WE ARE GOING TO NOT SPEND A DEEP DIVE AT ALL ON THIS ONE. SO IT WILL BE ADMITTED AT THIS TIME. (EXHIBIT 352 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART: Q SO, DR. THANG, THE LETTER SAYS AT THE BEGINNING: "WE ARE WRITING TO EXPRESS OUR CONCERN ABOUT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE QUESTION, TO THE QUESTION POSED, NOT AS TO OTHER MATTERS. THE WITNESS: I REMEMBER, BUT IT REQUIRES ME A LOT OF TIME TO EXPLAIN, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: I'LL WITHDRAW THE COURT: OKAY. MR. HART: BASED ON THAT AND ASK A DIFFERENT FINAL QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHICH IS: THE CC LIST INCLUDES SEVEN PLACES ON THE BACK OF THE PAGE, INCLUDING ALL MEMBERS OF CONGRESS ON THE TWO SUBCOMMITTEES, CONGRESSMAN DORNAN, SENATOR CHARLES ROTH. DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF WHY THIS LETTER COMPLAINING ABOUT S.E.A.R.A.C. WAS SENT TO ALL THOSE PARTIES? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, OBJECTION. 352, YOUR HONOR. THERE'S NO RELEVANCE TO THIS INQUIRY. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. HART:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WHICH IS A COPY IS STILL NOT A CLEAN COPY. YOU KNOW, IF THE COURT IS GOING TO RECEIVE IT, I THINK WE OUGHT TO GET A CLEAN COPY BEFORE NEXT WEEK TO BE THE ONE TO INTRODUCE. AND SECONDLY, UNDER 352, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY RECEIVED. MAY I BE HEARD FURTHER IN THAT REGARD? THE COURT: NOT RIGHT NOW. I THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR TIMING POINT. ALL RIGHT. WE DO NEED TO GET A LITTLE BIT CLEANER COPY OF IT. ARE WE INTENDING TO PUBLISH THIS AT THIS POINT IN TIME? MR. HART: NO, BUT I'D LIKE TO INQUIRE INTO SOME OF THE CONTENT. THE COURT: OKAY. SO AS TO THE CONDITION OF THE COPY, WE DO NEED FOR OUR NEXT TRIAL DATE WE'LL NEED A CLEAN COPY OF IT. HOPEFULLY, WE CAN TRACK DOWN THAT. AND AS TO THE 352, IT WILL BE OVERRULED. BUT WE ARE GOING TO NOT SPEND A DEEP DIVE AT ALL ON THIS ONE. SO IT WILL BE ADMITTED AT THIS TIME. (EXHIBIT 352 ADMITTED.) MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. HART:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IMMIGRANTS IN THE U.S., AS WELL AS BOAT PEOPLE IN THE CAMPS IN HONG KONG AS WELL IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. Q OKAY. THE QUESTION IS: DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT ISSUE WAS? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS HAS NO RELEVANCE TO THE STATEMENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST RESPOND TO THE ONE QUESTION, TO THE QUESTION POSED, NOT AS TO OTHER MATTERS. THE WITNESS: I REMEMBER, BUT IT REQUIRES ME A LOT OF TIME TO EXPLAIN, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: I'LL WITHDRAW THE COURT: OKAY. MR. HART: BASED ON THAT AND ASK A DIFFERENT FINAL QUESTION. BY MR. HART: Q WHICH IS: THE CC LIST INCLUDES SEVEN PLACES ON THE TWO SUBCOMMITTEES, CONGRESSMAN DORNAN, SENATOR CHARLES ROTH. DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF WHY THIS LETTER COMPLAINING ABOUT S.E.A.R.A.C. WAS SENT TO ALL THOSE PARTIES? MR. VOSS: AGAIN, OBJECTION. 352, YOUR HONOR. THERE'S NO RELEVANCE TO THIS INQUIRY. THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

	Page 110		Page 112
1	MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, BEFORE WE MOVE FORWARD, IN	1	THE COURT: OKAY. AND AS I JUST SAID, THAT IS
2	LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT THERE ARE NO RELEVANT QUESTIONS ON	2	OVERRULED. LET ME HEAR WHAT THE FOLLOW-UP QUESTION IS. AND
3	EXHIBIT 18, I WOULD ASK THAT THAT BE STRICKEN.	3	THEN YOU CAN REASSERT THAT OBJECTION.
4	THE COURT: DENIED.	4	BY MR. HART:
5	MR. VOSS: THANK YOU.	5	Q DO YOU SEE IN THE LAST TWO SENTENCES OF THE
6	THE WITNESS: I'M THERE.	6	INDENTED QUOTATION PART, DR. THANG?
7	BY MR. HART:	7	A YES.
8	Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT EXHIBIT 16 IS? AND I'M	8	Q AND THOSE LAST TWO SENTENCES ARE, IN FACT, THE
9	REFERRING ONLY TO THE PAGES THAT LEAD UP TO YOUR SIGNATURE ON	9	SAME TWO SENTENCES THAT ARE ON THE WHITEBOARD; ARE THEY NOT?
10	PAGE 18 OF I'M SORRY, IT'S PAGE 7 OF EXHIBIT 16.	10	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 352. BRING AN
11	A PAGE 7?	11	ANTI-SLAPP.
12	Q RIGHT.	12	THE COURT: OVERRULED. THIS IS STILL FOUNDATIONAL.
13	A THIS IS MY DECLARATION.	13	I'M TRYING TO HEAR WHAT THE ULTIMATE QUESTION IS. AND THEN
14	Q OKAY. AND YOU SIGNED THIS DECLARATION UNDER	14	YOU MAY ASSERT THE 352 WHEN I FIND OUT WHAT THE ULTIMATE
15	PENALTY OF PERJURY?	15	QUESTION IS.
16	A CORRECT.	16	THE WITNESS: IT IS.
17	Q AND THAT'S YOUR SIGNATURE ON PAGE 7?	17	BY MR. HART:
18	A CORRECT.	18	Q IT IS THE SAME; THOSE ARE THE SAME TWO
19	Q ALL RIGHT. AND EXHIBIT 16, PAGES 1 THROUGH 7,	19	SENTENCES.
20	CONSTITUTE YOUR STATEMENTS UNDER OATH IN SUPPORT OF YOUR SLAPP	20	WHEN YOU PUBLISHED THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR
21 22	MOTION, THE DECLARATION FOR YOUR SLAPP MOTION; CORRECT? A CORRECT.	21 22	MAY 25, 2020 ARTICLE, YOU DIDN'T KNOW WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT; DID YOU?
23	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, ON 352.	23	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, 352. THIS DOCUMENT
24	MAY I BE HEARD?	24	IS NOT NEEDED. IT'S ALREADY ON THE BOARD. THIS DOCUMENT IS
25	THE COURT: NOT YET. BUT LET ME HEAR WHERE THIS IS	25	CUMULATIVE AND
26	GOING, AND THEN YOU CAN RENEW THAT IN A MOMENT. I NEED TO GET	26	THE COURT: AND THERE'S BEEN NO REQUEST TO ADMIT IT
	Page 111		Dago 112
	Page 111		Page 113
1	A LITTLE CONTEXT.	1	INTO EVIDENCE YET.
1 2		1 2	
	A LITTLE CONTEXT.		INTO EVIDENCE YET.
2 3 4	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE	2 3 4	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU
2 3 4 5	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12?	2 3 4 5	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO
2 3 4 5 6	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES.	2 3 4 5	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE?
2 3 4 5 6 7	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5?	2 3 4 5 6 7	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12. MR. HART: RIGHT.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR ARTICLE, YOU HAD NO IDEA WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12. MR. HART: RIGHT. BY MR. HART:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR ARTICLE, YOU HAD NO IDEA WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT? A THAT'S NOT CORRECT. I HAVE VAGUELY IDEA THAT
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12. MR. HART: RIGHT. BY MR. HART: Q AND SO TAKE A MINUTE TO READ THE INDENTED	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR ARTICLE, YOU HAD NO IDEA WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12. MR. HART: RIGHT. BY MR. HART:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR ARTICLE, YOU HAD NO IDEA WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT? A THAT'S NOT CORRECT. I HAVE VAGUELY IDEA THAT THEY WERE TRUE.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12. MR. HART: RIGHT. BY MR. HART: Q AND SO TAKE A MINUTE TO READ THE INDENTED QUOTATION. AND THEN I'M GOING TO ASK YOU A QUESTION ABOUT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR ARTICLE, YOU HAD NO IDEA WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT? A THAT'S NOT CORRECT. I HAVE VAGUELY IDEA THAT THEY WERE TRUE. MR. HART: OKAY. SO NOW I'D LIKE TO READ FROM THE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12. MR. HART: RIGHT. BY MR. HART: Q AND SO TAKE A MINUTE TO READ THE INDENTED QUOTATION. AND THEN I'M GOING TO ASK YOU A QUESTION ABOUT THAT. OKAY?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR ARTICLE, YOU HAD NO IDEA WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT? A THAT'S NOT CORRECT. I HAVE VAGUELY IDEA THAT THEY WERE TRUE. MR. HART: OKAY. SO NOW I'D LIKE TO READ FROM THE WITNESS' DEPOSITION, BEGINNING AT PAGE 81.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12. MR. HART: RIGHT. BY MR. HART: Q AND SO TAKE A MINUTE TO READ THE INDENTED QUOTATION. AND THEN I'M GOING TO ASK YOU A QUESTION ABOUT THAT. OKAY? MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, I RENEW MY OBJECTION UNDER	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR ARTICLE, YOU HAD NO IDEA WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT? A THAT'S NOT CORRECT. I HAVE VAGUELY IDEA THAT THEY WERE TRUE. MR. HART: OKAY. SO NOW I'D LIKE TO READ FROM THE WITNESS' DEPOSITION, BEGINNING AT PAGE 81. MR. VOSS: FOR CLARITY, IS THIS BEING OFFERED AS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12. MR. HART: RIGHT. BY MR. HART: Q AND SO TAKE A MINUTE TO READ THE INDENTED QUOTATION. AND THEN I'M GOING TO ASK YOU A QUESTION ABOUT THAT. OKAY? MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, I RENEW MY OBJECTION UNDER 352. THIS IS JUST CUMULATIVE.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR ARTICLE, YOU HAD NO IDEA WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT? A THAT'S NOT CORRECT. I HAVE VAGUELY IDEA THAT THEY WERE TRUE. MR. HART: OKAY. SO NOW I'D LIKE TO READ FROM THE WITNESS' DEPOSITION, BEGINNING AT PAGE 81. MR. VOSS: FOR CLARITY, IS THIS BEING OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12. MR. HART: RIGHT. BY MR. HART: Q AND SO TAKE A MINUTE TO READ THE INDENTED QUOTATION. AND THEN I'M GOING TO ASK YOU A QUESTION ABOUT THAT. OKAY? MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, I RENEW MY OBJECTION UNDER 352. THIS IS JUST CUMULATIVE. THE COURT: LET ME READ IT.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR ARTICLE, YOU HAD NO IDEA WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT? A THAT'S NOT CORRECT. I HAVE VAGUELY IDEA THAT THEY WERE TRUE. MR. HART: OKAY. SO NOW I'D LIKE TO READ FROM THE WITNESS' DEPOSITION, BEGINNING AT PAGE 81. MR. VOSS: FOR CLARITY, IS THIS BEING OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT? MR. HART: YES, OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12. MR. HART: RIGHT. BY MR. HART: Q AND SO TAKE A MINUTE TO READ THE INDENTED QUOTATION. AND THEN I'M GOING TO ASK YOU A QUESTION ABOUT THAT. OKAY? MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, I RENEW MY OBJECTION UNDER 352. THIS IS JUST CUMULATIVE. THE COURT: LET ME READ IT. WAIT. LET ME HEAR THE QUESTION, MR. HART.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR ARTICLE, YOU HAD NO IDEA WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT? A THAT'S NOT CORRECT. I HAVE VAGUELY IDEA THAT THEY WERE TRUE. MR. HART: OKAY. SO NOW I'D LIKE TO READ FROM THE WITNESS' DEPOSITION, BEGINNING AT PAGE 81. MR. VOSS: FOR CLARITY, IS THIS BEING OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT? MR. HART: YES, OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT. MR. VOSS: I OBJECT TO THE READING AS OFFERED FOR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12. MR. HART: RIGHT. BY MR. HART: Q AND SO TAKE A MINUTE TO READ THE INDENTED QUOTATION. AND THEN I'M GOING TO ASK YOU A QUESTION ABOUT THAT. OKAY? MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, I RENEW MY OBJECTION UNDER 352. THIS IS JUST CUMULATIVE. THE COURT: LET ME READ IT. WAIT. LET ME HEAR THE QUESTION, MR. HART. AND THEN YOU CAN ASSERT YOUR OBJECTION.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR ARTICLE, YOU HAD NO IDEA WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT? A THAT'S NOT CORRECT. I HAVE VAGUELY IDEA THAT THEY WERE TRUE. MR. HART: OKAY. SO NOW I'D LIKE TO READ FROM THE WITNESS' DEPOSITION, BEGINNING AT PAGE 81. MR. VOSS: FOR CLARITY, IS THIS BEING OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT? MR. HART: YES, OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT. MR. VOSS: I OBJECT TO THE READING AS OFFERED FOR IMPEACHMENT, BEING THAT THE ANSWER JUST GIVEN IS NOT IMPEACHED
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12. MR. HART: RIGHT. BY MR. HART: Q AND SO TAKE A MINUTE TO READ THE INDENTED QUOTATION. AND THEN I'M GOING TO ASK YOU A QUESTION ABOUT THAT. OKAY? MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, I RENEW MY OBJECTION UNDER 352. THIS IS JUST CUMULATIVE. THE COURT: LET ME READ IT. WAIT. LET ME HEAR THE QUESTION, MR. HART. AND THEN YOU CAN ASSERT YOUR OBJECTION. BY MR. HART:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR ARTICLE, YOU HAD NO IDEA WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT? A THAT'S NOT CORRECT. I HAVE VAGUELY IDEA THAT THEY WERE TRUE. MR. HART: OKAY. SO NOW I'D LIKE TO READ FROM THE WITNESS' DEPOSITION, BEGINNING AT PAGE 81. MR. VOSS: FOR CLARITY, IS THIS BEING OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT? MR. HART: YES, OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT. MR. VOSS: I OBJECT TO THE READING AS OFFERED FOR IMPEACHMENT, BEING THAT THE ANSWER JUST GIVEN IS NOT IMPEACHED BY THE PROFFERED READING THAT IS SUGGESTED.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12. MR. HART: RIGHT. BY MR. HART: Q AND SO TAKE A MINUTE TO READ THE INDENTED QUOTATION. AND THEN I'M GOING TO ASK YOU A QUESTION ABOUT THAT. OKAY? MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, I RENEW MY OBJECTION UNDER 352. THIS IS JUST CUMULATIVE. THE COURT: LET ME READ IT. WAIT. LET ME HEAR THE QUESTION, MR. HART. AND THEN YOU CAN ASSERT YOUR OBJECTION. BY MR. HART: Q HAVE YOU READ THE INDENTED QUOTATION A YES. Q IN PARAGRAPH 12?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR ARTICLE, YOU HAD NO IDEA WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT? A THAT'S NOT CORRECT. I HAVE VAGUELY IDEA THAT THEY WERE TRUE. MR. HART: OKAY. SO NOW I'D LIKE TO READ FROM THE WITNESS' DEPOSITION, BEGINNING AT PAGE 81. MR. VOSS: FOR CLARITY, IS THIS BEING OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT? MR. HART: YES, OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT. MR. VOSS: I OBJECT TO THE READING AS OFFERED FOR IMPEACHMENT, BEING THAT THE ANSWER JUST GIVEN IS NOT IMPEACHED BY THE PROFFERED READING THAT IS SUGGESTED. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL NOTE AND ACKNOWLEDGE THE OBJECTIONS. AND WE'LL ALLOW IT TO BE READ. WE HAVE A WITNESS, A PARTY WITNESS, AND IT IS ALSO POTENTIALLY
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12. MR. HART: RIGHT. BY MR. HART: Q AND SO TAKE A MINUTE TO READ THE INDENTED QUOTATION. AND THEN I'M GOING TO ASK YOU A QUESTION ABOUT THAT. OKAY? MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, I RENEW MY OBJECTION UNDER 352. THIS IS JUST CUMULATIVE. THE COURT: LET ME READ IT. WAIT. LET ME HEAR THE QUESTION, MR. HART. AND THEN YOU CAN ASSERT YOUR OBJECTION. BY MR. HART: Q HAVE YOU READ THE INDENTED QUOTATION A YES. Q IN PARAGRAPH 12? A YES.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR ARTICLE, YOU HAD NO IDEA WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT? A THAT'S NOT CORRECT. I HAVE VAGUELY IDEA THAT THEY WERE TRUE. MR. HART: OKAY. SO NOW I'D LIKE TO READ FROM THE WITNESS' DEPOSITION, BEGINNING AT PAGE 81. MR. VOSS: FOR CLARITY, IS THIS BEING OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT? MR. HART: YES, OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT. MR. VOSS: I OBJECT TO THE READING AS OFFERED FOR IMPEACHMENT, BEING THAT THE ANSWER JUST GIVEN IS NOT IMPEACHED BY THE PROFFERED READING THAT IS SUGGESTED. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL NOTE AND ACKNOWLEDGE THE OBJECTIONS. AND WE'LL ALLOW IT TO BE READ. WE HAVE A WITNESS, A PARTY WITNESS, AND IT IS ALSO POTENTIALLY IMPEACHMENT AS WELL.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12. MR. HART: RIGHT. BY MR. HART: Q AND SO TAKE A MINUTE TO READ THE INDENTED QUOTATION. AND THEN I'M GOING TO ASK YOU A QUESTION ABOUT THAT. OKAY? MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, I RENEW MY OBJECTION UNDER 352. THIS IS JUST CUMULATIVE. THE COURT: LET ME READ IT. WAIT. LET ME HEAR THE QUESTION, MR. HART. AND THEN YOU CAN ASSERT YOUR OBJECTION. BY MR. HART: Q HAVE YOU READ THE INDENTED QUOTATION A YES. Q IN PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: AND MY OBJECTION THAT IT'S UNDER 352 AND	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR ARTICLE, YOU HAD NO IDEA WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT? A THAT'S NOT CORRECT. I HAVE VAGUELY IDEA THAT THEY WERE TRUE. MR. HART: OKAY. SO NOW I'D LIKE TO READ FROM THE WITNESS' DEPOSITION, BEGINNING AT PAGE 81. MR. VOSS: FOR CLARITY, IS THIS BEING OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT? MR. HART: YES, OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT. MR. VOSS: I OBJECT TO THE READING AS OFFERED FOR IMPEACHMENT, BEING THAT THE ANSWER JUST GIVEN IS NOT IMPEACHED BY THE PROFFERED READING THAT IS SUGGESTED. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL NOTE AND ACKNOWLEDGE THE OBJECTIONS. AND WE'LL ALLOW IT TO BE READ. WE HAVE A WITNESS, A PARTY WITNESS, AND IT IS ALSO POTENTIALLY IMPEACHMENT AS WELL. SO YOU MAY GO AHEAD.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A LITTLE CONTEXT. MR. VOSS: IT WILL OPEN BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. ON PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 16, DO YOU SEE THAT, PARTICULARLY PARAGRAPH 12? A YES. MR. VOSS: ONE MOMENT. PAGE 5? THE COURT: PAGE 5 OF THE EXHIBIT, NOT OF THE PAGE NUMBERING OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF. AND IT'S PARAGRAPH 12. MR. HART: RIGHT. BY MR. HART: Q AND SO TAKE A MINUTE TO READ THE INDENTED QUOTATION. AND THEN I'M GOING TO ASK YOU A QUESTION ABOUT THAT. OKAY? MR. VOSS: YOUR HONOR, I RENEW MY OBJECTION UNDER 352. THIS IS JUST CUMULATIVE. THE COURT: LET ME READ IT. WAIT. LET ME HEAR THE QUESTION, MR. HART. AND THEN YOU CAN ASSERT YOUR OBJECTION. BY MR. HART: Q HAVE YOU READ THE INDENTED QUOTATION A YES. Q IN PARAGRAPH 12? A YES.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	INTO EVIDENCE YET. OVERRULED. BY MR. HART: Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT, DR. THANG, THAT YOU STATED IN THIS DECLARATION, UNDER OATH, THAT THOSE TWO SENTENCES WERE WHAT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE? A CORRECT. Q AND AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, CAN YOU TELL US THAT, AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THOSE TWO SENTENCES IN YOUR ARTICLE, YOU HAD NO IDEA WHETHER THEY WERE TRUE OR NOT? A THAT'S NOT CORRECT. I HAVE VAGUELY IDEA THAT THEY WERE TRUE. MR. HART: OKAY. SO NOW I'D LIKE TO READ FROM THE WITNESS' DEPOSITION, BEGINNING AT PAGE 81. MR. VOSS: FOR CLARITY, IS THIS BEING OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT? MR. HART: YES, OFFERED AS IMPEACHMENT. MR. VOSS: I OBJECT TO THE READING AS OFFERED FOR IMPEACHMENT, BEING THAT THE ANSWER JUST GIVEN IS NOT IMPEACHED BY THE PROFFERED READING THAT IS SUGGESTED. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL NOTE AND ACKNOWLEDGE THE OBJECTIONS. AND WE'LL ALLOW IT TO BE READ. WE HAVE A WITNESS, A PARTY WITNESS, AND IT IS ALSO POTENTIALLY IMPEACHMENT AS WELL.

	Page 114		Page 116
1	THE COURT: WALK CAREFULLY, BECAUSE WE TALKED ABOUT	1	"ANSWER: AND, HERE, IT SAYS THAT
2	WHAT PORTIONS, SO LET'S MAKE SURE WE ARE ALL ON THE SAME PAGE.	2	'THAT WAS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE'. WHAT I SAID
3	MR. VOSS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	3	IS. 'THAT IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE'.
4	MR. HART: FOR THE RECORD, I'M INTENDING TO READ FROM	4	"QUESTION: OKAY. IS THERE ANYTHING
5	PAGE 81, LINE 10 THROUGH PAGE 90, LINE 19, MINUS THE COLLOQUY	5	ELSE THAT YOU DISAGREE WITH IN TERMS OF THE
6	AMONG COUNSEL.	6	ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF THE SENTENCE BETWEEN
7	THE COURT: I WILL NOTE FOR THE RECORD, WE KIND OF	7	THOSE TWO POINTS?
8	WENT THROUGH THIS OFF THE RECORD, AND SO WE'LL FOLLOW ALONG TO	8	"ANSWER: YES. IN MY ORIGINAL
9	TRY AND MAKE SURE WE'RE ALL ON THE SAME PAGE IN TERMS OF THE	9	WRITING, I WROTE THAT MR. KHOA FALSELY
10	EXTRA STUFF THAT WE'RE NOT INCLUDING.	10	CLAIMED TO BE A DOCTOR IN HIS APPLICATION
11	YOU MAY CONTINUE.	11	FOR GRANTS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE.
12	MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. (AS READ:)	12	IN HERE IT SAYS THAT HE HELD A DOCTORAL
13	"QUESTION: I'M READING FROM THE	13	DEGREE. SO THAT'S A DIFFERENCE.
14	TRANSLATION THAT YOU PROVIDED OF WHAT YOU	14	"QUESTION: ANY OTHER DIFFERENCES?
15	SAID IN THE ARTICLE. AND I WANT YOU TO	15	"ANSWER: I SAW THOSE THREE.
16	TELL ME WHAT, IF ANYTHING, FROM EITHER OF	16	"QUESTION: WHEN YOU WROTE THE MAY
17	THESE TWO SENTENCES IS NOT CORRECT?	17	25 ARTICLE, DID YOU SAY THIS MAY 25TH OF
18	"QUESTION: WHAT I'M ASKING IS	18	2020, DID YOU SAY OR DID YOU GO BACK AND
19	WHETHER THIS INCORRECTLY STATES WHAT YOU	19	LOOK AT DORNAN'S LETTER AGAIN?
20	PUT IN YOUR ARTICLE? DOES YOUR ARTICLE	20	"(NO RESPONSE.)
21	SAY: 'MR. KHOA FALSELY CLAIMED THAT HE	21	"QUESTION: WHY DID YOU "
22	HELD A DOCTORAL DEGREE IN THE APPLICATION	22	THE COURT: NO, "(NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)"
23	FOR A GRANT FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE'?	23	MR. HART: RIGHT. (AS READ:)
24	IS THAT SENTENCE NOT TRANSLATED CORRECTLY	24	"WHY DID YOU SAY CHRISTOPHER SMITH?
25	FROM YOUR ARTICLE, MEANING IS THAT NOT WHAT	25	IF YOU HAD DORNAN'S ACTUAL LETTER TO LOOK
26	YOU MEANT TO SAY?	26	AT, WHY DID YOU MAKE THAT MISTAKE ABOUT
	Page 115		Page 117
1	"QUESTION: ARE THOSE YOUR WORDS OR	1	SMITH VERSUS DORNAN?
2	THE WORDS OF THE CONGRESSMAN?	2	"ANSWER: BECAUSE I WAS TALKING
3	"ANSWER: I REPORTED THE CONTENT OF	3	ABOUT SMITH AT THE TIME, I GUESS. I MUST
4	CONGRESSMAN DORNAN'S LETTER DATED	4	HAVE MEANT DORNAN, BUT THROUGHOUT THE
5	APRIL 30TH."	5	ARTICLE, IT'S JUST A TYPO, YOU KNOW.
6	WE'RE NOW ON PAGE 84, AT LINE 12: (AS READ:)	6	"QUESTION: SO THE QUESTION IS,
7	"QUESTION: DR. THANG, THE TWO	7	BEFORE YOU PUBLISHED THAT ARTICLE, DID YOU
8	SENTENCES THAT I HAVE READ, ARE THEY	8	GO BACK AND LOOK AT THE LETTER?
9	ACCURATELY TRANSCRIBED FROM YOUR REPORT OF	9	"I LOOKED AT THE LETTER WHEN I WAS
10	WHAT CONGRESSMAN DORNAN SAID IN HIS LETTER?	10	WRITING.
11	"ANSWER: TRANSLATED, YOU MEAN;	11	"QUESTION: OKAY. AND THEN DID YOU
12	RIGHT?	12	MISPLACE THE LETTER AFTER THAT?
13	"QUESTION: ARE THEY ACCURATELY SET	13	"ANSWER: NO, I HAD IT IN MY
14	FORTH?	14	ARCHIVE.
15	"ANSWER: IT'S NOT TOTALLY ACCURATE.	15	"QUESTION: YOU ALWAYS HAD IT?
16	"QUESTION: WHAT'S WRONG ABOUT IT?	16	"ANSWER: YES, YES.
17	"ANSWER: NO.	17	"AND YET THE QUESTION: AND YET
18	"WHAT'S WRONG ABOUT IT?	18	THE I THINK IN THE EARLY DAYS OF THE
19	"ANSWER: FOR INSTANCE, THE	19	LITIGATION, EVEN THE SLAPP MOTION, IT WAS REFERRED TO AS 'SMITH?
20	TRANSLATION SAYS THAT, ON A FRAUDULENT	21	"ANSWER: NO, I THINK WE CORRECTED
21 22	BACKGROUND STATEMENT USED WHEN APPLYING FOR	22	IT.
23	FEDERAL GRANT, WHAT I WROTE THIS IS NOT OFFICIAL TRANSLATION MEANS THAT ABOUT	23	"QUESTION: DID YOU DO ANY OTHER
24	THE ACT OF FALSIFYING THE BIO TO APPLY FOR	24	RESEARCH BEFORE YOU PUBLISHED THAT ARTICLE
		25	TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT YOU SAID IT
2.5	FEDERAL GRANT OR FUNDING IN GENERAL.	20	
25 26	FEDERAL GRANT OR FUNDING IN GENERAL. "QUESTION: OKAY.	26	ACCURATELY?

	Page 118		Page 120
1 "AN	NSWER: NO. WE HAD A LOT OF	1	THERE IS A REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION.
2 DOCU	MENTS ABOUT THE ISSUE AT THE TIME AS I	2	"QUESTION: IS IT YOUR OPINION, FROM
3 READ	IT, YEAH.	3	LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30TH LETTER, THAT
	JESTION: ALL RIGHT. SO TELL ME	4	MR. DORNAN IS ASKING SOMEBODY TO
_	YOU LOOKED AT BEFORE YOU PUBLISHED THE	5	INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA'S FALSE CLAIM OF
	5, 2020 ARTICLE.	6	PH.D.?
	SWER: I LOOKED AT A SERIES OF	7	"ANSWER: YES, IT'S RIGHT HERE.
	RS FROM CONGRESSMAN DORNAN I ALMOST	8	THAT'S WHAT HE SAID.
	MITH AGAIN DORNAN TO DIFFERENT	9	"OUESTION: ARE THERE ANY OTHER
	NG AGENCIES REQUESTING INVESTIGATION	10	LETTERS THAT TEND TO SUPPORT WHAT YOU WROTE
	. KHOA AND S.E.A.R.A.C. AND DEMANDING	11	IN THE MAY 25 ARTICLE ABOUT MR. KHOA?
	S OF S.E.A.R.A.C.'S PROPOSALS AND	12	"ANSWER: I JUST CITED THIS LETTER."
	L'S PROPOSALS TO THOSE TWO FEDERAL	13	(DONE READING.)
14 AGENO		14	BY MR. HART:
	JESTION: SO YOU LOOKED AT THESE	15	Q DR. THANG, YOU HAVE WRITTEN ABOUT THIS LAWSUIT
_	RS BEFORE YOU PUBLISHED THE ARTICLE?	16	AFTER THE LAWSUIT WAS FILED; HAVE YOU NOT?
	SWER: YES.	17	A YES.
		18	Q TAKE A LOOK, PLEASE, AT EXHIBIT 13.
-	JESTION: OKAY. AND EXHIBIT F IS	19	DO YOU RECOGNIZE EXHIBIT 13?
	PRIL 30, 1996 LETTER. TELL ME WHAT IT HAT LETTER THAT SUPPORTS WHAT YOU	20	
		21	A YES.
	E ABOUT LE XUAN KHOA.		Q WHAT DO YOU RECOGNIZE IT TO BE? A THIS WAS MY ARTICLE DATED SEPTEMBER 4 2022
	NSWER: IT ADDRESSES THE HONORABLE	22	A THIS WAS MY ARTICLE DATED SEPTEMBER 4, 2023.
-	JELINE L. WILLIAMS-BRIDGERS, INSPECTOR	23	Q AND WHERE WAS THIS ARTICLE PUBLISHED?
	RAL OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE.	24	A ON THE MACH SONG MEDIA. THAT IS THE WEBSITE OF
	N HERE, AS DORNAN WROTE, 'I HEREBY	25	BOAT PEOPLE S.O.S.
26 REQUE	EST THAT YOUR INSPECTOR GENERAL'S	26	Q I'M SORRY?
	Page 119		Page 121
1 OFFICE	E INVESTIGATE THESE MATTERS AND	1	A IT'S THE WEBSITE.
2 PROVII	DE ME WITH THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION	2	Q HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE SUBSCRIBED TO MACH SONG
3 BY MA	Y 30, 1996.	3	MEDIA?
4 "AN	ND WHAT WERE THOSE MATTERS?	4	A WE HAVE ABOUT 8,000.
5 "TH	E FIVE MATTERS. THE FIFTH ONE IS	5	Q AND WHO ARE THESE PEOPLE? HOW DO YOU GET THEIR
6 MR. KI	HOA'S SELF-PROMOTION AS A PH.D.	6	SUBSCRIPTIONS?
7 HOWE	VER, HE RECENTLY ADMITTED THAT HE DOES	7	A WELL, THESE ARE THE PEOPLE WHO ARE CONCERNED
8 NOT H.	AVE SUCH A DEGREE. HIS	8	ABOUT REFUGEE ISSUES MAINLY AND ABOUT OUR ACTIVITIES SUCH AS
9 MISRE	PRESENTATION OF ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS	9	RESCUING VICTIMS OF HUMAN TRAFFICKING, DEFENDING CHRISTIANS
10 MAY H	IAVE RESULTED IN HIS RECEIVING STATE	10	AND OTHER PEOPLE FAITH AGAINST BEING PERSECUTED BY THE
11 DEPAR	TMENT GRANTS OVER COMPETING	11	COMMUNIST REGIME IN VIETNAM.
12 APPLIO	CANTS.	12	Q OKAY. AREN'T THESE 8,000 PEOPLE FORMER
13 "QU	JESTION: IS IT YOUR POSITION THAT	13	DONATORS OR DONORS TO B.P.S.O.S.?
14 THIS L	ETTER, THIS APRIL 30, 1996 LETTER,	14	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. RELEVANCE AND 352.
15 MAKES	S THE COMMENT YOU WROTE IN YOUR ARTICLE	15	THIS IS POST-LAWSUIT WRITINGS.
16 TRUE?		16	MR. HART: I'M SORRY, THIS IS A GENERAL QUESTION.
17 "QU	JESTION: IN YOUR OPINION,	17	THE COURT: WAIT. WE'LL INVITE ARGUMENT, IF
18 DR. TH	ANG, WHEN YOU WROTE THESE THOSE	18	NECESSARY. THE QUESTION IS JUST SIMPLY ABOUT THE SUBSCRIBERS
19 COMM	ENTS THAT WE JUST ARGUED ABOUT FOR THE	19	RIGHT NOW. SO WE'LL SEE WHAT COMES UP AS THE ARTICLE.
20 LAST 3	0 MINUTES IN YOUR ARTICLE, DID YOU	20	THE WITNESS: YES.
21 BELIEV	VE THEY WERE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM?	21	THE COURT: GO AHEAD. YOU MAY RESPOND.
	AVE NO IDEA. IT'S JUST REQUEST	22	THE WITNESS: YES, MANY OF THEM DONATED TO BOAT
	VESTIGATION; RIGHT? THERE HASN'T	23	PEOPLE S.O.S.
	ANY RESULT OR REPORT YET. SO THIS WAS	24	BY MR. HART:
	EQUEST. SO I JUST PARAPHRASED	25	Q OKAY. AND SO WHENEVER YOU PUBLISH AN ARTICLE
	TLY THE LETTER OF MR. DORNAN. THAT IS,	26	ON THE MACH SONG MEDIA WEBSITE, ANYBODY WHO GOES TO THE
	,		,

	Page 122		Page 124
1	WEBSITE CAN READ IT; RIGHT?	1	A YES.
2	A THAT'S CORRECT.	2	Q BUT YOU DON'T KNOW HOW MANY OF THE 8,000 EMAILS
3	Q AND IN ADDITION TO THAT, YOU EMAIL IT OUT TO AT	3	OPEN AND READ WHAT
4	LEAST 8,000 PEOPLE?	4	A NO, WE DON'T.
5	A OH, I'M SORRY. WE DON'T HAVE SUBSCRIBERS TO	5	Q I'M SORRY?
6	THE WEBSITE. WE SEND OUT TO 8,000 PEOPLE.	6	A NO, WE DON'T.
7	Q RIGHT.	7	Q "WE DON'T"?
8	A YEAH.	8	A WE DO NOT KNOW.
9	Q YOU SEND IT OUT BY EMAIL?	9	Q OKAY. BUT WE KNOW WE SENT IT OUT TO AT LEAST
10	A BY EMAIL.	10	8,000 EMAILS?
11	Q OKAY. SO ANYTHING YOU PUBLISH ON MACH SONG	11	A THAT'S CORRECT.
12	MEDIA GOES TWO DIFFERENT WAYS; ONE TO THE WEBSITE WHERE THEY	12	Q AND SO THIS EXHIBIT 13 WAS SIMILARLY EMAILED
13	CAN LOOK AT IT?	13	OUT TO 8,000 PEOPLE AND POSTED ON THE WEBSITE?
14	A THAT'S RIGHT.	14	A CORRECT.
15	Q AND THE OTHER IS EMAIL TO THE 8,000-PERSON	15	Q NOW, MY QUESTION IS: WHY DID YOU FIND IT
16	EMAIL LIST?	16	NECESSARY TO DISCUSS THE PENDING LAWSUIT WITH THE 8,000 PEOPLE
17	A CORRECT.	17	AND WHOEVER LOOKS AT THE WEBSITE?
18	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, AGAIN, YOUR HONOR. 352 AND	18	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. 352.
19	RELEVANCE OF POST-LITIGATION COMMUNICATIONS AT ALL.	19	THE COURT: SUSTAINED. UNLESS THERE'S SOME SPECIFIC
20	THE COURT: OKAY. OVERRULED.	20	COMMENT IN HERE THAT WE CAN FIND BE DIRECTED TO.
21	I'M TAKING THIS AS IN GENERAL, NOT SPECIFIC TO	21	BY MR. HART:
22	THIS ARTICLE, BUT IN GENERAL WHO THESE ARE SENT TO.	22	Q WHEN YOU WROTE THESE POST-LAWSUIT ARTICLES, DID
23	MR. VOSS: THEN OBJECT AS TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION	23	YOU MAKE ANY COMMENTS ABOUT WHETHER THE TWO STATEMENTS ON THE
24	AS VAGUE, BECAUSE ARE WE ASKING ABOUT ANY PRIOR, OR ARE WE NOW	24	WHITEBOARD WERE TRUE?
25	ASKING IN THE FUTURE? THE QUESTION IS UNCLEAR.	25	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE AND PRESUMES FACTS
26	THE COURT: IF YOU COULD CLARIFY, MR. HART.	26	NOT IN EVIDENCE.
		î e	
	Page 123		Page 125
1	Page 123 BY MR. HART:	1	Page 125 THE COURT: OVERRULED.
1 2		1 2	
	BY MR. HART:		THE COURT: OVERRULED.
2	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S.	2	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF
2	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST	2 3	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13.
2 3 4	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA?	2 3 4	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14.
2 3 4 5	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11.	2 3 4 5	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART:
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS?	2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES. Q SO THAT MEANS YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE WAS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER? A RIGHT.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES. Q SO THAT MEANS YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE WAS SIMILARLY POSTED ON THE WEBSITE AND EMAILED OUT TO 8,000	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER? A RIGHT. Q "THE ONLY PART THAT HAS NOT BEEN RESOLVED
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES. Q SO THAT MEANS YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE WAS SIMILARLY POSTED ON THE WEBSITE AND EMAILED OUT TO 8,000 PEOPLE?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER? A RIGHT. Q "THE ONLY PART THAT HAS NOT BEEN RESOLVED CONCERNS THE CONTENT MR. KHOA FALSELY DECLARED HIMSELF A
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES. Q SO THAT MEANS YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE WAS SIMILARLY POSTED ON THE WEBSITE AND EMAILED OUT TO 8,000 PEOPLE? A CORRECT.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER? A RIGHT. Q "THE ONLY PART THAT HAS NOT BEEN RESOLVED CONCERNS THE CONTENT MR. KHOA FALSELY DECLARED HIMSELF A PH.D."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES. Q SO THAT MEANS YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE WAS SIMILARLY POSTED ON THE WEBSITE AND EMAILED OUT TO 8,000 PEOPLE? A CORRECT. Q SO IF THEY OPENED THE EMAIL AND READ IT, THEN	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER? A RIGHT. Q "THE ONLY PART THAT HAS NOT BEEN RESOLVED CONCERNS THE CONTENT MR. KHOA FALSELY DECLARED HIMSELF A PH.D." DO YOU SEE THAT? A YES. Q SO HERE YOU HAVE, IN 2023, REPUBLISHED THE TWO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES. Q SO THAT MEANS YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE WAS SIMILARLY POSTED ON THE WEBSITE AND EMAILED OUT TO 8,000 PEOPLE? A CORRECT. Q SO IF THEY OPENED THE EMAIL AND READ IT, THEN AT LEAST 8,000 PEOPLE READ THE TWO SENTENCES ABOUT MR. KHOA;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER? A RIGHT. Q "THE ONLY PART THAT HAS NOT BEEN RESOLVED CONCERNS THE CONTENT MR. KHOA FALSELY DECLARED HIMSELF A PH.D." DO YOU SEE THAT? A YES.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES. Q SO THAT MEANS YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE WAS SIMILARLY POSTED ON THE WEBSITE AND EMAILED OUT TO 8,000 PEOPLE? A CORRECT. Q SO IF THEY OPENED THE EMAIL AND READ IT, THEN AT LEAST 8,000 PEOPLE READ THE TWO SENTENCES ABOUT MR. KHOA; DIDN'T THEY? A USUALLY, THE READERSHIP WAS ABOUT MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. PRESUMES FACTS NOT IN	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER? A RIGHT. Q "THE ONLY PART THAT HAS NOT BEEN RESOLVED CONCERNS THE CONTENT MR. KHOA FALSELY DECLARED HIMSELF A PH.D." DO YOU SEE THAT? A YES. Q SO HERE YOU HAVE, IN 2023, REPUBLISHED THE TWO SENTENCES, HAVEN'T YOU? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. RELEVANCE. 352.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES. Q SO THAT MEANS YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE WAS SIMILARLY POSTED ON THE WEBSITE AND EMAILED OUT TO 8,000 PEOPLE? A CORRECT. Q SO IF THEY OPENED THE EMAIL AND READ IT, THEN AT LEAST 8,000 PEOPLE READ THE TWO SENTENCES ABOUT MR. KHOA; DIDN'T THEY? A USUALLY, THE READERSHIP WAS ABOUT MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. PRESUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE. CALLS FOR SPECULATION.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER? A RIGHT. Q "THE ONLY PART THAT HAS NOT BEEN RESOLVED CONCERNS THE CONTENT MR. KHOA FALSELY DECLARED HIMSELF A PH.D." DO YOU SEE THAT? A YES. Q SO HERE YOU HAVE, IN 2023, REPUBLISHED THE TWO SENTENCES, HAVEN'T YOU? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. RELEVANCE. 352. LITIGATION PRIVILEGE. WE KNOW THE CURRENT LAWSUIT ISN'T
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES. Q SO THAT MEANS YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE WAS SIMILARLY POSTED ON THE WEBSITE AND EMAILED OUT TO 8,000 PEOPLE? A CORRECT. Q SO IF THEY OPENED THE EMAIL AND READ IT, THEN AT LEAST 8,000 PEOPLE READ THE TWO SENTENCES ABOUT MR. KHOA; DIDN'T THEY? A USUALLY, THE READERSHIP WAS ABOUT MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. PRESUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE. CALLS FOR SPECULATION. THE COURT: OVERRULED.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER? A RIGHT. Q "THE ONLY PART THAT HAS NOT BEEN RESOLVED CONCERNS THE CONTENT MR. KHOA FALSELY DECLARED HIMSELF A PH.D." DO YOU SEE THAT? A YES. Q SO HERE YOU HAVE, IN 2023, REPUBLISHED THE TWO SENTENCES, HAVEN'T YOU? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. RELEVANCE. 352. LITIGATION PRIVILEGE. WE KNOW THE CURRENT LAWSUIT ISN'T SEEKING RECOVERY FOR THIS STATEMENT. THERE'S NO REPUBLICATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES. Q SO THAT MEANS YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE WAS SIMILARLY POSTED ON THE WEBSITE AND EMAILED OUT TO 8,000 PEOPLE? A CORRECT. Q SO IF THEY OPENED THE EMAIL AND READ IT, THEN AT LEAST 8,000 PEOPLE READ THE TWO SENTENCES ABOUT MR. KHOA; DIDN'T THEY? A USUALLY, THE READERSHIP WAS ABOUT MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. PRESUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE. CALLS FOR SPECULATION. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: ABOUT 200 TO ABOUT 500 PEOPLE WOULD	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER? A RIGHT. Q "THE ONLY PART THAT HAS NOT BEEN RESOLVED CONCERNS THE CONTENT MR. KHOA FALSELY DECLARED HIMSELF A PH.D." DO YOU SEE THAT? A YES. Q SO HERE YOU HAVE, IN 2023, REPUBLISHED THE TWO SENTENCES, HAVEN'T YOU? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. RELEVANCE. 352. LITIGATION PRIVILEGE. WE KNOW THE CURRENT LAWSUIT ISN'T SEEKING RECOVERY FOR THIS STATEMENT. THERE'S NO REPUBLICATION ALLEGATION.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES. Q SO THAT MEANS YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE WAS SIMILARLY POSTED ON THE WEBSITE AND EMAILED OUT TO 8,000 PEOPLE? A CORRECT. Q SO IF THEY OPENED THE EMAIL AND READ IT, THEN AT LEAST 8,000 PEOPLE READ THE TWO SENTENCES ABOUT MR. KHOA; DIDN'T THEY? A USUALLY, THE READERSHIP WAS ABOUT MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. PRESUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE. CALLS FOR SPECULATION. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: ABOUT 200 TO ABOUT 500 PEOPLE WOULD OPEN THE EMAIL AND READ THE ARTICLE.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER? A RIGHT. Q "THE ONLY PART THAT HAS NOT BEEN RESOLVED CONCERNS THE CONTENT MR. KHOA FALSELY DECLARED HIMSELF A PH.D." DO YOU SEE THAT? A YES. Q SO HERE YOU HAVE, IN 2023, REPUBLISHED THE TWO SENTENCES, HAVEN'T YOU? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. RELEVANCE. 352. LITIGATION PRIVILEGE. WE KNOW THE CURRENT LAWSUIT ISN'T SEEKING RECOVERY FOR THIS STATEMENT. THERE'S NO REPUBLICATION ALLEGATION. THE COURT: THERE IS NO REPUBLICATION ALLEGATION.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES. Q SO THAT MEANS YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE WAS SIMILARLY POSTED ON THE WEBSITE AND EMAILED OUT TO 8,000 PEOPLE? A CORRECT. Q SO IF THEY OPENED THE EMAIL AND READ IT, THEN AT LEAST 8,000 PEOPLE READ THE TWO SENTENCES ABOUT MR. KHOA; DIDN'T THEY? A USUALLY, THE READERSHIP WAS ABOUT MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. PRESUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE. CALLS FOR SPECULATION. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: ABOUT 200 TO ABOUT 500 PEOPLE WOULD OPEN THE EMAIL AND READ THE ARTICLE. BY MR. HART:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER? A RIGHT. Q "THE ONLY PART THAT HAS NOT BEEN RESOLVED CONCERNS THE CONTENT MR. KHOA FALSELY DECLARED HIMSELF A PH.D." DO YOU SEE THAT? A YES. Q SO HERE YOU HAVE, IN 2023, REPUBLISHED THE TWO SENTENCES, HAVEN'T YOU? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. RELEVANCE. 352. LITIGATION PRIVILEGE. WE KNOW THE CURRENT LAWSUIT ISN'T SEEKING RECOVERY FOR THIS STATEMENT. THERE'S NO REPUBLICATION ALLEGATION. THE COURT: THERE IS NO REPUBLICATION ALLEGATION. THAT IS CORRECT.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES. Q SO THAT MEANS YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE WAS SIMILARLY POSTED ON THE WEBSITE AND EMAILED OUT TO 8,000 PEOPLE? A CORRECT. Q SO IF THEY OPENED THE EMAIL AND READ IT, THEN AT LEAST 8,000 PEOPLE READ THE TWO SENTENCES ABOUT MR. KHOA; DIDN'T THEY? A USUALLY, THE READERSHIP WAS ABOUT MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. PRESUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE. CALLS FOR SPECULATION. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: ABOUT 200 TO ABOUT 500 PEOPLE WOULD OPEN THE EMAIL AND READ THE ARTICLE. BY MR. HART: Q HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER? A RIGHT. Q "THE ONLY PART THAT HAS NOT BEEN RESOLVED CONCERNS THE CONTENT MR. KHOA FALSELY DECLARED HIMSELF A PH.D." DO YOU SEE THAT? A YES. Q SO HERE YOU HAVE, IN 2023, REPUBLISHED THE TWO SENTENCES, HAVEN'T YOU? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. RELEVANCE. 352. LITIGATION PRIVILEGE. WE KNOW THE CURRENT LAWSUIT ISN'T SEEKING RECOVERY FOR THIS STATEMENT. THERE'S NO REPUBLICATION ALLEGATION. THE COURT: THERE IS NO REPUBLICATION ALLEGATION. THAT IS CORRECT. MR. HART: WELL, I LOATH TO ENTER INTO A SPEAKING
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES. Q SO THAT MEANS YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE WAS SIMILARLY POSTED ON THE WEBSITE AND EMAILED OUT TO 8,000 PEOPLE? A CORRECT. Q SO IF THEY OPENED THE EMAIL AND READ IT, THEN AT LEAST 8,000 PEOPLE READ THE TWO SENTENCES ABOUT MR. KHOA; DIDN'T THEY? A USUALLY, THE READERSHIP WAS ABOUT MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. PRESUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE. CALLS FOR SPECULATION. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: ABOUT 200 TO ABOUT 500 PEOPLE WOULD OPEN THE EMAIL AND READ THE ARTICLE. BY MR. HART: Q HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT? A BECAUSE WE HAVE A TRACKER IN OUR MACH SONG	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER? A RIGHT. Q "THE ONLY PART THAT HAS NOT BEEN RESOLVED CONCERNS THE CONTENT MR. KHOA FALSELY DECLARED HIMSELF A PH.D." DO YOU SEE THAT? A YES. Q SO HERE YOU HAVE, IN 2023, REPUBLISHED THE TWO SENTENCES, HAVEN'T YOU? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. RELEVANCE. 352. LITIGATION PRIVILEGE. WE KNOW THE CURRENT LAWSUIT ISN'T SEEKING RECOVERY FOR THIS STATEMENT. THERE'S NO REPUBLICATION ALLEGATION. THE COURT: THERE IS NO REPUBLICATION ALLEGATION. THAT IS CORRECT. MR. HART: WELL, I LOATH TO ENTER INTO A SPEAKING ARGUMENT WITH THE COURT OVER THIS ISSUE. HOWEVER, REGARDLESS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES. Q SO THAT MEANS YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE WAS SIMILARLY POSTED ON THE WEBSITE AND EMAILED OUT TO 8,000 PEOPLE? A CORRECT. Q SO IF THEY OPENED THE EMAIL AND READ IT, THEN AT LEAST 8,000 PEOPLE READ THE TWO SENTENCES ABOUT MR. KHOA; DIDN'T THEY? A USUALLY, THE READERSHIP WAS ABOUT MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. PRESUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE. CALLS FOR SPECULATION. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: ABOUT 200 TO ABOUT 500 PEOPLE WOULD OPEN THE EMAIL AND READ THE ARTICLE. BY MR. HART: Q HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT? A BECAUSE WE HAVE A TRACKER IN OUR MACH SONG WEBSITE WHICH STATES HOW MANY VIEWERS, HOW MANY READERS.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER? A RIGHT. Q "THE ONLY PART THAT HAS NOT BEEN RESOLVED CONCERNS THE CONTENT MR. KHOA FALSELY DECLARED HIMSELF A PH.D." DO YOU SEE THAT? A YES. Q SO HERE YOU HAVE, IN 2023, REPUBLISHED THE TWO SENTENCES, HAVEN'T YOU? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. RELEVANCE. 352. LITIGATION PRIVILEGE. WE KNOW THE CURRENT LAWSUIT ISN'T SEEKING RECOVERY FOR THIS STATEMENT. THERE'S NO REPUBLICATION ALLEGATION. THE COURT: THERE IS NO REPUBLICATION ALLEGATION. THAT IS CORRECT. MR. HART: WELL, I LOATH TO ENTER INTO A SPEAKING ARGUMENT WITH THE COURT OVER THIS ISSUE. HOWEVER, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER IT'S REPUBLISHED OR NOT, THIS CONSTITUTES AN
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. HART: Q HOW LONG HAS IT BEEN THE PRACTICE OF B.P.S.O.S. TO EMAIL OUT TO THE PEOPLE ON THEIR EMAIL LIST WHAT YOU POST ON MACH SONG MEDIA? A SINCE RIGHT AFTER 2001, 9/11. Q OKAY. SO OVER 20 YEARS? A YES. Q SO THAT MEANS YOUR MAY 25TH, 2020 ARTICLE WAS SIMILARLY POSTED ON THE WEBSITE AND EMAILED OUT TO 8,000 PEOPLE? A CORRECT. Q SO IF THEY OPENED THE EMAIL AND READ IT, THEN AT LEAST 8,000 PEOPLE READ THE TWO SENTENCES ABOUT MR. KHOA; DIDN'T THEY? A USUALLY, THE READERSHIP WAS ABOUT MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. PRESUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE. CALLS FOR SPECULATION. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: ABOUT 200 TO ABOUT 500 PEOPLE WOULD OPEN THE EMAIL AND READ THE ARTICLE. BY MR. HART: Q HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT? A BECAUSE WE HAVE A TRACKER IN OUR MACH SONG	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. HART: LET ME DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 14 OF EXHIBIT 13. THE WITNESS: I'M ON PAGE 14. BY MR. HART: Q YOU SEE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, THE REMAINING ONE QUARTER? A RIGHT. Q "THE ONLY PART THAT HAS NOT BEEN RESOLVED CONCERNS THE CONTENT MR. KHOA FALSELY DECLARED HIMSELF A PH.D." DO YOU SEE THAT? A YES. Q SO HERE YOU HAVE, IN 2023, REPUBLISHED THE TWO SENTENCES, HAVEN'T YOU? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. RELEVANCE. 352. LITIGATION PRIVILEGE. WE KNOW THE CURRENT LAWSUIT ISN'T SEEKING RECOVERY FOR THIS STATEMENT. THERE'S NO REPUBLICATION ALLEGATION. THE COURT: THERE IS NO REPUBLICATION ALLEGATION. THAT IS CORRECT. MR. HART: WELL, I LOATH TO ENTER INTO A SPEAKING ARGUMENT WITH THE COURT OVER THIS ISSUE. HOWEVER, REGARDLESS

Page 126 Page 128 MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. ME. 2 2 (SIDEBAR. NO REPORTER.) O AND DR THANG WHEN YOU WERE ASKED ON PAGE 88 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE 3 OF THAT LONG QUOTE WHETHER YOU HAD -- YOUR ANSWER WAS: "WE 4 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) HAD A LOT OF DOCUMENTS ABOUT THE ISSUE AT THE TIME. YEAH, SO I READ IT, YEAH.' THE COURT: THANK YOU, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. THANK 5 5 AND THAT WAS CONTEMPORANEOUS WITH PUBLISHING 6 YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR PATIENCE THERE. THEN WE WILL TURN TO MR. HART. YOUR MAY 25, 2020 ARTICLE; RIGHT? 8 BY MR HART: 8 A LDON'T GET THAT. CAN YOU READ IT AGAIN? Q DR. THANG, WHEN YOU WROTE THESE TWO SENTENCES Q SO YOU WERE ASKED: "DID YOU DO OTHER RESEARCH 9 9 BEFORE YOU PUBLISHED THE ARTICLE TO MAKE SURE YOU SAID IT 10 IN YOUR MAY 25, 2020 ARTICLE, YOU IDENTIFIED MR. KHOA; 10 11 ACCURATELY? 11 CORRECT? 12 A YES. 12 YOU SAID: "ANSWER: NO. WE HAD A LOT OF DOCUMENTS 13 Q WERE YOU TALKING ABOUT ANYBODY ELSE BESIDES 13 ABOUT THE ISSUE AT THE TIME. YEAH, SO I READ IT, YEAH." SO IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT YOU WERE -- LOOKED AT 14 HIM? 14 15 A JUST HIM 15 THE LETTERS FROM THE CONGRESSMAN AT THE TIME YOU WROTE THE LETTER? 16 MR. HART: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS AT THIS TIME, JUDGE. 16 A YES. AND ALSO I SAID: NO, WE DIDN'T NEED TO THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 17 17 DO ANY FURTHER RESEARCH BECAUSE WE ALREADY HAVE PLENTY OF 18 MR. VOSS: MAY WE HAVE A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR? 18 19 THE COURT: BRIEFLY. 19 EVIDENCE IN OUR ARCHIVE. Q AND IN ADDITION TO LOOKING AT A PARTICULAR CROSS-EXAMINATION 20 2.0 21 DOCUMENT, YOU HAD OTHER KNOWLEDGE THAT ALLOWED YOU TO BE ABLE 21 BY MR. VOSS: 22 Q DR. THANG, WE JUST HAD A LONG READING FROM YOUR 22 TO MAKE THAT STATEMENT --DEPOSITION TESTIMONY. DO YOU RECOLLECT THAT, JUST A FEW 23 A THAT'S TRUE. IT'S IN THE DEPOSITION. 2.3 THE COURT: OKAY. MAKE SURE THAT WE DON'T SPEAK OVER 24 MINUTES AGO? 24 ONE ANOTHER SO THAT WE HAVE A CLEAR -- IT'S DIFFICULT ENOUGH 25 A YES. 25 Q AND BURIED IN ALL THAT LONG READING WAS, AT 26 TO GET EVERYTHING DOWN BY THE COURT REPORTER. SO WE NEED TO 26 Page 129 Page 127 PAGE 89, LINE 21, A OUESTION THAT YOU WERE ASKED: (AS READ:) 1 1 WAIT AND HAVE A PAUSE THERE. 2 "IN YOUR OPINION, DR. THANG, WHEN 2 MR. HART: YOUR HONOR, I NEED TO OBJECT THAT THESE YOU WROTE THOSE COMMENTS WE JUST ARGUED 3 QUESTIONS ARE LEADING. AND THIS IS TECHNICALLY DIRECT, SO ABOUT FOR THE LAST 30 MINUTES IN YOUR IT'S IMPROPER FORM OF QUESTION ON DIRECT 4 ARTICLE, DID YOU BELIEVE THEY WERE TRUE MR. VOSS: IT'S CROSS. 6 WHEN YOU WROTE THEM? 6 MR. HART: MY EXAM WAS CROSS. "ANSWER: I HAVE NO IDEA. IT'S JUST THE COURT: THE LEADING TO DIRECT, BUT NOT -- SO BE 8 REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION: RIGHT? THERE CAREFUL OF THE LEADING. SO IF SOMETHING -- IT'S FOUNDATIONAL 9 HASN'T BEEN ANY RESULT OR REPORT YET. SO TO DIRECT TO THE AREA OF THE THING, BUT OTHERWISE THAT GETS THIS WAS JUST REQUEST. SO I JUST BEYOND. SO BE CAREFUL OF THE LEADING QUESTIONS. 10 10 11 PARAPHRASED EXACTLY THE LETTER OF 11 BY MR. VOSS: 12 MR. DORNAN, AND THAT IS, THERE IS A REQUEST 12 Q SO WHEN YOU WERE ASKED ABOUT DOING ANY OTHER FOR INVESTIGATION " RESEARCH, DID YOU HAVE OTHER INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO YOU THAT 13 1.3 14 AS TO THE FIRST PART OF YOUR ANSWER WHERE YOU SAID, 14 INFORMED YOU AS TO THE TRUTH OF THE SENTENCE THAT YOU WROTE? "I HAVE NO IDEA," WHAT DID YOU MEAN IN SAYING THAT? 15 15 A YES, BECAUSE I SPEND -- AS I ALREADY MENTIONED 16 A IT WAS THE START OF MY QUESTION TO COUNSEL 16 BEFORE LEREQUENTLY MET WITH MR. SANTOLLON ANOTHER ISSUE. 17 HERE, MR. HART. 17 RELATING TO REFUGEES, BUT HE ALSO KEPT ME POSTED ON THE 18 I'M SORRY. MY VOICE IS A LITTLE BIT COURSE. 18 ONGOING INVESTIGATION THAT HE WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR AND WENT ON I INTEND TO ASK HIM -- BECAUSE HE ASKED A VERY 19 FOR A TOTAL OF ABOUT FOUR MONTHS, YES. 19 20 GENERAL, BROAD QUESTION, ABOUT 30 MINUTES OF CONVERSATION. SO 20 SO HE EXPLAINED A LOT OF THINGS TO ME, EVEN 21 I WAS ABOUT TO ASK HIM, "I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT YOU ARE REFERRING 21 THOUGH IT'S NOT MY MAIN ISSUE OF INTEREST. AND LATER ON -- AT 22 TO," BUT THEN I WAS INTERRUPTED IN MID-SENTENCE. 22 THE TIME, I DIDN'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT THE LAW. I WAS STILL A AND THEN AFTER INTERRUPTION, I CONTINUED MY 23 PH.D. STUDENT, PH.D. GRADUATE, RECENTLY NEW, WORKING FULL TIME 23 24 QUESTION TO HIM, IS THAT: "ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT THIS LETTER 2.4 AT THE U.S. NAVY AND ONLY SPENDING PART TIME, ON A VOLUNTEER 25 DATED APRIL 30, 1996 OR NOT? WAS IT RIGHT? 25 BASIS, TO TRY TO GET THE LEGISLATURE THAT I MENTIONED, TO GET 26 BECAUSE AT THE TIME. THE LETTER WAS IN FRONT OF IT PASSED IN CONGRESS TO SAVE ABOUT -- TENS OF THOUSANDS BOAT 26

	Page 130		Page 132
1		1	
1	PEOPLE FROM BEING REPATRIATED. SO I DIDN'T HAVE MUCH TIME.	1 2	MY OBJECTION
2	HOWEVER, HE EXPLAIN TO ME THAT PRESENTING FALSE STATEMENTS	3	THE COURT: STOP. STOP. I UNDERSTAND YOUR OBJECTION. THIS HAS BEEN ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE AS PART OF
3	MR. HART: OBJECTION. HEARSAY.	4	
5		5	IT. IT IS OVERRULED. YOU MAY CONTINUE.
	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO OVERRULED IN THAT THIS	6	BY MR. VOSS:
6	IS AND THIS IS NOT ANY STATEMENT HERE IS NOT TO BE TAKEN	7	
7	FOR THE TRUTH OF THE MATTER ASSERTED. IT IS TO BE IT'S		Q AND PAGE 4 OF THE PAGE PRIOR TO THE RESUME
8	SIMPLY OFFERED AS WHAT HE WAS INFORMATION HE WAS	8	BEING INCLUDED IS A LETTER; IS IT NOT?
9	CONSIDERING.	9	A YES. IT'S FROM THE REFUGEE POLICY GROUP. THAT
10	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.		IS A LETTER OF SUPPORT.
11	MR. VOSS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	11	Q AND TO WHOM IS THE LETTER ADDRESSED?
12	THE WITNESS: I HAVEN'T FINISHED. CAN I CONTINUE?	12	A TO DR. LE XUAN KHOA, AND THEN ADDRESSING HIM
13	THE COURT: BRIEFLY, YES, BECAUSE WE'RE STARTING TO	13	AS, "DEAR, DR. KHOA."
14	GET TO A NARRATIVE HERE.	14	Q SO THE VERY PAGE PRIOR TO THE RESUME IS A
15	TURN TO YOUR COUNSEL, ACTUALLY.	15	LETTER THAT I.R.A.C. CHOSE TO INCLUDE AS PART OF THIS DOCUMENT
16	MR. VOSS: I'M MOVING ON TO A DIFFERENT QUESTION.	16	INTRODUCING HIM AS DR. KHOA; CORRECT?
17	BY MR. VOSS:	17	MR. HART: OBJECTION. LEADING AND LACKING
18	Q I'D LIKE TO RETURN TO EXHIBIT NUMBER 221.	18	FOUNDATION.
19	MR. VOSS: MAY I PROCEED, YOUR HONOR?	19	THE COURT: IT IS LEADING. SUSTAINED AS TO LEADING.
20	THE COURT: YOU MAY.	20	BY MR. VOSS:
21	BY MR. VOSS:	21	Q I WANT TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 1 OF
22	Q I'D LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 221-5	22	THIS EXHIBIT, 221.
23	FIRST.	23	WHEN YOU HAD TO PREPARE GRANTS, DID YOU HAVE TO
24 25	A I'M THERE.	24 25	SIGN THEM? A YES.
	Q OKAY. IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT THIS BEGINS THE SEVERAL PACES BELATING TO THE RESUME OF LEVIJAN KHOA?	26	O OKAY.
26	THE SEVERAL PAGES RELATING TO THE RESUME OF LE XUAN KHOA?	26	Q UKAI.
	D 101		- 100
	Page 131		Page 133
1	A ITIS.	1	Page 133 A AS THE CEO.
1 2		1 2	
	A IT IS.		A AS THE CEO.
2	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO	2	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A
2	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT?	2	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT?
2 3 4	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT.	2 3 4	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT.
2 3 4 5	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND	2 3 4 5	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT
2 3 4 5	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4.	2 3 4 5	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT.
2 3 4 5 6	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE	2 3 4 5 6	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA RESOURCE ACTION CENTER; CORRECT?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR? A YES.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA RESOURCE ACTION CENTER; CORRECT? A CORRECT.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR? A YES. MR. HART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA RESOURCE ACTION CENTER; CORRECT? A CORRECT. MR. HART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR? A YES. MR. HART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA RESOURCE ACTION CENTER; CORRECT? A CORRECT. MR. HART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. MR. VOSS: IT'S BEEN RECEIVED.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR? A YES. MR. HART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: YES.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA RESOURCE ACTION CENTER; CORRECT? A CORRECT. MR. HART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. MR. VOSS: IT'S BEEN RECEIVED. THE COURT: OVERRULED. IT'S BEEN ADMITTED IN	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR? A YES. MR. HART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: YES. BY MR. VOSS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA RESOURCE ACTION CENTER; CORRECT? A CORRECT. MR. HART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. MR. VOSS: IT'S BEEN RECEIVED. THE COURT: OVERRULED. IT'S BEEN ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE IN TERMS OF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR? A YES. MR. HART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: YES. BY MR. VOSS: Q WHAT IS IT?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA RESOURCE ACTION CENTER; CORRECT? A CORRECT. MR. HART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. MR. VOSS: IT'S BEEN RECEIVED. THE COURT: OVERRULED. IT'S BEEN ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE IN TERMS OF MR. HART: I'M NOT ARGUING THAT, JUDGE. IT'S JUST	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR? A YES. MR. HART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: YES. BY MR. VOSS: Q WHAT IS IT? A BECAUSE, FIRST OF ALL, THIS IS CLEARLY A LETTER
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA RESOURCE ACTION CENTER; CORRECT? A CORRECT. MR. HART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. MR. VOSS: IT'S BEEN RECEIVED. THE COURT: OVERRULED. IT'S BEEN ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE IN TERMS OF MR. HART: I'M NOT ARGUING THAT, JUDGE. IT'S JUST THAT THE QUESTION IS, THIS WAS INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR? A YES. MR. HART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: YES. BY MR. VOSS: Q WHAT IS IT? A BECAUSE, FIRST OF ALL, THIS IS CLEARLY A LETTER OF SUPPORT FOR THIS GRANT APPLICATION, BECAUSE IN THE CONTENT
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA RESOURCE ACTION CENTER; CORRECT? A CORRECT. MR. HART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. MR. VOSS: IT'S BEEN RECEIVED. THE COURT: OVERRULED. IT'S BEEN ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE IN TERMS OF MR. HART: I'M NOT ARGUING THAT, JUDGE. IT'S JUST THAT THE QUESTION IS, THIS WAS INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION. WE DON'T KNOW THAT. AND I'M OBJECTING ON FOUNDATION THAT HE DOESN'T KNOW THAT. THE COURT: IT'S PART OF THE APPLICATION THAT'S	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR? A YES. MR. HART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: YES. BY MR. VOSS: Q WHAT IS IT? A BECAUSE, FIRST OF ALL, THIS IS CLEARLY A LETTER OF SUPPORT FOR THIS GRANT APPLICATION, BECAUSE IN THE CONTENT OF LETTER IT SAYS THAT
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA RESOURCE ACTION CENTER; CORRECT? A CORRECT. MR. HART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. MR. VOSS: IT'S BEEN RECEIVED. THE COURT: OVERRULED. IT'S BEEN ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE IN TERMS OF MR. HART: I'M NOT ARGUING THAT, JUDGE. IT'S JUST THAT THE QUESTION IS, THIS WAS INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION. WE DON'T KNOW THAT. AND I'M OBJECTING ON FOUNDATION THAT HE DOESN'T KNOW THAT. THE COURT: IT'S PART OF THE APPLICATION THAT'S BEEN	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR? A YES. MR. HART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: YES. BY MR. VOSS: Q WHAT IS IT? A BECAUSE, FIRST OF ALL, THIS IS CLEARLY A LETTER OF SUPPORT FOR THIS GRANT APPLICATION, BECAUSE IN THE CONTENT OF LETTER IT SAYS THAT THE COURT: WAIT. WE NEED TO FOCUS ON AND RESPOND TO THE QUESTION THAT WAS ASKED. THE WITNESS: YES. BECAUSE THIS IS PART OF THE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA RESOURCE ACTION CENTER; CORRECT? A CORRECT. MR. HART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. MR. VOSS: IT'S BEEN RECEIVED. THE COURT: OVERRULED. IT'S BEEN ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE IN TERMS OF MR. HART: I'M NOT ARGUING THAT, JUDGE. IT'S JUST THAT THE QUESTION IS, THIS WAS INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION. WE DON'T KNOW THAT. AND I'M OBJECTING ON FOUNDATION THAT HE DOESN'T KNOW THAT. THE COURT: IT'S PART OF THE APPLICATION THAT'S	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR? A YES. MR. HART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: YES. BY MR. VOSS: Q WHAT IS IT? A BECAUSE, FIRST OF ALL, THIS IS CLEARLY A LETTER OF SUPPORT FOR THIS GRANT APPLICATION, BECAUSE IN THE CONTENT OF LETTER IT SAYS THAT THE COURT: WAIT. WE NEED TO FOCUS ON AND RESPOND TO THE QUESTION THAT WAS ASKED.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA RESOURCE ACTION CENTER; CORRECT? A CORRECT. MR. HART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. MR. VOSS: IT'S BEEN RECEIVED. THE COURT: OVERRULED. IT'S BEEN ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE IN TERMS OF MR. HART: I'M NOT ARGUING THAT, JUDGE. IT'S JUST THAT THE QUESTION IS, THIS WAS INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION. WE DON'T KNOW THAT. AND I'M OBJECTING ON FOUNDATION THAT HE DOESN'T KNOW THAT. THE COURT: IT'S PART OF THE APPLICATION THAT'S BEEN MR. HART: SO THE APPLICATION IS ADMITTED. I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT WHETHER THIS WAS PART OF THE APPLICATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR? A YES. MR. HART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: YES. BY MR. VOSS: Q WHAT IS IT? A BECAUSE, FIRST OF ALL, THIS IS CLEARLY A LETTER OF SUPPORT FOR THIS GRANT APPLICATION, BECAUSE IN THE CONTENT OF LETTER IT SAYS THAT THE COURT: WAIT. WE NEED TO FOCUS ON AND RESPOND TO THE QUESTION THAT WAS ASKED. THE WITNESS: YES. BECAUSE THIS IS PART OF THE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA RESOURCE ACTION CENTER; CORRECT? A CORRECT. MR. HART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. MR. VOSS: IT'S BEEN RECEIVED. THE COURT: OVERRULED. IT'S BEEN ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE IN TERMS OF MR. HART: I'M NOT ARGUING THAT, JUDGE. IT'S JUST THAT THE QUESTION IS, THIS WAS INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION. WE DON'T KNOW THAT. AND I'M OBJECTING ON FOUNDATION THAT HE DOESN'T KNOW THAT. THE COURT: IT'S PART OF THE APPLICATION THAT'S BEEN MR. HART: SO THE APPLICATION IS ADMITTED. I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT WHETHER THIS WAS PART OF THE APPLICATION IS NOT ESTABLISHED, AND HE LACKS FOUNDATION TO SAY IT.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR? A YES. MR. HART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: YES. BY MR. VOSS: Q WHAT IS IT? A BECAUSE, FIRST OF ALL, THIS IS CLEARLY A LETTER OF SUPPORT FOR THIS GRANT APPLICATION, BECAUSE IN THE CONTENT OF LETTER IT SAYS THAT THE COURT: WAIT. WE NEED TO FOCUS ON AND RESPOND TO THE QUESTION THAT WAS ASKED. THE WITNESS: YES. BECAUSE THIS IS PART OF THE APPLICATION PACKAGE, AND IT PRECEDED THE RESUME OF DR. KHOA. THE COURT: THAT IS NOT RESPONSIVE TO THE QUESTION, EITHER.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA RESOURCE ACTION CENTER; CORRECT? A CORRECT. MR. HART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. MR. VOSS: IT'S BEEN RECEIVED. THE COURT: OVERRULED. IT'S BEEN ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE IN TERMS OF MR. HART: I'M NOT ARGUING THAT, JUDGE. IT'S JUST THAT THE QUESTION IS, THIS WAS INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION. WE DON'T KNOW THAT. AND I'M OBJECTING ON FOUNDATION THAT HE DOESN'T KNOW THAT. THE COURT: IT'S PART OF THE APPLICATION THAT'S BEEN MR. HART: SO THE APPLICATION IS ADMITTED. I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT WHETHER THIS WAS PART OF THE APPLICATION IS NOT ESTABLISHED, AND HE LACKS FOUNDATION TO SAY IT. I'M SORRY, IT'S IN THIS COPY, AGREED. BUT I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR? A YES. MR. HART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: YES. BY MR. VOSS: Q WHAT IS IT? A BECAUSE, FIRST OF ALL, THIS IS CLEARLY A LETTER OF SUPPORT FOR THIS GRANT APPLICATION, BECAUSE IN THE CONTENT OF LETTER IT SAYS THAT THE COURT: WAIT. WE NEED TO FOCUS ON AND RESPOND TO THE QUESTION THAT WAS ASKED. THE WITNESS: YES. BECAUSE THIS IS PART OF THE APPLICATION PACKAGE, AND IT PRECEDED THE RESUME OF DR. KHOA. THE COURT: THAT IS NOT RESPONSIVE TO THE QUESTION, EITHER. THE WITNESS: CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA RESOURCE ACTION CENTER; CORRECT? A CORRECT. MR. HART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. MR. VOSS: IT'S BEEN RECEIVED. THE COURT: OVERRULED. IT'S BEEN ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE IN TERMS OF MR. HART: I'M NOT ARGUING THAT, JUDGE. IT'S JUST THAT THE QUESTION IS, THIS WAS INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION. WE DON'T KNOW THAT. AND I'M OBJECTING ON FOUNDATION THAT HE DOESN'T KNOW THAT. THE COURT: IT'S PART OF THE APPLICATION THAT'S BEEN MR. HART: SO THE APPLICATION IS ADMITTED. I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT WHETHER THIS WAS PART OF THE APPLICATION IS NOT ESTABLISHED, AND HE LACKS FOUNDATION TO SAY IT. I'M SORRY, IT'S IN THIS COPY, AGREED. BUT I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THAT MEANS IT WAS INCLUDED IN THE ORIGINAL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR? A YES. MR. HART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: YES. BY MR. VOSS: Q WHAT IS IT? A BECAUSE, FIRST OF ALL, THIS IS CLEARLY A LETTER OF SUPPORT FOR THIS GRANT APPLICATION, BECAUSE IN THE CONTENT OF LETTER IT SAYS THAT THE COURT: WAIT. WE NEED TO FOCUS ON AND RESPOND TO THE QUESTION THAT WAS ASKED. THE WITNESS: YES. BECAUSE THIS IS PART OF THE APPLICATION PACKAGE, AND IT PRECEDED THE RESUME OF DR. KHOA. THE COURT: THAT IS NOT RESPONSIVE TO THE QUESTION, EITHER. THE WITNESS: CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION? BY MR. VOSS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A IT IS. Q AND THIS ALSO CONTAINS THE REFERENCE IN IT TO THE DOCTORAL THESIS; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q NOW, AS PART OF 221, IF YOU WOULD GO BACK AND LOOK AT 221-4. AND THIS WAS ALSO A PAGE INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE INDO RESOURCE ACTION CENTER INDOCHINA RESOURCE ACTION CENTER; CORRECT? A CORRECT. MR. HART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. MR. VOSS: IT'S BEEN RECEIVED. THE COURT: OVERRULED. IT'S BEEN ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE IN TERMS OF MR. HART: I'M NOT ARGUING THAT, JUDGE. IT'S JUST THAT THE QUESTION IS, THIS WAS INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION. WE DON'T KNOW THAT. AND I'M OBJECTING ON FOUNDATION THAT HE DOESN'T KNOW THAT. THE COURT: IT'S PART OF THE APPLICATION THAT'S BEEN MR. HART: SO THE APPLICATION IS ADMITTED. I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT WHETHER THIS WAS PART OF THE APPLICATION IS NOT ESTABLISHED, AND HE LACKS FOUNDATION TO SAY IT. I'M SORRY, IT'S IN THIS COPY, AGREED. BUT I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A AS THE CEO. Q OKAY. AND THIS DOCUMENT ALSO CONTAINS A SIGNATURE OF LE XUAN KHOA, PRESIDENT; CORRECT? A CORRECT. Q AND AS PART OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED, IS IT CORRECT TO SAY THAT INCLUDED THEREIN STRIKE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EXHIBIT 221-4 THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT LE XUAN KHOA WAS A DOCTOR? A YES. MR. HART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: YES. BY MR. VOSS: Q WHAT IS IT? A BECAUSE, FIRST OF ALL, THIS IS CLEARLY A LETTER OF SUPPORT FOR THIS GRANT APPLICATION, BECAUSE IN THE CONTENT OF LETTER IT SAYS THAT THE COURT: WAIT. WE NEED TO FOCUS ON AND RESPOND TO THE QUESTION THAT WAS ASKED. THE WITNESS: YES. BECAUSE THIS IS PART OF THE APPLICATION PACKAGE, AND IT PRECEDED THE RESUME OF DR. KHOA. THE COURT: THAT IS NOT RESPONSIVE TO THE QUESTION, EITHER. THE WITNESS: CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?

	Page 134		Page 136
1		1	
1 2	POINTING TO THAT WOULD TEND TO SUPPORT YOUR UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHETHER OR NOT LE XUAN KHOA WAS REPRESENTING HIMSELF AS A	1 2	ALL OF OUR JURORS, AND WE ARE NOW IF YOU COULD PLEASE COME
3	DOCTOR?	3	BACK, DOCTOR, AND WE'LL GET THIS GOING. AND THEN, MR. HART, WHENEVER YOU ARE READY, GO
4	A YES. RIGHT IN HERE, HE SAID THAT THERE'S	4	RIGHT AHEAD.
5	DOCTORATE THESIS WITHOUT SPECIFYING THAT HE WAS ONLY A	5	MR. HART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
6	PH.D. CANDIDATE AND WITHOUT SPECIFYING THAT THE THESIS WAS	6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7	INCOMPLETE.	7	BY MR. HART:
8	Q ON PAGE 4?	8	
9	A ON PAGE 4.	9	Q DR. THANG, DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF YOUR DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT UP THERE?
10	O IS THERE ANYTHING ON PAGE 4 OF SIGNIFICANCE	10	THE COURT: I DON'T BELIEVE SO.
11	REGARDING THE ISSUE OF WHETHER OR NOT HE WAS HOLDING HIMSELF	11	MR. HART: CAN I PROVIDE HIM ONE?
12	OUT AS A DOCTOR IN THE APPLICATION FOR A GRANT?	12	THE COURT: SURE.
13	A OH, ON PAGE 4, YES.	13	MR. HART: I THINK IT OCCURRED TO ME THAT IT MIGHT BE
14	Q AND WHAT WOULD THAT BE?	14	A LITTLE LESS THAN FAIR TO ASK ABOUT THESE THINGS WITHOUT
15	A IT PRECEDED THE RESUME THAT STATED THAT HE WAS	15	LETTING HIM HAVE ONE TO LOOK AT. MAY I APPROACH, YOUR HONOR?
16	A DOCTOR BASED ON WHY HE COULD HAVE BEEN IT COULD HAVE	16	THE COURT: YES.
17	ASKED FOR	17	MR. HART: THIS IS CALLED A CONDENSED COPY. IT'S A
18	(REPORTER CLARIFICATION.)	18	LITTLE SMALLER PRINT, BUT WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT THIS PAGE
19	THE COURT: WE NEED TO, VERY CAREFULLY, LISTEN TO THE	19	AND THE NEXT PAGE.
20	QUESTION THAT IS BEING ASKED, AND ANSWER THAT SPECIFIC	20	THE COURT: AND IF YOU COULD IDENTIFY IT FOR US AND
21	QUESTION AND NONE OTHER.	21	MR. VOSS WHAT PAGES YOU REFERRING TO.
22	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU. I THOUGHT I ALREADY	22	MR. HART: I'VE GIVEN THE WITNESS A CONDENSED COPY OF
23	RESPONDED.	23	HIS DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT, AND I REFERRED HIM TO PAGES 89 AND
24	BUT, AGAIN, YES. IN HERE STATED, VERY CLEARLY,	24	90.
25	THE LETTER SIGNED BY THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE REFUGEE	25	THE COURT: OKAY.
26	POLICY GROUP IN SUPPORT FOR GRANT APPLICATION. IT STATED	26	BY MR. HART:
	TOZICI GROCI EVOCITORI TORRIGINI TELEVITORI IT STRUZZ		DI MCHACI.
	Page 135		Page 137
1	Page 135 ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA."	1	Page 137 Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES.
1 2		1 2	-
	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA."		Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES.
2	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU.	2	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU
2	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS	2 3	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO
2 3 4	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY	2 3 4	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO
2 3 4 5	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF.	2 3 4 5	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF?
2 3 4 5	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR	2 3 4 5 6	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES
2 3 4 5 6 7	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT? SO WE DO HAVE DON'T HAVE ANY CHARACTERIZATION ISSUE.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. THE COURT: SO LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS 2:50. IF	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. THE COURT: SO LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS 2:50. IF WE COULD BE BACK AT 3:05 TO START OUR LAST STRETCH OF THE AFTERNOON. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT? SO WE DO HAVE DON'T HAVE ANY CHARACTERIZATION ISSUE. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. MIGHT QUESTION FOR YOU, DR. THANG,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. THE COURT: SO LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS 2:50. IF WE COULD BE BACK AT 3:05 TO START OUR LAST STRETCH OF THE AFTERNOON. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE APPRECIATE IT.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT? SO WE DO HAVE DON'T HAVE ANY CHARACTERIZATION ISSUE. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. MIGHT QUESTION FOR YOU, DR. THANG, IS WHETHER YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION POSED AT LINES 21 TO 24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. THE COURT: SO LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS 2:50. IF WE COULD BE BACK AT 3:05 TO START OUR LAST STRETCH OF THE AFTERNOON. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE APPRECIATE IT. (JURY EXCUSED FOR AFTERNOON RECESS.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT? SO WE DO HAVE DON'T HAVE ANY CHARACTERIZATION ISSUE. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. MIGHT QUESTION FOR YOU, DR. THANG, IS WHETHER YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION POSED AT LINES 21 TO 24 ON PAGE 89?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. THE COURT: SO LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS 2:50. IF WE COULD BE BACK AT 3:05 TO START OUR LAST STRETCH OF THE AFTERNOON. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE APPRECIATE IT. (JURY EXCUSED FOR AFTERNOON RECESS.) THE COURT: ANYTHING WE NEED TO DO BEFORE WE TAKE OUR	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT? SO WE DO HAVE DON'T HAVE ANY CHARACTERIZATION ISSUE. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. MIGHT QUESTION FOR YOU, DR. THANG, IS WHETHER YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION POSED AT LINES 21 TO 24 ON PAGE 89? A I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO OVER THE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. THE COURT: SO LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS 2:50. IF WE COULD BE BACK AT 3:05 TO START OUR LAST STRETCH OF THE AFTERNOON. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE APPRECIATE IT. (JURY EXCUSED FOR AFTERNOON RECESS.) THE COURT: ANYTHING WE NEED TO DO BEFORE WE TAKE OUR BREAK?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT? SO WE DO HAVE DON'T HAVE ANY CHARACTERIZATION ISSUE. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. MIGHT QUESTION FOR YOU, DR. THANG, IS WHETHER YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION POSED AT LINES 21 TO 24 ON PAGE 89? A I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO OVER THE PAST 30 MINUTES OF CONVERSATION.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. THE COURT: SO LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS 2:50. IF WE COULD BE BACK AT 3:05 TO START OUR LAST STRETCH OF THE AFTERNOON. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE APPRECIATE IT. (JURY EXCUSED FOR AFTERNOON RECESS.) THE COURT: ANYTHING WE NEED TO DO BEFORE WE TAKE OUR BREAK? ALL COUNSEL: NO, YOUR HONOR.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT? SO WE DO HAVE DON'T HAVE ANY CHARACTERIZATION ISSUE. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. MIGHT QUESTION FOR YOU, DR. THANG, IS WHETHER YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION POSED AT LINES 21 TO 24 ON PAGE 89? A I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO OVER THE PAST 30 MINUTES OF CONVERSATION. Q WERE YOU CONFUSED ABOUT THE REFERENCE TO THE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. THE COURT: SO LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS 2:50. IF WE COULD BE BACK AT 3:05 TO START OUR LAST STRETCH OF THE AFTERNOON. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE APPRECIATE IT. (JURY EXCUSED FOR AFTERNOON RECESS.) THE COURT: ANYTHING WE NEED TO DO BEFORE WE TAKE OUR BREAK? ALL COUNSEL: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WANTED TO CHECK. SO WE'LL PICK UP WITH	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT? SO WE DO HAVE DON'T HAVE ANY CHARACTERIZATION ISSUE. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. MIGHT QUESTION FOR YOU, DR. THANG, IS WHETHER YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION POSED AT LINES 21 TO 24 ON PAGE 89? A I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO OVER THE PAST 30 MINUTES OF CONVERSATION. Q WERE YOU CONFUSED ABOUT THE REFERENCE TO THE TWO SENTENCES?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. THE COURT: SO LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS 2:50. IF WE COULD BE BACK AT 3:05 TO START OUR LAST STRETCH OF THE AFTERNOON. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE APPRECIATE IT. (JURY EXCUSED FOR AFTERNOON RECESS.) THE COURT: ANYTHING WE NEED TO DO BEFORE WE TAKE OUR BREAK? ALL COUNSEL: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WANTED TO CHECK. SO WE'LL PICK UP WITH MR. HART'S REDIRECT AT 3:05.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT? SO WE DO HAVE DON'T HAVE ANY CHARACTERIZATION ISSUE. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. MIGHT QUESTION FOR YOU, DR. THANG, IS WHETHER YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION POSED AT LINES 21 TO 24 ON PAGE 89? A I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO OVER THE PAST 30 MINUTES OF CONVERSATION. Q WERE YOU CONFUSED ABOUT THE REFERENCE TO THE TWO SENTENCES? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. THE COURT: SO LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS 2:50. IF WE COULD BE BACK AT 3:05 TO START OUR LAST STRETCH OF THE AFTERNOON. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE APPRECIATE IT. (JURY EXCUSED FOR AFTERNOON RECESS.) THE COURT: ANYTHING WE NEED TO DO BEFORE WE TAKE OUR BREAK? ALL COUNSEL: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WANTED TO CHECK. SO WE'LL PICK UP WITH MR. HART'S REDIRECT AT 3:05. MR. VOSS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT? SO WE DO HAVE DON'T HAVE ANY CHARACTERIZATION ISSUE. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. MIGHT QUESTION FOR YOU, DR. THANG, IS WHETHER YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION POSED AT LINES 21 TO 24 ON PAGE 89? A I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO OVER THE PAST 30 MINUTES OF CONVERSATION. Q WERE YOU CONFUSED ABOUT THE REFERENCE TO THE TWO SENTENCES? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. THE COURT: SO LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS 2:50. IF WE COULD BE BACK AT 3:05 TO START OUR LAST STRETCH OF THE AFTERNOON. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE APPRECIATE IT. (JURY EXCUSED FOR AFTERNOON RECESS.) THE COURT: ANYTHING WE NEED TO DO BEFORE WE TAKE OUR BREAK? ALL COUNSEL: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WANTED TO CHECK. SO WE'LL PICK UP WITH MR. HART'S REDIRECT AT 3:05. MR. VOSS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT? SO WE DO HAVE DON'T HAVE ANY CHARACTERIZATION ISSUE. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. MIGHT QUESTION FOR YOU, DR. THANG, IS WHETHER YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION POSED AT LINES 21 TO 24 ON PAGE 89? A I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO OVER THE PAST 30 MINUTES OF CONVERSATION. Q WERE YOU CONFUSED ABOUT THE REFERENCE TO THE TWO SENTENCES? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: I WILL JUST READ THE QUESTION THAT WE'RE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. THE COURT: SO LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS 2:50. IF WE COULD BE BACK AT 3:05 TO START OUR LAST STRETCH OF THE AFTERNOON. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE APPRECIATE IT. (JURY EXCUSED FOR AFTERNOON RECESS.) THE COURT: ANYTHING WE NEED TO DO BEFORE WE TAKE OUR BREAK? ALL COUNSEL: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WANTED TO CHECK. SO WE'LL PICK UP WITH MR. HART'S REDIRECT AT 3:05. MR. VOSS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT? SO WE DO HAVE DON'T HAVE ANY CHARACTERIZATION ISSUE. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. MIGHT QUESTION FOR YOU, DR. THANG, IS WHETHER YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION POSED AT LINES 21 TO 24 ON PAGE 89? A I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO OVER THE PAST 30 MINUTES OF CONVERSATION. Q WERE YOU CONFUSED ABOUT THE REFERENCE TO THE TWO SENTENCES? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: I WILL JUST READ THE QUESTION THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SO THERE'S NO CONFUSION.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. THE COURT: SO LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS 2:50. IF WE COULD BE BACK AT 3:05 TO START OUR LAST STRETCH OF THE AFTERNOON. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE APPRECIATE IT. (JURY EXCUSED FOR AFTERNOON RECESS.) THE COURT: ANYTHING WE NEED TO DO BEFORE WE TAKE OUR BREAK? ALL COUNSEL: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WANTED TO CHECK. SO WE'LL PICK UP WITH MR. HART'S REDIRECT AT 3:05. MR. VOSS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. (AFTERNOON RECESS.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT? SO WE DO HAVE DON'T HAVE ANY CHARACTERIZATION ISSUE. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. MIGHT QUESTION FOR YOU, DR. THANG, IS WHETHER YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION POSED AT LINES 21 TO 24 ON PAGE 89? A I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO OVER THE PAST 30 MINUTES OF CONVERSATION. Q WERE YOU CONFUSED ABOUT THE REFERENCE TO THE TWO SENTENCES? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: I WILL JUST READ THE QUESTION THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SO THERE'S NO CONFUSION. MR. VOSS: IT'S ONLY TWO SENTENCES.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. THE COURT: SO LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS 2:50. IF WE COULD BE BACK AT 3:05 TO START OUR LAST STRETCH OF THE AFTERNOON. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE APPRECIATE IT. (JURY EXCUSED FOR AFTERNOON RECESS.) THE COURT: ANYTHING WE NEED TO DO BEFORE WE TAKE OUR BREAK? ALL COUNSEL: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WANTED TO CHECK. SO WE'LL PICK UP WITH MR. HART'S REDIRECT AT 3:05. MR. VOSS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. (AFTERNOON RECESS.) (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT? SO WE DO HAVE DON'T HAVE ANY CHARACTERIZATION ISSUE. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. MIGHT QUESTION FOR YOU, DR. THANG, IS WHETHER YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION POSED AT LINES 21 TO 24 ON PAGE 89? A I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO OVER THE PAST 30 MINUTES OF CONVERSATION. Q WERE YOU CONFUSED ABOUT THE REFERENCE TO THE TWO SENTENCES? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: I WILL JUST READ THE QUESTION THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SO THERE'S NO CONFUSION. MR. VOSS: IT'S ONLY TWO SENTENCES. MR. COURT: VERY GOOD. THE QUESTION THAT HE'S
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. THE COURT: SO LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS 2:50. IF WE COULD BE BACK AT 3:05 TO START OUR LAST STRETCH OF THE AFTERNOON. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE APPRECIATE IT. (JURY EXCUSED FOR AFTERNOON RECESS.) THE COURT: ANYTHING WE NEED TO DO BEFORE WE TAKE OUR BREAK? ALL COUNSEL: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WANTED TO CHECK. SO WE'LL PICK UP WITH MR. HART'S REDIRECT AT 3:05. MR. VOSS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. (AFTERNOON RECESS.) (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT? SO WE DO HAVE DON'T HAVE ANY CHARACTERIZATION ISSUE. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. MIGHT QUESTION FOR YOU, DR. THANG, IS WHETHER YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION POSED AT LINES 21 TO 24 ON PAGE 89? A I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO OVER THE PAST 30 MINUTES OF CONVERSATION. Q WERE YOU CONFUSED ABOUT THE REFERENCE TO THE TWO SENTENCES? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: I WILL JUST READ THE QUESTION THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SO THERE'S NO CONFUSION. MR. VOSS: IT'S ONLY TWO SENTENCES. MR. COURT: VERY GOOD. THE QUESTION THAT HE'S REFERRING TO FROM THE DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT AT PAGE 89, LINES
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ADDRESSED TO "DR. LE XUAN KHOA," AND THEN, "DEAR, DR. KHOA." MR. VOSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER FOR THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, RESERVING MY RIGHT TO CALL HIM IN ON DIRECT IN MY CASE-IN-CHIEF. THE COURT: THEN WHY DON'T WE TAKE WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK, AND YOU CAN HAVE YOUR REDIRECT AFTER THE BREAK. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. THE COURT: SO LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS 2:50. IF WE COULD BE BACK AT 3:05 TO START OUR LAST STRETCH OF THE AFTERNOON. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE APPRECIATE IT. (JURY EXCUSED FOR AFTERNOON RECESS.) THE COURT: ANYTHING WE NEED TO DO BEFORE WE TAKE OUR BREAK? ALL COUNSEL: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WANTED TO CHECK. SO WE'LL PICK UP WITH MR. HART'S REDIRECT AT 3:05. MR. VOSS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. (AFTERNOON RECESS.) (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q YOU'VE HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THOSE PAGES. SO ON REDIRECT, YOU TOLD MR. VOSS THAT WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE QUESTION WHETHER YOU BELIEVED THOSE TWO STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM, THAT YOU HAVE NO IDEA AND THAT YOU WERE CUT OFF? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE QUESTION. AT LINES 21 THROUGH 24 THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT THIS WAY: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION AT LINES 21 TO 24, HOW ABOUT THAT? SO WE DO HAVE DON'T HAVE ANY CHARACTERIZATION ISSUE. BY MR. HART: Q ALL RIGHT. MIGHT QUESTION FOR YOU, DR. THANG, IS WHETHER YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION POSED AT LINES 21 TO 24 ON PAGE 89? A I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO OVER THE PAST 30 MINUTES OF CONVERSATION. Q WERE YOU CONFUSED ABOUT THE REFERENCE TO THE TWO SENTENCES? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MISCHARACTERIZES THE EVIDENCE. THE COURT: I WILL JUST READ THE QUESTION THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SO THERE'S NO CONFUSION. MR. VOSS: IT'S ONLY TWO SENTENCES. MR. COURT: VERY GOOD. THE QUESTION THAT HE'S

	Page 138		Page 140
1	WHEN YOU WROTE THOSE COMMENTS THAT WERE JUST ARGUED ABOUT FOR	1	THE WITNESS: YES. I CONTINUED THE QUESTION ASKING
2	THE LAST 30 MINUTES IN YOUR ARTICLE, DID YOU BELIEVE THEY WERE	2	YOU, WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS LETTER, RIGHT, OF APRIL 30TH,
3	TRUE WHEN YOU WROTE THEM?"	3	1996, AND ABOUT THAT NUMBER FIVE, WITH THE NEXT PARAGRAPH
4	BY MR. HART:	4	ABOUT INVESTIGATED, REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION, OF NUMBER FIVE,
5	Q RIGHT. AND MY QUESTION TO YOU IS: WERE YOU	5	WHICH IS ABOUT
6	CONFUSED ABOUT THAT QUESTION?	6	BY MR. HART:
7	A YES.	7	Q OKAY. SO I'M JUST TRYING TO GET THIS STRAIGHT.
8	Q OKAY. AND DID YOU SIGNAL ME IN SOME WAY THAT	8	WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS, IN FURTHER RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION
9	YOU WERE CONFUSED ABOUT THAT QUESTION?	9	ABOUT WHETHER THE COMMENTS WERE TRUE, YOU SAID, "I HAVE NO
10	A I WAS	10	IDEA," AND YOU THEN QUESTIONED ME ABOUT ITEM NUMBER FIVE IN
11	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE.	11	THE APRIL 30TH LETTER; YES?
12	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	12	A YES.
13	THE WITNESS: I WAS ABOUT TO ASK YOU. "I HAVE NO	13	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION.
14	IDEA" SHOULD BE DOT, DOT, DOT, "WHAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT."	14	THE COURT: WAIT.
15 16	AND THEN AFTER YOU INTERRUPTED, I CONTINUE THE QUESTION. THE COURT: THE ANSWER?	15	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. THAT WOULD
17	THE WITNESS: OH, NO, THE QUESTION TO HIM.	16 17	MISCHARACTERIZE THE EVIDENCE AT PAGE 90, LINES THREE THROUGH EIGHT.
18	THE COURT: OKAY.	18	THE COURT: OVERRULED. HE AGREED WITH IT.
19	THE WITNESS: YEAH.	19	THE WITNESS: I HAVEN'T FINISHED.
20	THE COURT: I'M SORRY.	20	MR. HART: I THINK I WAS IN THE MIDDLE OF A QUESTION.
21	BY MR. HART:	21	THE COURT: YES.
22	Q AND SO THAT CONTINUED QUESTION THEN APPEARS ON	22	BY MR. HART:
23	PAGE 90?	23	Q SO WHAT YOU'RE TELLING US IS THAT YOU'RE
24	A YES. "IT'S JUST REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION;	24	ANSWERING AND YOU'RE POINTING TO THIS EXHIBIT AND YOU'RE
25	RIGHT?" AND I WAS POINTING TO THE LETTER OF	25	ASKING ME, "IT'S JUST A REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION; RIGHT?" IS
26	CONGRESSMAN DORNAN; WERE YOU ASKING ME ABOUT THIS LETTER AT	26	THAT HOW YOU EXPLAIN WHAT YOU SAID ON PAGE 89 AND 90 OF YOUR
	Page 139		Page 141
1	THIS POINT?		
_		1 1	
2		1 2	DEPOSITION? A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT
2	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT.	2 3	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS
	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER?	2	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT
3	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT.	2	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS
3	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT	2 3 4	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO
3 4 5	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT?	2 3 4 5	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT?
3 4 5 6	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES,	2 3 4 5	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON
3 4 5 6 7	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME.	2 3 4 5 6	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME. MR. VOSS: 223.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION FROM LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30 LETTER THAT MR. DORNAN IS ASKING
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME. MR. VOSS: 223. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. VOSS: APRIL 30 IS 234. THE COURT: CORRECT. JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, IS IT THE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION FROM LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30 LETTER THAT MR. DORNAN IS ASKING SOMEBODY TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA'S FALSE CLAIM OF PH.D.?" YOU SEE THAT PART? A YES.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME. MR. VOSS: 223. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. VOSS: APRIL 30 IS 234. THE COURT: CORRECT. JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, IS IT THE APRIL 2ND OR APRIL 30TH LETTER WE'RE LOOKING FOR?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION FROM LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30 LETTER THAT MR. DORNAN IS ASKING SOMEBODY TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA'S FALSE CLAIM OF PH.D.?" YOU SEE THAT PART? A YES. Q AND YOUR ANSWER WAS: "YES, IT'S RIGHT THERE.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME. MR. VOSS: 223. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. VOSS: APRIL 30 IS 234. THE COURT: CORRECT. JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, IS IT THE APRIL 2ND OR APRIL 30TH LETTER WE'RE LOOKING FOR? THE WITNESS: APRIL 30.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION FROM LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30 LETTER THAT MR. DORNAN IS ASKING SOMEBODY TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA'S FALSE CLAIM OF PH.D.?" YOU SEE THAT PART? A YES. Q AND YOUR ANSWER WAS: "YES, IT'S RIGHT THERE. THAT'S WHAT HE SAID."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME. MR. VOSS: 223. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. VOSS: APRIL 30 IS 234. THE COURT: CORRECT. JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, IS IT THE APRIL 2ND OR APRIL 30TH LETTER WE'RE LOOKING FOR? THE WITNESS: APRIL 30. MR. HART: APRIL 30TH.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION FROM LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30 LETTER THAT MR. DORNAN IS ASKING SOMEBODY TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA'S FALSE CLAIM OF PH.D.?" YOU SEE THAT PART? A YES. Q AND YOUR ANSWER WAS: "YES, IT'S RIGHT THERE. THAT'S WHAT HE SAID." SO THIS LETTER IS REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME. MR. VOSS: 223. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. VOSS: APRIL 30 IS 234. THE COURT: CORRECT. JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, IS IT THE APRIL 2ND OR APRIL 30TH LETTER WE'RE LOOKING FOR? THE WITNESS: APRIL 30. MR. HART: APRIL 30TH. THE COURT: SO THAT WOULD BE EXHIBIT 234. IT HAS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION FROM LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30 LETTER THAT MR. DORNAN IS ASKING SOMEBODY TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA'S FALSE CLAIM OF PH.D.?" YOU SEE THAT PART? A YES. Q AND YOUR ANSWER WAS: "YES, IT'S RIGHT THERE. THAT'S WHAT HE SAID." SO THIS LETTER IS REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION? A IT'S REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION ABOUT THE
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME. MR. VOSS: 223. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. VOSS: APRIL 30 IS 234. THE COURT: CORRECT. JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, IS IT THE APRIL 2ND OR APRIL 30TH LETTER WE'RE LOOKING FOR? THE WITNESS: APRIL 30. MR. HART: APRIL 30TH. THE COURT: SO THAT WOULD BE EXHIBIT 234. IT HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY ADMITTED AND MAY BE PUBLISHED.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION FROM LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30 LETTER THAT MR. DORNAN IS ASKING SOMEBODY TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA'S FALSE CLAIM OF PH.D.?" YOU SEE THAT PART? A YES. Q AND YOUR ANSWER WAS: "YES, IT'S RIGHT THERE. THAT'S WHAT HE SAID." SO THIS LETTER IS REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION? A IT'S REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION ABOUT THE RAMIFICATIONS, THE IMPACT OF MISREPRESENTING ONE'S ACADEMIC
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME. MR. VOSS: 223. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. VOSS: APRIL 30 IS 234. THE COURT: CORRECT. JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, IS IT THE APRIL 2ND OR APRIL 30TH LETTER WE'RE LOOKING FOR? THE WITNESS: APRIL 30. MR. HART: APRIL 30TH. THE COURT: SO THAT WOULD BE EXHIBIT 234. IT HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY ADMITTED AND MAY BE PUBLISHED. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION FROM LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30 LETTER THAT MR. DORNAN IS ASKING SOMEBODY TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA'S FALSE CLAIM OF PH.D.?" YOU SEE THAT PART? A YES. Q AND YOUR ANSWER WAS: "YES, IT'S RIGHT THERE. THAT'S WHAT HE SAID." SO THIS LETTER IS REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION? A IT'S REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION ABOUT THE RAMIFICATIONS, THE IMPACT OF MISREPRESENTING ONE'S ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS IN A GRANT APPLICATION.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME. MR. VOSS: 223. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. VOSS: APRIL 30 IS 234. THE COURT: CORRECT. JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, IS IT THE APRIL 2ND OR APRIL 30TH LETTER WE'RE LOOKING FOR? THE WITNESS: APRIL 30. MR. HART: APRIL 30TH. THE COURT: SO THAT WOULD BE EXHIBIT 234. IT HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY ADMITTED AND MAY BE PUBLISHED.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION FROM LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30 LETTER THAT MR. DORNAN IS ASKING SOMEBODY TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA'S FALSE CLAIM OF PH.D.?" YOU SEE THAT PART? A YES. Q AND YOUR ANSWER WAS: "YES, IT'S RIGHT THERE. THAT'S WHAT HE SAID." SO THIS LETTER IS REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION? A IT'S REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION ABOUT THE RAMIFICATIONS, THE IMPACT OF MISREPRESENTING ONE'S ACADEMIC
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME. MR. VOSS: 223. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. VOSS: APRIL 30 IS 234. THE COURT: CORRECT. JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, IS IT THE APRIL 2ND OR APRIL 30TH LETTER WE'RE LOOKING FOR? THE WITNESS: APRIL 30. MR. HART: APRIL 30TH. THE COURT: SO THAT WOULD BE EXHIBIT 234. IT HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY ADMITTED AND MAY BE PUBLISHED. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION FROM LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30 LETTER THAT MR. DORNAN IS ASKING SOMEBODY TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA'S FALSE CLAIM OF PH.D.?" YOU SEE THAT PART? A YES. Q AND YOUR ANSWER WAS: "YES, IT'S RIGHT THERE. THAT'S WHAT HE SAID." SO THIS LETTER IS REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION? A IT'S REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION ABOUT THE RAMIFICATIONS, THE IMPACT OF MISREPRESENTING ONE'S ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS IN A GRANT APPLICATION. Q DIDN'T YOU TELL US EARLIER THAT THIS LETTER WAS
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME. MR. VOSS: 223. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. VOSS: APRIL 30 IS 234. THE COURT: CORRECT. JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, IS IT THE APRIL 2ND OR APRIL 30TH LETTER WE'RE LOOKING FOR? THE WITNESS: APRIL 30. MR. HART: APRIL 30TH. THE COURT: SO THAT WOULD BE EXHIBIT 234. IT HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY ADMITTED AND MAY BE PUBLISHED. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO WHAT YOU'RE TELLING US, DR. THANG, IS THAT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION FROM LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30 LETTER THAT MR. DORNAN IS ASKING SOMEBODY TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA'S FALSE CLAIM OF PH.D.?" YOU SEE THAT PART? A YES. Q AND YOUR ANSWER WAS: "YES, IT'S RIGHT THERE. THAT'S WHAT HE SAID." SO THIS LETTER IS REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION? A IT'S REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION ABOUT THE RAMIFICATIONS, THE IMPACT OF MISREPRESENTING ONE'S ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS IN A GRANT APPLICATION. Q DIDN'T YOU TELL US EARLIER THAT THIS LETTER WAS THE REPORT OF A DETAILED FOUR-MONTH LONG INVESTIGATION?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME. MR. VOSS: 223. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. VOSS: APRIL 30 IS 234. THE COURT: CORRECT. JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, IS IT THE APRIL 2ND OR APRIL 30TH LETTER WE'RE LOOKING FOR? THE WITNESS: APRIL 30. MR. HART: APRIL 30TH. THE COURT: SO THAT WOULD BE EXHIBIT 234. IT HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY ADMITTED AND MAY BE PUBLISHED. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO WHAT YOU'RE TELLING US, DR. THANG, IS THAT WHEN YOU SAID, "I HAVE NO IDEA," YOU WERE POINTING TO THIS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION FROM LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30 LETTER THAT MR. DORNAN IS ASKING SOMEBODY TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA'S FALSE CLAIM OF PH.D.?" YOU SEE THAT PART? A YES. Q AND YOUR ANSWER WAS: "YES, IT'S RIGHT THERE. THAT'S WHAT HE SAID." SO THIS LETTER IS REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION? A IT'S REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION ABOUT THE RAMIFICATIONS, THE IMPACT OF MISREPRESENTING ONE'S ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS IN A GRANT APPLICATION. Q DIDN'T YOU TELL US EARLIER THAT THIS LETTER WAS THE REPORT OF A DETAILED FOUR-MONTH LONG INVESTIGATION? A IT WAS.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME. MR. VOSS: 223. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. VOSS: APRIL 30 IS 234. THE COURT: CORRECT. JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, IS IT THE APRIL 2ND OR APRIL 30TH LETTER WE'RE LOOKING FOR? THE WITNESS: APRIL 30. MR. HART: APRIL 30TH. THE COURT: SO THAT WOULD BE EXHIBIT 234. IT HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY ADMITTED AND MAY BE PUBLISHED. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO WHAT YOU'RE TELLING US, DR. THANG, IS THAT WHEN YOU SAID, "I HAVE NO IDEA," YOU WERE POINTING TO THIS LETTER AND SAYING, IT'S JUST A REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION FROM LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30 LETTER THAT MR. DORNAN IS ASKING SOMEBODY TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA'S FALSE CLAIM OF PH.D.?" YOU SEE THAT PART? A YES. Q AND YOUR ANSWER WAS: "YES, IT'S RIGHT THERE. THAT'S WHAT HE SAID." SO THIS LETTER IS REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION? A IT'S REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION ABOUT THE RAMIFICATIONS, THE IMPACT OF MISREPRESENTING ONE'S ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS IN A GRANT APPLICATION. Q DIDN'T YOU TELL US EARLIER THAT THIS LETTER WAS THE REPORT OF A DETAILED FOUR-MONTH LONG INVESTIGATION? A IT WAS. Q SO HOW CAN IT BE BOTH?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME. MR. VOSS: 223. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. VOSS: APRIL 30 IS 234. THE COURT: CORRECT. JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, IS IT THE APRIL 2ND OR APRIL 30TH LETTER WE'RE LOOKING FOR? THE WITNESS: APRIL 30. MR. HART: APRIL 30TH. THE COURT: SO THAT WOULD BE EXHIBIT 234. IT HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY ADMITTED AND MAY BE PUBLISHED. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO WHAT YOU'RE TELLING US, DR. THANG, IS THAT WHEN YOU SAID, "I HAVE NO IDEA," YOU WERE POINTING TO THIS LETTER AND SAYING, IT'S JUST A REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION; RIGHT?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION FROM LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30 LETTER THAT MR. DORNAN IS ASKING SOMEBODY TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA'S FALSE CLAIM OF PH.D.?" YOU SEE THAT PART? A YES. Q AND YOUR ANSWER WAS: "YES, IT'S RIGHT THERE. THAT'S WHAT HE SAID." SO THIS LETTER IS REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION? A IT'S REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION ABOUT THE RAMIFICATIONS, THE IMPACT OF MISREPRESENTING ONE'S ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS IN A GRANT APPLICATION. Q DIDN'T YOU TELL US EARLIER THAT THIS LETTER WAS THE REPORT OF A DETAILED FOUR-MONTH LONG INVESTIGATION? A IT WAS. Q SO HOW CAN IT BE BOTH? A NO, IT WAS NOT BOTH. HE, CONGRESSMAN DORNAN,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME. MR. VOSS: 223. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. VOSS: APRIL 30 IS 234. THE COURT: CORRECT. JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, IS IT THE APRIL 2ND OR APRIL 30TH LETTER WE'RE LOOKING FOR? THE WITNESS: APRIL 30. MR. HART: APRIL 30TH. THE COURT: SO THAT WOULD BE EXHIBIT 234. IT HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY ADMITTED AND MAY BE PUBLISHED. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO WHAT YOU'RE TELLING US, DR. THANG, IS THAT WHEN YOU SAID, "I HAVE NO IDEA," YOU WERE POINTING TO THIS LETTER AND SAYING, IT'S JUST A REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION; RIGHT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. MISCHARACTERIZES THE WITNESS'	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION FROM LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30 LETTER THAT MR. DORNAN IS ASKING SOMEBODY TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA'S FALSE CLAIM OF PH.D.?" YOU SEE THAT PART? A YES. Q AND YOUR ANSWER WAS: "YES, IT'S RIGHT THERE. THAT'S WHAT HE SAID." SO THIS LETTER IS REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION? A IT'S REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION ABOUT THE RAMIFICATIONS, THE IMPACT OF MISREPRESENTING ONE'S ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS IN A GRANT APPLICATION. Q DIDN'T YOU TELL US EARLIER THAT THIS LETTER WAS THE REPORT OF A DETAILED FOUR-MONTH LONG INVESTIGATION? A IT WAS. Q SO HOW CAN IT BE BOTH? A NO, IT WAS NOT BOTH. HE, CONGRESSMAN DORNAN, INFORMED THE OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF WHAT HE HAD
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q THE APRIL 30TH LETTER? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q CAN WE PUBLISH THAT WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT? THE COURT: YES, THAT WAS ALREADY ADMITTED. SO YES, YOU MAY. THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ALLUDES ME. MR. VOSS: 223. THE COURT: OKAY. MR. VOSS: APRIL 30 IS 234. THE COURT: CORRECT. JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, IS IT THE APRIL 2ND OR APRIL 30TH LETTER WE'RE LOOKING FOR? THE WITNESS: APRIL 30. MR. HART: APRIL 30TH. THE COURT: SO THAT WOULD BE EXHIBIT 234. IT HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY ADMITTED AND MAY BE PUBLISHED. (DOCUMENT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HART: Q SO WHAT YOU'RE TELLING US, DR. THANG, IS THAT WHEN YOU SAID, "I HAVE NO IDEA," YOU WERE POINTING TO THIS LETTER AND SAYING, IT'S JUST A REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION; RIGHT? MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. MISCHARACTERIZES THE WITNESS' TESTIMONY.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A YES, IN THE SENSE THAT I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT YOUR QUESTION RELATING TO THE 30 MINUTES PRIOR. SO I WAS ASKING YOU: WERE YOU REFERRING TO THIS SENTENCE HERE, TO THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS HERE ON THIS EXHIBIT? Q OKAY. AND YOU SEE FOLLOWING THAT STATEMENT ON PAGE 90 I ASK YOU A LITTLE CLARIFICATION: "IS IT YOUR OPINION FROM LOOKING AT THIS APRIL 30 LETTER THAT MR. DORNAN IS ASKING SOMEBODY TO INVESTIGATE MR. KHOA'S FALSE CLAIM OF PH.D.?" YOU SEE THAT PART? A YES. Q AND YOUR ANSWER WAS: "YES, IT'S RIGHT THERE. THAT'S WHAT HE SAID." SO THIS LETTER IS REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION? A IT'S REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION ABOUT THE RAMIFICATIONS, THE IMPACT OF MISREPRESENTING ONE'S ACADEMIC CREDENTIALS IN A GRANT APPLICATION. Q DIDN'T YOU TELL US EARLIER THAT THIS LETTER WAS THE REPORT OF A DETAILED FOUR-MONTH LONG INVESTIGATION? A IT WAS. Q SO HOW CAN IT BE BOTH? A NO, IT WAS NOT BOTH. HE, CONGRESSMAN DORNAN, INFORMED THE OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF WHAT HE HAD FOUND AFTER TRIPLY VERIFYING THE FACTS.

	Page 142		Page 144
1	TO THAT STATEMENT.	1	HOW DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THAT LETTER BELONGS
2	BY MR. HART:	2	THERE OR NOT?
3	Q ALL RIGHT. SO LET'S MOVE ON TO YOUR	3	MR. VOSS: SAME OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
4	EXHIBIT 221.	4	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
5	DO YOU SEE THAT?	5	MR. HART: OKAY. NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, JUDGE.
6	THE COURT: THE LAST EXHIBIT IN BINDER NUMBER ONE.	6	THANKS VERY MUCH.
7	BY MR. HART:	7	THE COURT: SHALL HE RETURN TO HIS SEAT?
8	Q YOU SEE THAT?	8	MR. VOSS: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS AT THIS TIME,
9	A YES.	9	RESERVING OUR RIGHT TO CALL DR. THANG ON OUR DIRECT.
10	O WHERE DID YOU TELL US THIS CAME FROM?	10	THE COURT: ON YOUR CASE, YES. YOU MAY RETURN TO
11	A THIS CAME FROM	11	YOUR SEAT. AND THEN YOU CAN RETURN THAT TO MR. HART AS YOU GO
12	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE.	12	BY THERE.
13	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	13	MR. HART: THANK YOU.
		14	THE COURT: AND WE HAVE OUR NEXT WITNESS?
14	MR. HART: IT'S BEEN RECEIVED.		
15	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	15	MR. HART: PLAINTIFFS WILL CALL CECILE TRUONG.
16	THE WITNESS: THIS CAME FROM THE UC IRVINE ARCHIVE.	16	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THEN. SHE'S IN THE HALLWAY.
17	BY MR. HART:	17	CECILE THU TRUONG,
18	Q WAS THIS OBTAINED BY YOU?	18	CALLED AS A WITNESS ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF, AND HAVING
19	A IT WAS OBTAINED BY MY COUNSEL.	19	BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
20	Q SOMEBODY FROM ONE OF THE ATTORNEYS' OFFICES?	20	THE WITNESS: YES.
21	A CORRECT.	21	THE CLERK: PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND
22	Q DID YOU PERSONALLY VIEW WHAT WAS IN THE ARCHIVE	22	LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.
23	RELATED TO THIS PARTICULAR	23	THE WITNESS: CECILE THU, T-H-U, AND LAST NAME IS
24	A NO.	24	TRUONG, T-R-U-O-N-G.
25	Q REQUEST?	25	THE CLERK: THANK YOU. YOU MAY BE SEATED.
26	A NO.	26	THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON. WE'LL GIVE YOU A COUPLE
	Page 143		Page 145
1	Q DID YOU EVER SEE THIS PARTICULAR REQUEST BACK	1	MOMENTS TO SETTLE IN THERE. YOU CAN CLOSE UP THAT BINDER FOR
2	IN 1985 WHEN IT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY	2	THE TIME BEING. HE'LL PROBABLY DIRECT YOU TO SOME LATER ON.
3	ADMINISTRATION?	3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	A I DIDN'T SEE THAT IN 1985, BUT I DID SEE A LOT	4	BY MR. HART:
5	OF COPIES OF GRANT APPLICATIONS SIMILAR TO THIS.	5	Q GOOD AFTERNOON, MS. TRUONG. THANK YOU FOR
6	THE COURT: HOLD ON. HAVE YOU SEEN THIS ONE	6	MAKING YOURSELF AVAILABLE TO TESTIFY TODAY. WE APPRECIATE
7	APPLICATION IN THE 1985 TIME FRAME. THAT'S THE ONLY QUESTION.	7	YOUR TIME.
8	BY MR. HART:	8	WOULD YOU TELL THE SAY FOR THE RECORD AND
9	Q WOULD YOU AGREE THAT THERE SEEMS TO BE A LOT OF	9	SPELL YOUR NAME, PLEASE.
10	PAGES MISSING?	10	A CECILE IS SPELLED C-E-C-I-L-E. AND THEN THE
11	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE, YOUR HONOR. THERE	11	SECOND PART OF MY FIRST NAME IS T-H-U. AND MY LAST NAME IS
12	WAS A STIPULATION.	12	TRUONG T-, AS IN TOM, R-U-O-N-G, AS IN GEORGE.
13	THE COURT: WAIT. I'M GOING TO SUSTAIN FOR THAT ONE.	13	O OKAY. SO, MS, TRUONG, WOULD YOU PLEASE TELL
14	BY MR. HART:	14	THE JURY WHAT YOUR RELATIONSHIP IS TO THE PLAINTIFF, WHO I
		15	REFER TO AS PROFESSOR KHOA.
15 16	Q MY QUESTION TO YOU, DR. THANG, IS: HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT PAGE FIVE OR PAGE FOUR, RATHER, WAS SUBMITTED TO	16	A I'M THE SECOND DAUGHTER OUT OF FOUR CHILDREN.
		17	
17	THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION IN 1985, AS I BELIEVE YOU	18	Q ALL RIGHT. AND CAN YOU TELL US WHERE AND WHEN
18	TESTIFIED, WHEN YOU DIDN'T SEE THIS IN THE ARCHIVES, AND YOU		YOU WERE BORN.
19	DIDN'T SEE IT THEN, AND YOU HAVEN'T SEEN ANY OF THIS UNTIL ONE	19	A I WAS BORN IN SAIGON, VIETNAM. IT'S SOUTH
20	OF YOUR LAWYERS SHOWED IT TO YOU?	20	VIETNAM.
21	MR. VOSS: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE. THE DOCUMENT	21	Q ALL RIGHT. AND SO TELL US, IF YOU WOULD, WHAT
22	WAS INTRODUCED BY PLAINTIFFS AND RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.	22	YOUR AS YOU GREW, WHAT YOU UNDERSTOOD YOUR FATHER'S
23	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	23	EMPLOYMENT TO BE DURING THE 60'S.
24	BY MR. HART:	24	A I WAS BORN IN JANUARY, 1961. SO I ONLY KNEW UP
25	Q HOW CAN YOU I'M SORRY. IT'S A FOUNDATION	25	UNTIL CERTAIN AGE, BUT DEFINITELY I KNEW THAT HE WAS A
	QUESTION.	26	UNIVERSITY PROFESSOR. WE HAD, YOU KNOW, MEETINGS AT OUR HOUSE
26	QUESTION.		

Page 146 Page 148 AND HE HAD STUDENTS ALSO VISITING. I DO HEAR PEOPLE CALLING US WITH HOMEWORK. HE WAS VERY STRICT. AND I REMEMBERED ALSO HELPING HIM WITH -- BECAUSE HE WAS -- HE ALSO HAD PUBLISHED. 2 HIM "PROFESSOR" IN VIETNAMESE, A VERY SPECIFIC WORD, GIAO SU, 2 3 G-I-A-O AND THEN S-, AS IN SAM, U. THAT MEANS PROFESSOR IN 3 WAS A PUBLISHER WITH SOME MAGAZINES THAT DEALT WITH SOCIETY, VIETNAMESE. 4 ADVANCING SOCIETY, THAT TYPE OF THING. HELPING HIM COUNT HOW MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. MOVE TO STRIKE, 352. MANY BOOKS, YOU KNOW, THERE WERE AND THAT TYPE OF THING. 5 5 6 THE COURT: OVERRULED AND THEN IN '74, I WAS 13, ACTUALLY ALMOST 14 THE WITNESS: HE -- SO A PROFESSOR. AND I DID BY THEN, WE RECEIVED CONGRATULATORY MESSAGES FROM FRIENDS. NEIGHBORS BECAUSE THEY HAD READ IN THE NEWSPAPER THAT MY REMEMBER US LIVING -- HAVING TO MOVE TO A DIFFERENT HOME. A 8 8 FATHER WAS APPOINTED AS ONE OF THE THREE VICE PRESIDENTS OF 9 VERY BIG HOME IN -- BECAUSE HE WAS -- HE RECEIVED A POSITION UNIVERSITY OF SAIGON. 10 THAT'S DEPUTY MINISTER OF EDUCATION THAT MY -- AND SOME OF THE 10 PRIVILEGES THAT WE HAD LIVING IN THAT HOME WHEN HE WAS THE BY MR. HART: 11 11 12 DEPUTY MINISTER OF EDUCATION. 12 Q OKAY. AND SO WHAT YEAR DID YOU AND YOUR FAMILY 13 BY MR. HART: 13 TRAVEL TO THE U.S.? O HOW OLD WERE YOU WHEN THAT HAPPENED? A IT WAS 1975, RIGHT BEFORE THE FALL OF SAIGON. 14 14 15 A GOSH, THREE TO FIVE, AROUND THAT AGE. 15 Q SO WHAT MONTH WAS IT IN '75? 16 Q SO YOUR RECOLLECTION IS MOSTLY MOVING INTO A 16 A IT WAS APRIL OF 1975. 17 O EARLY OR MID APRIL? 17 NICE BIG HOUSE? 18 A YEAH, AND ALSO SEEING PHOTOS THAT REMIND ME OF 18 A VERY LATE, BECAUSE AS DIRECTOR OF -- ONE OF THE 19 THAT TIME 19 DIRECTORS OF V.A.A., THE AMERICAN'S V.A.A. DIDN'T WANT MORE PEOPLE TO PANIC. SO AS A HIGHER TITLED PERSON, HE HAD TO STAY 20 20 O OKAY. A HE ALSO BECAME THE -- ONE OF THE THREE AND NOT LEAVE THE COUNTRY TOO SOON. SO WE WERE AMONG THE LAST 21 21 22 DIRECTORS OF V.A.A., WHICH STANDS FOR VIETNAMESE AMERICAN 2.2 GROUPS. SO THE 28 OR 29 THAT WE LEFT VIETNAM. SO THE FALL OF 23 SAIGON WAS ON THE 30TH OF APRIL. 2.3 ASSOCIATION HE HAD -- HIS GOAL WAS -- I WAS A LITTLE OLDER BY NOW. I WENT WITH HIM TO HIS WORK A FEW TIMES, DO HOMEWORK MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. MOVE TO STRIKE EVERYTHING 24 24 AFTER APRIL 1975 AS NONRESPONSIVE. AND THAT KIND OF THING -- HAVING BASICALLY INTRODUCING 25 25 AMERICAN TEXTBOOKS AND NOVELS TO VIETNAMESE. SO I THINK HIS 26 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 26 Page 147 Page 149 TITLE WAS DIRECTOR OF LETTERS AND PRESS AT THE V.A.A. 1 BY MR. HART: Q ARE EITHER OF THESE GOVERNMENT FUNCTIONS? 2 Q SO DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF YOUR FATHER A V.A.A. IS NOT VIETNAMESE GOVERNMENT, BUT I WORKING ON HIS DOCTORAL THESIS? GUESS THE U.S. GOVERNMENT GAVE MONEY TO CREATE THAT A YES TREMEMBERED -- I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND A LOT 4

6

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 2 4 ORGANIZATION TO HELP IMPROVE EDUCATION IN VIETNAM. 6 Q OKAY. 7 MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. SPECULATION. 8 9 THE COURT: AS TO THE GOVERNMENT, THE MONEY FROM THE U.S. GOVERNMENT, THAT WILL BE STRICKEN AND DISREGARDED. 10 11 BY MR. HART: 12 O WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF HIS 13 RESPONSIBILITIES WHEN HE WAS A DEPUTY MINISTER OF EDUCATION? 14 A I DID NOT KNOW MUCH. I JUST SAW THAT HE HAD MEETINGS OR WAS VISITED BY WHAT I WAS TOLD IMPORTANT PEOPLE. 15 SO "YOU KIDS BEHAVE AND GO TO THE OTHER SIDE OF THE HOUSE," 16 17 YOU KNOW, THAT TYPE OF THING. 18 O SO AS WE MOVE FROM THE 60'S INTO THE 70'S. THROUGH THE PEACE TALK PERIOD, TO THE PEACE AGREEMENT, YOU'RE 19 10, 12 YEARS OLD. WHAT'S YOUR RECOLLECTION OF YOUR FAMILY 20 21 SITUATION WITH YOUR FATHER? 22 MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. 352. 23 THE COURT: I'M GIVING HIM A LITTLE LEVERAGE. WE'RE 24 NOT SPEND VERY MUCH TIME ON THIS. 25 THE WITNESS: HE WAS VERY BUSY WORKING BUT NEVER

FORGOT TO PICK US UP FROM SCHOOL: ALWAYS SPENT SOME TIME WITH

26

WORKING ON HIS DOCTORAL THESIS?

A YES, I REMEMBERED -- I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND A LOT
OF IT. WHEN I WAS YOUNGER, I DID REMEMBER HIM TALKING, YOU
KNOW, BECAUSE HE HAD A LOT OF PEOPLE VISITING OUR HOME,
DIFFERENT GROUPS, FROM INTELLECTUALS TO JUST FRIENDS AND
DISCUSSING THE DIFFERENT -- YOU KNOW, HIS -- THE SUBJECT THAT
HE TAUGHT WAS PHILOSOPHY. AND MY OLDER SISTER AND I, EVEN
THOUGH WE WERE YOUNG, WE WERE KIND OF CURIOUS AND LISTENED TO
SOME OF IT, BUT I CAN'T SAY THAT I UNDERSTOOD IT ALL.

Q OKAY. DO YOU KNOW WHAT HAPPENED TO YOUR
FATHER'S DOCTORAL THESIS?

A YOU MEAN THE ACTUAL PAPERWORK, THE PACKAGE?
Q THE PHYSICAL COPY.
A THE PHYSICAL COPY, UH-HUH, YEAH. SO WHEN WE
HAD TO LEAVE IN 1975, THE FALL OF SAIGON, JUST LIKE EVERYBODY
ELSE, IT WAS EVACUATION QUICK. YOU KNOW, WE WERE NOT ABLE TO
BRING A LOT OF THINGS. SO THAT'S WHY MANY VALUABLE THINGS --

WAS A COPY OF HIS DOCTORATE THESIS.

AND WHEN WE MET AT THE LOCATION FOR THE

AMERICANS TO TAKE US BY BUS INTO THE AIRPORT, WE WERE TOLD

THAT YOU COULD NOT -- INITIALLY, THEY TOLD US THAT EACH OF US

NOT IN TERMS OF MONEY, BUT OTHER THINGS COULDN'T BRING OUT.

BUT MY FATHER, ONE OF THE FEW THINGS THAT HE

WAS ABLE BRING OUT WAS A COPY -- THAT HE WANTED TO BRING OUT

Page 150 Page 152 WOULD BE ABLE TO HAVE A BAG. AND AT THE VERY END, THEY SAID THINK REAL QUICKLY WHERE YOU WOULD HAVE MOVED OUT. HOW LONG 2 NO. WE COLL D NOT 2 DID YOU LIVE AT HOME? WHAT AGE? A UNTIL THE END OF 1988, WHEN I MOVED OUT TO 3 SO HE HAD TO LEAVE THAT BEHIND, BECAUSE WHAT 3 4 WAS MORE IMPORTANT TO HIM WAS FOR US, HIS CHILDREN, NEEDED IN 4 CALIFORNIA TO BE WITH MY HUSBAND. THOSE SUITCASES. SO HE LOST THAT COPY OF HIS THESIS. 5 Q OKAY. SO WHERE WAS THE FAMILY BEFORE YOU MOVED 5 6 Q TELL US BRIEFLY HOW YOUR FAMILY INTEGRATED INTO 6 TO CALIFORNIA? 7 THE U.S. A WE WERE LIVING IN MARYLAND, JUST RIGHT OUTSIDE A JUST LIKE MANY REFUGEES AT THE TIME AND FOR US 8 8 OF WASHINGTON, D.C. Q ALL RIGHT. AND SO DURING THAT TIME FRAME, ARE 9 KIDS -- I WAS 14 AT THE TIME -- IT WAS EXCITEMENT LEARNING 9 YOU FAMILIAR WITH YOUR FATHER'S ACTIVITIES ASSISTING REFUGEE 10 NEW -- MAKING NEW FRIENDS, LEARNING THE SCHOOL. AND THE 10 SCHOOLS WERE NOT AS DIFFICULT AS SCHOOLS IN VIETNAM, SO IT WAS INTEGRATION INTO THE U.S.? 11 11 12 EASIER FOR US. 12 A YES, BUT NOT IN DETAIL. WE WERE JUST VERY BUSY. I DID HELP HIM IN THE OFFICE DOING CLERICAL WORK, YOU 13 BUT WE DID CHANGE IN THAT, YOU KNOW, WE 13 WERE -- HAD MORE COMFORT IN VIETNAM IN THE SENSE THAT, YOU KNOW, THAT TYPE OF THING OCCASIONALLY, NOT THERE ALL THE TIME. 14 14 HEARING PEOPLE, WHEN I MET PEOPLE, YOU KNOW, AT EITHER SOME 15 KNOW, WE HAD A HOUSE. WHEREAS COMING TO THE U.S., SIX OF US 15 SOCIAL EVENT OR WHATEVER, AND THEY WOULD PRAISE MY DAD ABOUT 16 LIVING IN A TWO-BEDROOM APARTMENT AND SEEING MY FATHER 16 ACCEPTING, JUST LIKE MANY OTHER REFUGEES, ANY TYPE OF JOB TO SOMETHING. BUT AS A YOUNG PERSON, I DIDN'T CARE TOO MUCH. 17 17 YOU KNOW, DAD IS DAD. I DIDN'T PAY TOO MUCH ATTENTION UNTIL A 18 MAKE MONEY. 18 19 O WHAT KIND OF JOB DID YOU SEE YOUR FATHER DO? 19 CERTAIN POINT A SO HE APPLIED FOR 7-ELEVEN AND MCDONALD'S. AND Q SO YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THE 20 20 I REMEMBER HIM COMING HOME AND SHARING WITH US WHAT HE I.R.A.C. ORGANIZATION? 21 21 22 LEARNED, BECAUSE HE HAD NO IDEA, NEVER WENT IN THE KITCHEN TO 2.2 A OH, YES. 23 TOUCH ANYTHING BEFORE. 23 Q HOW ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THAT ORGANIZATION? BUT FORTUNATELY FOR HIM, AFTER JUST, IF I MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. 24 24 THE COURT: OVERRULED. THAT THE QUESTION IS SEEKING REMEMBER CORRECTLY, A FEW MONTHS OF DOING THAT WORK, THAT HE 25 25 26 WAS HIRED AS A RESEARCHER FOR A -- AN ORGANIZATION. I GUESS 26 THE FOUNDATION. Page 153 Page 151 THE WITNESS: SO GO AHEAD? IT'S CALLED O.S.I., OPPORTUNITY SYSTEMS INCORPORATED, THAT DID 1 1 2 RESEARCH THINGS ON REFUGEES. THE COURT: YOU MAY RESPOND. Q OKAY. TELL US FOR A SECOND A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE WITNESS: OKAY. SO HE -- BEFORE HE CAME TO WORK FOR LR A C. HE HAD A JOB WITH THE PSYCHIATRIC INSTITUTE IN YOUR BACKGROUND, YOUR EDUCATION. 4 4 5 A I HAVE THE BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN NURSING FROM PENNSYLVANIA, IN PHILADELPHIA. AND THEN, SO HE WAS COMMUTING. 6 GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY FROM WASHINGTON, D.C. AND THEN HE TOLD US THAT HE DIDN'T HAVE TO COMMUTE ANYMORE 7 O WHAT YEAR WAS THAT? BECAUSE HE HAS SOME WORK IN WASHINGTON, D.C.

MR. VOSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. RELEVANCE.

THE COURT: I'M GOING TO ALLOW BRIEFLY JUST DEGREES 9

AND WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ON. 10

11 BY MR. HART:

8

14

12 O WHAT YEAR?

A 1983 13

Q 1983. AND DID YOU WORK AS A NURSE AFTER THAT?

A YES, SO I AM A REGISTERED NURSE AND LICENSED 15

16 PUBLIC HEALTH NURSE. I AM -- I SAY "I AM" BECAUSE I STILL

17 HOLD MY LICENSE, IT'S STILL ACTIVE, BUT I RETIRED AT THE END

18 OF MARCH OF THIS YEAR: TWO YEARS EARLIER THAN I HAD PLANNED

TO, WANTED TO, BUT I NEEDED MORE TIME TO ATTEND TO MY FATHER. 19

MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. MOVE TO STRIKE THE LATTER 20

21 SENTENCE.

22 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO OVERRULED. BUT WE'LL MOVE

23 ALONG.

BY MR. HART: 24

25 Q OKAY. AND SO WHAT PERIOD OF TIME WERE YOU IN

26 THE HOME WITH YOUR FATHER IN THE 80'S AND 90'S? I'M TRYING TO AND HE WAS -- AT FIRST, HE WORKED AS A

CONSULTANT FOR I.R.A.C. ONLY. WE ONLY HAD ONE CAR AT THE

10 TIME. SO OCCASIONALLY I HAD TO DRIVE HIM THERE AND DROP HIM

OFF AND THAT TYPE OF THING.

12 SO THAT'S I.R.A.C. OH, AND I MET -- I GOT TO

MEET MR. ROB STEIN, THE FOUNDER OF I.R.A.C. HE WAS A HUMAN 1.3

14 RIGHTS ATTORNEY AND A VERY PROMINENT ATTORNEY IN WASHINGTON.

15 D.C. SO THAT WAS AN HONOR FOR ME BECAUSE I HAD HEARD SO MUCH

16 ABOUT MR ROB STEIN

17 BY MR. HART:

11

18

21

23

26

Q OKAY. AS PROFESSOR KHOA'S DAUGHTER LIVING IN

THE HOUSEHOLD IN MARYLAND, DID YOU HAVE SOME UNDERSTANDING OF 19

20 WHAT THE WORK OF LR.A.C. WAS?

A YES, GENERAL UNDERSTANDING, YES.

22 O WHAT WAS THAT?

A BASICALLY THAT THEY WERE NOT PROVIDING THE

24 RIGHT SERVICE, LIKE SOME OTHER ORGANIZATIONS, YOU KNOW,

25 HELPING NEWLY-ARRIVED REFUGEES SETTLE. IT'S NOT THAT. IT'S

MORE ABOUT POLICY, ADVOCACY. BECAUSE I REMEMBERED HIM BEING

Page 154 Page 156 NERVOUS HAVING TO TESTIFY IN FRONT OF THE SENATE. Q OKAY. DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION FROM THE AND I REMEMBER MR. STEIN SHARING THE STORY WITH 90'S OF BEING AWARE OF B.P.S.O.S.? 2 2 3 ME THAT MY DAD WAS VERY NERVOUS, AND MY DAD SAID, "WHO AM I, A A I HAD ONLY HEARD ABOUT THEM, AN ORGANIZATION IN NEWLY-ARRIVED REFUGEE, TO BE TALKING TO THE SENATORS AND ALL SAN DIEGO THAT HELPED A LOT OF BOAT PEOPLE. OF THAT?" AND MR. STEIN SAID THAT HE TOLD MY DAD, "DON'T LOOK O OKAY. AND HOW ABOUT NGUYEN DINH THANG, THE 5 5 AT THEM AS HIGH OFFICIALS IN THE U.S. LOOK AT THEM AS IF THEY 6 DEFENDANT, WHEN DID YOU FIRST BECOME AWARE OF HIM? MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. SPECULATION. WERE YOUR STUDENTS BECAUSE THEY DON'T KNOW THE SUBJECT ABOUT 7 REFUGEES, SO YOU EDUCATE THEM." AND THAT DID HELP MY DAD TO THE COURT: OVERRULED. 8 8 9 HAVE A LITTLE BIT MORE CONFIDENCE. 9 YOU MAY RESPOND. 10 AND THEN I ALSO GOT TO MEET MR. JEFFREY -- WHO 10 THE WITNESS: I DID NOT HEAR OR DIDN'T PAY ATTENTION WAS MY DAD'S BOSS. HE WAS THE DIRECTOR OF I.R.A.C. AND MY 11 TO THE NAME OF DR. NGUYEN DINH THANG UNTIL PROBABLY AROUND THE 11 12 DAD, AT THE TIME -- I DON'T KNOW IF HE WAS EVEN THE DEPUTY 12 END OF 2019 OR BEGINNING OF 2020. BECAUSE I WAS OVER AT THE 13 YET -- SHARED WITH US, FAMILY, HOW PROUD HE WAS OF MY DAD. 13 APARTMENT A LOT AND HAD MY MOTHER WITH ALZHEIMER'S, AND I AND I THINK EVEN WROTE IN A LETTER THAT HE WISHED THAT SOMEDAY 14 HEARD HIM ON THE PHONE. I HEARD PEOPLE CALLING OR VISITING 14 15 HE WOULD HAVE THE WISDOM, THE ELOQUENCE AND SOME OTHER THINGS 15 HIM. SO I COULD HEAR SOMETHING ABOUT THAT, BUT I DIDN'T PAY TO TALK IN FRONT OF THE SENATE, LIKE MY DAD DID. MUCH ATTENTION TO IT AT THAT TIME. 16 16 17 SO, AGAIN, IT WAS A BIG DEAL FOR A RELATIVELY 17 BY MR. HART: NEWLY-ARRIVED REFUGEE. I THINK THAT WAS '81 OR '82 WHEN THAT 18 18 Q OKAY. LET'S BACK UP A LITTLE BIT AND CLEAN UP 19 HAPPENED 19 THE CHRONOLOGY Q SAY AGAIN WHAT YEAR YOU MOVED AWAY FROM YOUR PARENTS MOVED BACK TO CALIFORNIA IN 2005? 20 20 MARYLAND AND OUT HERE TO CALIFORNIA. 21 21 A CORRECT. Q HERE IN ORANGE COUNTY? 2.2 MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE, 352, AND ASKED 22 23 AND ANSWERED. 23 THE COURT: I DON'T THINK WE GOT THAT. I DIDN'T HEAR OKAY. AND SO HAD HE BEEN RETIRED, YOUR FATHER, 24 24 IN MARYLAND FOR SOME PERIOD OF TIME? THE YEAR OVERRULED 25 25 26 THE WITNESS: OCTOBER, 1988. 26 A YES. Page 155 Page 157 BY MR HART: Q OKAY. AND WHEN WAS -- WHEN DID HE COMPLETE HIS 1 1 2 O OKAY. AND SO WHEN DID YOUR FATHER THEN MOVE TO 2 WORK AT JOHNS HOPKINS? 3 CALIFORNIA? A I DON'T KNOW, MAYBE '98, BECAUSE HE WANTED TO A 2005 FOCUS ON WRITING HIS BOOK TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE U.S. 4 4 Q ALL RIGHT. AND SO WHAT WAS YOUR CONTACT WITH Q OKAY. SO DO YOU KNOW THE REASONS HE MOVED TO 6 HIM BETWEEN 1988 AND 2005? 6 CALIFORNIA IN 2005? 7 A HE CAME OUT TO CALIFORNIA OUITE OFTEN FOR 7 A I ASKED HIM. I INVITED THEM BOTH, BECAUSE I 8 DIFFERENT MEETINGS. HE ALSO CAME OUT TO VISIT US AND, THEN, 8 DID SEE THAT THEY WERE GETTING OLDER. AND CALIFORNIA HAS SUCH GRANDCHILDREN. AND THEN LALSO TOOK MY CHILDREN BACK AT LEAST 9 9 BEAUTIFUL WEATHER, THEY COULD GO OUT HERE AND EXERCISE, WALK ONCE A YEAR IN THE SUMMER TO VISIT WITH HIM. 10 ETC. AND BECAUSE OF ME BEING A NURSE AND HE, AT THE TIME, WAS 10 11 LIVING WITH MY BROTHER AND HIS WIFE AND THE TWO YOUNG 11 Q SO HE HAS SEVERAL GRANDCHILDREN? 12 A LHAVE TWO, AND MY SIBLINGS, TWO OF THEM HAD 12 CHILDREN LKNEW THAT IT'S BETTER FOR HIS OWN DAUGHTER --13 THREE CHILDREN, AND ONE ALSO HAS TWO, SO TOTAL OF TEN, TEN. 1.3 THEIR OWN DAUGHTER TAKE CARE OF THEM THAN MY SISTER-IN-LAW 14 Q OKAY. WHAT'S YOUR RECOLLECTION OF WHEN YOUR 14 HAVING TO. SO I CONVINCED THEM TO MOVE OUT HERE IN 2005. 15 Q SO GENERALLY SPEAKING, WHEN THEY ARRIVED IN 15 FATHER RESIGNED FROM S.E.A.R.A.C.? 16 A I REMEMBERED HIM TELLING US THAT HE WAS GOING 16 2005, WERE YOUR PARENTS BOTH PHYSICALLY AND MENTALLY 17 TO RETIRE, AND WE SAID WE DON'T BELIEVE HIM UNTIL HE REALLY 17 INDEPENDENT? RETIRED. I DID KNOW ABOUT HIM ACCEPTING OR TEACHING AS AN 18 18 A YES. ADJUNCT PROFESSOR AT JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY ABOUT THE TIME MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. MOVE TO STRIKE. 19 19 BEFORE HE OFFICIALLY RETIRED. THE COURT: OVERRULED. BUT WE NEED TO MOVE ALONG 20 20 21 AND WE WERE VERY HAPPY BECAUSE S.E.A.R.A.C. 21 HERE. 22 WORK WAS SO MUCH, AND WE ALSO KNOW THAT HIS LOVE IS TO TEACH. 22 SO THAT HE GOT TO GO BACK TO HIS FIRST LOVE, TO TEACH. SO WE 23 2.3 Q SO CAN YOU SUMMARIZE WHAT THE LIFESTYLE WAS 24 WERE VERY HAPPY ABOUT THAT. 24 THERE BETWEEN 2005 AND 2019 WHEN YOU FIRST HEARD DR. THANG'S 25 Q WHAT YEARS WAS HE AT JOHN HOPKINS? 25 NAME MENTIONED. 2.6 A '96 WAS I THINK WHEN HE BEGAN 26 MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. MAY CALL FOR EXPERT

Page 158 Page 160 OPINION. Q OKAY. SO IN THIS TIME FRAME, IS YOUR FATHER 2 THE COURT: OVERRULED ON BACKGROUND 2 INVOLVED IN TAKING CARE OF HER AS WELL? 3 THE WITNESS: SO MY DAD WAS VERY INDEPENDENT AND 3 A OH, YES. SO I'D SAY LIKE, YOU KNOW, HE TOOK DROVE, AND HE -- HE -- I KNOW HE WROTE A LOT OF ARTICLES. HE CARE OF HER BECAUSE SHE DIDN'T DRIVE, SO ALL THAT TIME. AND TALKED TO A LOT OF PEOPLE. HE DOES -- HE DID A LOT OF PRO THEN UP UNTIL 2017, HE WAS HER MAIN CAREGIVER. HE EVEN 5 5 BONO CONSULTATIONS. HE HAS A LOT OF INTEREST IN VIETNAM/CHINA LEARNED TO COOK, EVEN THOUGH THAT MAN NEVER EVEN WENT IN THE RELATIONS AND ALSO THINGS IN THE VIETNAMESE COMMUNITY TO KITCHEN BEFORE, AND BECAME A VERY GOOD COOK ACTUALLY. SO HE EMPOWER THE VIETNAMESE AMERICANS TO CONTRIBUTE TO THE AMERICAN 8 8 TOOK CARE OF HER UNTIL 2017. SOCIETY, AS WELL AS HELPING IN VIETNAM WITH PEOPLE -- LIKE, 9 Q AT SOME TIME IN THIS TIME FRAME 2019, 2020, 10 YOU KNOW, THE POLITICAL PRISONERS AND ISSUES OF THE M.I.A.'S. 10 2021, DID YOU NOTICE A CHANGE IN YOUR FATHER'S HEALTH? MISSING IN ACTION, YOU KNOW, THE BODIES AND HOW, YOU KNOW, 11 MR_ERIGERO: OBJECTION 352_LAY OPINION 11 VIETNAM'S GOING TO USE THAT TO NEGOTIATE WITH U.S., ETC. SO 12 THE COURT: OVERRULED. SO ANY OBSERVATIONS ABOUT 13 THOSE KINDS OF THINGS. 13 PHYSICAL CIRCUMSTANCES. THE WITNESS: I'M SORRY. BETWEEN WHAT YEAR TO WHAT BY MR. HART: 14 14 15 Q SO DID YOUR PARENTS LIVE WITH YOU OR 15 YEAR? 16 SEPARATELY? 16 BY MR. HART: A THEY LIVED NEAR ME. THEY LIVED IN AN APARTMENT 17 17 Q 2019 TO 2021, IN THAT TIME FRAME, DID YOU NOTICE A CHANGE IN HIS GENERAL HEALTH? 18 19 O DID YOU KEEP TABS ON THEM? 19 A BETWEEN 2019 TO 2020, I DIDN'T NOTICE YET A YES. ANY -- YOU KNOW, HE DIDN'T SHOW THE AGING PART YET. HE WAS 20 20 Q AND HOW OFTEN WAS THAT? QUITE STILL ACTIVE, ETC., BUT WHAT I SAW WAS THAT HE WAS VERY 21 21 A THE FIRST FIVE YEARS, NOT THAT MUCH, BECAUSE 22 2.2 DISTRACTED AND HE WASN'T WORKING ON HIS BOOK, BECAUSE THEY WERE QUITE INDEPENDENT. AND THEN WHEN MY MOM STARTED TO 23 OCCASIONALLY HE'D ASK FOR MY HELP ON HIS RESEARCH OR WHATEVER 23 SHOW SIGNS OF ALZHEIMER'S, THEN I SAW THEM AT LEAST THREE, FOR THE BOOK. AND THAT WAS WHAT I FIRST NOTICED THAT HE 24 24 FOUR TIMES A WEEK 25 DIDN'T SPEND TOO MUCH TIME ON WORKING ON HIS BOOK. 25 26 Q SO AROUND 2010? 26 Q DID YOU NOTICE ANY OTHER CHANGES IN HIS Page 159 Page 161 INTERACTION WITH FAMILY? 1 A UH-HUH. 1 O "YES"? A NOTE UNTIL LATER. SO NOT BETWEEN 2019, 2020. A YES. I'M SORRY. Q ALL RIGHT. AND SO AT WHAT POINT DID YOU BEGIN TO NOTICE CHANGES IN HIS ACTUAL HEALTH CONDITION? O ALL RIGHT. AND SO WHAT WERE THE CIRCUMSTANCES 4 IN, LET'S SAY, 2019 WHEN YOU BEGAN TO HEAR DR. THANG'S NAME A PROBABLY NOTICEABLY WAS ABOUT 2022. 6 MENTIONED? 6 Q OKAY. HOW OFTEN ARE YOU SEEING HIM IN THIS MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. VAGUE. TIME FRAME NOW, 2022? 8 THE COURT: TO THE EXTENT YOU UNDERSTAND, YOU MAY 8 A 2022? ALMOST EVERY DAY. RESPOND TO THAT OUESTION. Q RIGHT. AND WHAT CHANGE DID YOU NOTICE? 9 9 THE WITNESS: I HAD -- SO WHEN I VISITED THE 10 A I'M SORRY. 11 APARTMENT, I OVERHEARD SOME PHONE CALLS, SOME -- OR PEOPLE 11 THE COURT: TAKE YOUR TIME. 12 VISITING AND THEIR CONVERSATIONS, BUT I WAS THERE MORE TO TAKE 12 THE WITNESS: HE WAS WEAKER, MUCH LESS ENERGY. CARE OF MY MOM. SO I HEARD A LITTLE BIT HERE AND THERE. BECAUSE EVEN UP TO THAT POINT -- EVEN THOUGH HE RECOVERED FROM 13 1.3 14 AND THEN PROBABLY, AGAIN, SOMETIME THE END OF 14 OPEN-HEART SURGERY IN 2017, HE SPENT SIX CONSECUTIVE MONTHS IN 15 2019, DECEMBER OR EARLY JANUARY THAT I ALSO HAD SOME RELATIVES 15 THE HOSPITAL BECAUSE OF COMPLICATIONS. HE WAS ON MECHANICAL CALLING AND ASKING OR MAKING COMMENTS ABOUT SOME BREAKING NEWS 16 VENTILATION AND ALL THAT I DIDN'T THINK HE COULD MAKE IT 16 THAT DR. THANG HAD PUBLISHED ON HIS ORGANIZATION'S WEBSITE. 17 17 BUT HE DID, TO HIS SURPRISE HIS PHYSICIANS HIS NURSES, 18 MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MOVE TO STRIKE. 18 PHYSICAL THERAPIST, ETC. HEARSAY AND NONRESPONSIVE TO THE QUESTION. AND FOR HIM TO BE ABLE TO RETURN HOME AFTER 19 19 20 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 20 THAT IN MARCH 2018 AND THEN EVENTUALLY LIVING INDEPENDENTLY. 21 BY MR. HART: 21 RELATIVELY INDEPENDENTLY AFTER THAT WAS A HUGE FEAT. AND THAT Q ALL RIGHT. AND SO IN THAT TIME FRAME, LATE WAS BECAUSE HE IS VERY DETERMINED, BECAUSE HE FELT HE HAS 22 22 2019, EARLY 2020, IS YOUR MOM STILL ALIVE? ACCOMPLISHED EVERYTHING IN LIFE THAT HE WANTED TO. BUT THE 23 23 24 A YES. 24 LAST THING HE WANTED TO DO WAS TO WRITE THE SECOND BOOK, WHICH 25 O AND WHEN DID SHE PASS? 25 IS PART OF THE DUOLOGY THAT HE HAD ON THE HISTORY OF VIETNAMESE REFUGEES. A SHE PASSED IN 2021.

Page 162 Page 164 THE COURT: WE'RE GETTING A LITTLE BIT FAR AFIELD. A SO I BASICALLY CONFRONTED HIM AND TOLD HIM WHAT THE QUESTION WAS DIRECTED TO WHAT OBSERVED -- CHANGES IN HIM I OBSERVED ABOUT HIM, WHAT MY CONCERNS WERE. THEN THAT WAS 2 2 IN 2022, WHAT OBSERVABLE CHANGES THERE WERE IN THAT 2022 TIME WHEN HE TOLD ME THAT DR. THANG HAD CONTINUED TO USE STRONG 3 3 5 MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. MOVE TO STRIKE, YOUR HONOR. 5 BY MR. HART: 6 Q WHAT YOU'RE TELLING US IS HE HAD OPEN-HEART 6 THE COURT: JUST ONE MOMENT SURGERY IN 2017, WHICH WAS A DRAMATIC INSULT TO HIS HEALTH, LET'S SEE. ABOUT CONFRONTING HIM WILL REMAIN. STATEMENTS ABOUT DR. THANG HAD SAID WILL BE STRICKEN, 8 BUT HE RECOVERED? 8 9 A YES. 10 Q SO IN 2018/2019 THERE WAS A GOOD RECOVERY? 10 BY MR. HART: Q DID THE EXPLANATION THAT YOUR FATHER GAVE YOU 11 A YES 11 12 Q AND THEN AS OF 2022, YOU SEE A NEW DECLINE? 12 ABOUT WHAT HAD BEEN BOTHERING HIM MAKE SENSE TO YOU? 13 MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. LEADING. 13 THE COURT: OVERRULED. MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. CALLS FOR SPECULATION. 14 14 THE WITNESS: A SIGNIFICANT DECLINE WHEN HE LOST 15 15 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 16 INTEREST IN WRITING HIS BOOK, BECAUSE HE WAS FATIGUED A LOT OF 16 MR. ERIGERO: RELEVANCE. 17 TIME AND HE WAS TOO DISTRACTED WITH DR. THANG'S ATTACKS ON HIM 17 BY MR. HART: Q WHAT DID YOU DO, IF ANYTHING, ONCE YOU LEARNED AND, OF COURSE, THEN THE LAWSUIT. AND HE WAS IN PAIN A LOT 18 18 19 MORE, ETC. SO I ACCOMPANIED HIM TO ALL HIS MEDICAL 19 WHAT THE PROBLEM WAS? APPOINTMENTS. A I BECAME MORE INVOLVED WITH HIM TO SHOW HIM MY 20 20 AND IN 2022, I ACTUALLY DIDN'T EVEN KNOW THE SUPPORT. BUT ALSO I SAW THE DETERIORATION IN HIM. YOU KNOW, 21 21 22 EXTENT OF STRESS OR WHATEVER HE WAS EXPERIENCING BECAUSE HE 2.2 HE WAS PRETTY PROFICIENT IN COMPUTER, FOR EXAMPLE, AND NOW I'M 23 NEVER SHARED IT WITH ME. SO I SAW THAT HE WAS WEAKER, HE 23 SEEING HIM THAT HE'S MORE FORGETFUL. BUT ONE DAY HE COULD BE DIDN'T EAT AS MUCH. SO HE HAD PROBLEMS SLEEPING. BUT I SCANNING, DOING ALL KINDS OF THINGS JUST FINE, AND THEN THAT 24 DIDN'T REALLY KNOW. I MEAN, OF COURSE, I WAS GUESSING SOME, AFTERNOON OR NEXT DAY HE SEEMS LIKE HE COULDN'T REMEMBER HOW 25 25 26 BUT HE NEVER TALKED TO ME ABOUT IT. 26 TO DO IT OR HAVE DIFFICULTY DOING IT. Page 163 Page 165 AND EVEN GOING TO THE DOCTOR'S OFFICES FOR HIM 1 SO I STARTED TO HELP HIM MORE WITH THE LAWSUIT. 1 TO ASK FOR SLEEPING MEDICATION OR WHATEVER, HE NEVER TOLD THEM AND THAT WAS ALSO, WHEN I WAS HELPING HIM SCAN, THAT I GOT TO ANYTHING ABOUT HIS LAWSUIT OR THE PROBLEMS. 3 SEE A LOT OF DOCUMENTS THAT I DIDN'T SEE BEFORE. Q OKAY. MR_ERIGERO: OBJECTION 4 4 THE COURT: WE'RE GOING TO JUMP IN THERE. MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. I'D MOVE TO STRIKE MR. ERIGERO: MOVE TO STRIKE. 6 EVERYTHING BUT THE LAST TWO SENTENCES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: IN TERMS OF THAT LAST LITTLE BIT RELATING 7 THE COURT: OVERRULED. BUT WE'RE STOPPING IT RIGHT 7 TO TELLING THE DOCTORS ABOUT ANYTHING RELATING TO THE LAWSUIT. 8 THERE. NEXT OUESTION. SO WE NEED TO FOCUS IN. THE OUESTION IS ABOUT OBSERVABLE 9 MR. HART: THANK YOU, JUDGE. CONDITIONS THAT -- OR BEHAVIOR THAT'S OBJECTIVELY OBSERVABLE. 10 10 BY MR. HART: Q DID YOU RETIRE EARLY FROM YOUR PUBLIC HEALTH 11 BY MR. HART: 11 CARE NURSE POSITION IN ORDER TO SPEND MORE TIME WITH YOUR 12 Q DID YOUR FATHER WILLINGLY SHARE WITH YOU WHAT 12 13 WAS CAUSING HIS PROBLEMS? 1.3 14 A NOT AT THAT TIME, NOT -- NOT IN 2022. 14 A YES 15 Q WHY DID YOU DO THAT? 15 Q HOW DID YOU LEARN ABOUT WHAT WAS CAUSING THE 16 A BECAUSE I SAW DETERIORATION IN HEALTH, AND HE PROBLEMS? 16 17 ALSO HAD MORE MEDICAL PROBLEMS, SUCH AS -- SHOULD I SAY THE 17 MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. I'M NOT SURE DIAGNOSIS? 18 18 WHERE THIS IS GOING. SO HE DEFINITELY NEEDED MORE HELP, MORE THE COURT: WELL, I'M NOT SURE OF THE EVIDENCE CODE 19 19 ASSISTANCE, NOT JUST WITH HIS DAILY ACTIVITIES OF LIVING. SECTION FOR THAT OBJECTION, BUT I'M GOING TO WATCH AND SEE 2.0 20 21 O OKAY. NOW THAT HE HAS -- LET'S SAY AS OF 2022, 21 WHERE WE'RE GOING THAT WE'RE NOT GOING DOWN THE HEARSAY PATH. 22 HE HAD SHARED WITH YOU AND YOU'VE LEARNED MORE ABOUT WHAT IT 22 MR. ERIGERO: THANK YOU. WAS THAT WAS BOTHERING HIM. CAN YOU TELL US WHETHER OR NOT 2.3 THE WITNESS: IT WAS ABOUT SEPTEMBER 2023. 23 24 YOU SEE ANY OTHER AFFECTS IN HIS INTERACTIONS WITH FAMILY? 24 BY MR. HART: 25 Q AND WHAT HAPPENED IN 2023 IN TERMS OF YOUR 25 MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. VAGUE, YOUR HONOR.

26

LEARNING WHAT WAS BOTHERING HIM?

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

Page 166 Page 168 BY MR. HART: THE COURT: SUSTAINED. O HOW ACTIVE WAS HE WITH YOUR GRANDCHILDREN 2 2 BY MR HART-3 BEFORE HIS HEART ATTACK? 3 Q IN YOUR 60 OR SO YEARS ASSOCIATION WITH YOUR A VERY ACTIVE. HE LIKES TO TALK TO YOUNG PEOPLE. 4 FATHER, HAVE YOU EVER KNOWN HIM TO MISREPRESENT HIS FAMILY IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT TO HIM. AND HE WAS CLOSE TO ALL 5 PH.D. STATUS? 5 GRANDCHILDREN, EVEN THOUGH THEY LIVED OUT OF THE STATE. 6 6 MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. 352. O AND DID YOU SEE A CHANGE IN THAT PARTICULAR 7 THE COURT: OVERRULED. RELATION OR DEMEANOR IN THE TIME FRAME WHEN HE WAS RECOVERING 8 8 THE WITNESS: NO 9 FROM THE OPEN-HEART SURGERY? BY MR. HART: 10 A SO AFTER THE OPEN-HEART SURGERY, YOU KNOW, AND 10 Q HAVE YOU SEEN HIM BE CAREFUL TO KEEP IT HE CAME HOME 2018, 2019, HE CONTINUED TO BE, YOU KNOW, VERY 11 ACCURATE? 11 12 CLOSE TO THE GRANDCHILDREN. 12 A IT IS VERY IMPORTANT FOR ANY KIND OF 13 Q OKAY. AND WAS HE STILL AS ACTIVE ONCE YOU 13 INFORMATION TO BE ACCURATE, WAS HOW I WAS TAUGHT BY HIM AND LEARNED WHAT THE PROBLEM WAS WITH HIS HEALTH CONDITION? HOW HE LED EXAMPLES. 14 14 15 A NO. WHAT'S VERY DISHEARTENING IS HIS FAVORITE 15 Q IN YOUR PRESENCE, HAVE YOU SEEN OTHER PEOPLE GRANDCHILD IS MY BROTHER'S DAUGHTER, AND SHE'S IN NEW YORK 16 16 REFER TO YOUR FATHER AS "DOCTOR" OR "PROFESSOR"? CITY. SHE CAME OUT TO L.A. THIS PAST AUGUST FOR JUST A FEW 17 17 A YES. DAYS. AND HE DIDN'T HAVE THE ENERGY AND DIDN'T WANT TO SEE 18 18 MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. CALLS FOR HEARSAY. 19 HER BASICALLY AND NOT JUST HER BUT HE -- ALSO MY CHILDREN 19 THE COURT: OVERRULED NOT OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH OF LIVE IN ORANGE COUNTY. HE DIDN'T WANT TO SEE THEM AS MUCH, THE MATTER ASSERTED BUT SIMPLY THE STATEMENT THEY MADE. 20 20 BECAUSE THEY ASKED HIM, "WHY ARE YOU" --21 21 BY MR. HART: 2.2 MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. WE'RE GETTING 22 Q AND HOW HAS YOUR FATHER RESPONDED TO THOSE 23 FAR AFIELD AND WE'RE GETTING INTO HEARSAY. 2.3 THINGS IN THE TIMES THAT YOU'VE SEEN THEM? THE COURT: SUSTAINED. A I REMEMBERED HIM TELLING US ONE TIME THAT 24 24 BY MR HART: 25 HE -- WHEN HE FIRST HEARD SOMEBODY CALLING HIM "DOCTOR." HE 25 26 Q OKAY. 26 DID ASK MR. STEIN AND A FEW OTHERS, LIKE, "WHY ARE YOU CALLING Page 167 Page 169 A SO THAT'S A BIG CHANGE IN CHARACTER FOR HIM. ME DOCTOR?" BECAUSE, AGAIN, VIETNAMESE, THE WORD FOR 1 1 2 Q ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH YOUR FATHER'S REPUTATION PROFESSOR, IS PROFESSOR. OR INTEGRITY? SO HE SHARED WITH US THAT AS A PROFESSOR, HE A YES VERY MUCH SO COULD BE REFERRED TO AS "DOCTOR" EVEN WITHOUT PHID. BUT A 4 4 Q TELL US WHAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THAT IS. PERSON WHO HAS A PH.D. CANNOT BE CALLED "PROFESSOR," THEY'RE 6 A FROM THE TIME THAT I COULD REMEMBER -- WHATEVER CALLED "DOCTOR," BUT THEY CANNOT BE CALLED "PROFESSOR" IF THEY 7 ELSE I CAN'T REMEMBER -- THAT WAS SOMETHING HE INSTILL IN US. DON'T TEACH. IS INTEGRITY AND PRINCIPLE. SO THAT'S JUST IN THE FAMILY. 8 SO DOCTOR, YOU KNOW -- AND, AGAIN, IN LATIN, 9 AND THEN I SEE EXAMPLES OF HIM SHOWING, YOU 9 THE WORD "DOCERE" MEANS "TO TEACH." KNOW, JUST IN EVERYDAY LIFE, ETC. SO -- OH, THAT'S WHAT IT MEANT. BUT HE ALWAYS 10 10 AND OTHER PEOPLE TELLING US ALSO ABOUT HIM, OR PREFERRED "PROFESSOR" BECAUSE, TO HIM, THAT'S HIS LOVE, IS TO 11 11 12 BECAUSE LATTENDED THE PARTY OR WHATEVER FITHER THEY TELL ME 12 TEACH, TEACHING, SO, TO HIM, THAT TITLE "PROFESSOR," IF 13 DIRECTLY OR I HEARD PEOPLE TALK ABOUT IT, BUT I DIDN'T PAY 1.3 ANYBODY HAD TO ADDRESS HIM FORMALLY, IS A LOT MORE IMPORTANT. 14 SUCH ATTENTION, BECAUSE THAT WAS JUST A GIVEN. THAT'S DAD. 14 BUT MY DAD CARE ABOUT TITLE. I'M JUST TALKING 15 ABOUT -- YOU KNOW, MANY PEOPLE WHO ARE CALLED PROFESSORS, WE 15 16 Q IS IT --16 CALL THEM UNCLE. THIS IS HOW WE ADDRESS IN VIETNAMESE. 17 MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MOVE TO STRIKE 17 "BAC," WHICH IS UNCLE, B-A-C, UNCLE. SO IT'S ONLY IN FORMAL SETTINGS THAT -- OR PEOPLE WHO DON'T KNOW HIM WELL THAT THEY 18 AS CHARACTER EVIDENCE AND HEARSAY. 18 THE COURT: OVERRULED FOR THIS POINT IN TIME. LET'S 19 19 WOULD CALL HIM "PROFESSOR KHOA." MR. HART: VERY GOOD. THANK YOU. YOUR HONOR, NO 20 MOVE ALONG. 2.0 21 BY MR. HART: 21 FURTHER OUESTIONS. 22 Q WHAT WE SEE WRITTEN ON THE BOARD HERE ABOUT 22 THE COURT: OKAY. WE CAN GET AT LEAST STARTED. WE 2.3 HAVE A FEW MORE MINUTES HERE. 23 YOUR FATHER, IS THAT TYPICAL OR NOT TYPICAL OF HIS CHARACTER, 24 IN YOUR EXPERIENCE? 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION 25 MR. ERIGERO: OBJECTION. 352 AND SPECULATION. NO 25 BY MR. ERIGERO: 26 FOUNDATION 26 Q GOOD AFTERNOON.

	Page 170		Page 172
1	A GOOD AFTERNOON.	1	A YES.
2	Q IT'S TRUE, IS IT NOT, THAT YOU FIRST EVEN HEARD	2	Q RIGHT.
3	ABOUT THIS STATEMENT WE HAVE ON THE BOARD, THAT ARTICLE	3	AND, HOWEVER, YOU REALLY DIDN'T UNDERSTAND THE
4	PUBLICATION, YOU DID NOT EVEN HEAR ABOUT OR LEARN ABOUT IT	4	DIFFERENT CLAIMS IN THE LAWSUIT UNTIL PROBABLY 2023 AS OPPOSED
5	UNTIL AT LEAST 2022; CORRECT?	5	TO WHEN IT WAS FILED; CORRECT?
6	A I WANT TO CLARIFY THAT, IN 2021, I WAS AWARE OF	6	A I HAD I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS YOUR QUESTION,
7	SOME ISSUES AND MY DAD, WITH SUPPORT FROM HIS FRIENDS AND	7	BUT I HAD SOME UNDERSTANDINGS OR MORE UNDERSTANDING IN 2022
8	ETC., TO FILE A DEFAMATION LAWSUIT.	8	AND THEN A LOT MORE IN 2023.
9	Q BUT I THINK MY QUESTION	9	Q ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
10	MR. ERIGERO: EXCUSE ME. MOVE TO STRIKE AS	10	AND YOU FIRST NOTICED ISSUES WITH YOUR FATHER
11	NONRESPONSIVE.	11	IN TERMS OF NOT BEING ABLE TO PAY ATTENTION AND NOT HAVING AS
12	THE COURT: THAT WILL BE SUSTAINED. THAT LAST	12	MUCH ENERGY, THOSE ISSUES YOU FIRST NOTICED IN ABOUT 2022,
13	RESPONSE WILL BE DISREGARDED.	13	LATE 2022; CORRECT?
14	BY MR. ERIGERO:	14	A IT WAS WHEN IT WAS MORE NOTICEABLE.
15	Q SO MY QUESTION IS SIMPLY: THAT STATEMENT	15	Q MORE NOTICEABLE.
16	THAT'S UP THERE, YOU FIRST LEARNED ABOUT THAT STATEMENT YOU	16	AND YOUR FATHER IS 93 YEARS OLD TODAY; RIGHT?
17	FIRST LEARNED ABOUT THAT IN 2022; CORRECT?	17	A LITTLE BIT MORE THAN THAT.
18	A I I THAT'S TO MY BEST RECOLLECTION.	18	Q LITTLE BIT MORE.
19	Q OKAY. THANK YOU.	19	WHEN IS HIS 94TH BIRTHDAY?
20	AND THE COMPLAINANT, YOUR FATHER, IN VIETNAMESE	20	A IT WILL BE AUGUST OF 2025 HE WILL BE 94.
21	SOCIETY, IT'S VERY IMPORTANT TO BE LOYAL TO YOUR FATHER;	21	Q SO HE'S 93 AND
22	CORRECT?	22	A FEW MONTHS.
23	A LOYALTY MEANS	23	Q ROUGHLY THREE MONTHS; RIGHT?
24	Q HONOR TO YOUR FATHER?	24	A YES, SIR.
25	A HONOR.	25	Q AND HE NEEDS HELP COMING IN HERE. IN FACT, WE
26	Q RESPECT?	26	SEE HIM COMING IN. HE'S SITTING IN THE FRONT ROW; RIGHT? HE
	Page 171		Page 173
1	A YES, UH-HUH.	1	NEEDS SOMEBODY WITH HIM; RIGHT?
2	MR. ERIGERO: I HAVE TO GET MY GLASSES. I'M SORRY.	2	A CORRECT.
3	THE COURT: NO WORRIES. I CAN'T COMPLAIN ABOUT	3	Q PRESENTLY HE'S NOT WORKING ON HIS BOOK;
4	SOMEBODY HAVING TO WEAR GLASSES. FOR THE RECORD, I WEAR	4	CORRECT?
5	GLASSES.	5	A NOT AT ALL ON HIS BOOK.
6	BY MR. ERIGERO:	6	Q RIGHT.
7	Q AND IT'S TRUE YOUR FATHER DID NOT NEED	7	AND YOU HAVE NO DIRECT KNOWLEDGE ON HOW THE
8	DAY-TO-DAY ASSISTANCE, THAT IS SOMEONE COMING IN EACH DAY	8	ARTICLE HAS AFFECTED YOUR FATHER OTHER THAN WHAT YOU OBSERVE;
9	CARING AFTER HIM, UNTIL AFTER 2022; CORRECT?	9	CORRECT?
10	A CORRECT.	10	MR. HART: I'M GOING TO OBJECT TO THAT. IT'S A
11	Q AND WE TALKED ABOUT OR YOU TALKED ABOUT THE	11	LITTLE VAGUE.
12	FACT THAT YOUR FATHER HAD OPEN-HEART SURGERY IN 2017; CORRECT?	12	THE COURT: I'LL SUSTAIN. YOU CAN REPHRASE.
13	A CORRECT.	13	MR. ERIGERO: ALL RIGHT. I'LL REPHRASE. JUST ONE
14	Q AND YOU TOLD US HE HAD QUITE A LENGTHY PERIOD	14	MOMENT.
15	OF RECOVERY; CORRECT?	15	NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. THANK YOU.
16	A CORRECT.	16	MR. VOSS: RESERVING THE RIGHT TO CALL.
17	Q SIX MONTHS OF ADDITIONAL HOSPITAL OR	17	THE COURT: WHAT WAS THAT?
18	CONVALESCENT CARE OUTSIDE OF THE HOME; CORRECT?	18	MR. VOSS: RESERVING THE RIGHT TO CALL HER ON OUR
19	A CORRECT.	19	CASE-IN-CHIEF.
20	Q AND ONE OF THE REASONS HE DECIDED TO UNDERTAKE	20	MR. HART: I DO HAVE SOME, BUT I CAN CUT IT DOWN, IF
21	OPEN HEART SURGERY WAS, IN PART, TO FINISH HIS SECOND BOOK;	21	WE COME BACK, AND DO IT ON TUESDAY.
22	CORRECT?	22	THE COURT: WE'RE RIGHT UP AGAINST IT ANYWAY. WE
23	A CORRECT.	23	ONLY HAVE A COUPLE MINUTES.
24	Q AND OTHER THAN I THINK OTHER THAN THE FACT	24	ALL RIGHT, THEN, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE HAVE
25 26	THAT AT SOME POINT YOU BECAME AWARE OF THIS LAWSUIT; CORRECT?	25	REACHED THE END OF OUR DAY AND OUR TRIAL WEEK AS WELL. SO I
۷ ک	CORRECT:	26	WILL GIVE YOU THE ADMONISHMENT I TOLD YOU YOU'LL HEAR MANY
			// /Damas 170 to 172)

Page 174 Page 176 TIMES, AND THAT IS: IT IS YOUR DUTY NOT TO CONDUCT, RESEARCH, THE COURT: SO WE DO NOT KNOW WHICH ONE IS THE 2 DISSEMINATE INFORMATION OR CONVERSE WITH OR PERMIT YOURSELVES POTENTIAL TO BE CALLED? 2 3 TO BE ADDRESSED BY ANY OTHER PERSON ON ANY SUBJECT OF THE 3 MR. HART: RIGHT. THERE'S TWO ISSUES HERE. I DON'T 4 KNOW FOR SURE I WANT TO CALL THEM. SECOND ISSUE IS I'M NOT 5 AND IT IS YOUR DUTY NOT TO FORM OR EXPRESS AN 5 SURE WHICH ONE WOULD FILL WHAT I MIGHT WANT TO DO. I HAVE TO 6 OPINION THEREON UNTIL THE CASE IS FINALLY SUBMITTED TO YOU. TALK TO THEM. I HAVE A ZOOM MEETING TOMORROW. I HAVE A THE PROHIBITION ON RESEARCH DISSEMINATION OF MEETING TONIGHT TO ADDRESS THAT ISSUE. IF I SORT IT OUT AND INFORMATION AND CONVERSATION APPLIES TO ALL FORMS OF 8 8 HAVE A POTENTIAL NAME. WHETHER LCALL THEM OR NOT I'LL SHARE ELECTRONIC AND WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS AS WELL. 9 9 IT WITH COUNSEL. 10 SO I WISH EVERYBODY A FANTASTIC WEEKEND. WE 10 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I HAVE SAID WE NEED 48-HOUR WILL START AGAIN TUESDAY, 9:00 A.M. THANK YOU SO VERY, VERY COMMUNICATION 11 11 12 MUCH FOR YOUR TIME AND SERVICE. 12 MR. VOSS: AND I ASSUMED THAT WAS BUSINESS DAYS. I 13 (JURY EXCUSED FOR THE EVENING.) 13 MEAN, WE HAVE A COURT HOLIDAY ON MONDAY. I'M MAKING USE OF (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE THAT WHILE TRAVELING. SO THE OPPORTUNITY TO PREPARE FOR 14 14 15 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 15 WHOEVER THIS MYSTERY WITNESS IS, IT'S NOT FAIR TO TELL ME OVER THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE ARE OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE 16 16 THE WEEKEND OF THE JURY. SO A COUPLE THINGS THEN. SO WE HAVE REDIRECT 17 17 MR. HART: I'LL TELL BY TOMORROW, JUDGE. WE'LL START WITH ON TUESDAY MORNING. SO I WOULD IMAGINE 18 18 THE COURT: WE NEED TO FIND OUT BY NOON TOMORROW. 19 THAT'S GOING TO BE PRETTY OUICK GIVEN THAT THE CROSS WASN'T 19 MR HART: VERY GOOD NO PROBLEM VERY -- THAT LONG MR. VOSS: I'LL BE ON AN AIRPLANE BY NOON TOMORROW, 20 20 AND THEN PLAINTIFF WILL BE OUR NEXT WITNESS YOUR HONOR. AND SO WE WERE SUPPOSED TO KNOW -- THIS IS 21 21 22 THEN? 22 CASE-IN-CHIEF OF PLAINTIFF. IT'S NOT A REBUTTAL WITNESS. WE 23 MR. HART: YES, JUDGE. HAVEN'T CALLED ANYBODY. I RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT AT LEAST 2.3 THE COURT: AND DO WE ANTICIPATE ANY OTHER WITNESS WHICH TWO IS IT? WHO ARE WE DECIDING BETWEEN? SOMETHING SO I 24 24 BEING CALLED BY PLAINTIFF AT THAT POINT IN TIME? 25 CAN AT LEAST MAKE SOME USE OF PREPARATION TIME. OTHERWISE, 25 26 MR. HART: I'M NOT SURE, BUT I'LL KNOW TOMORROW, OVER 2.6 WE'RE WALKING INTO THE COURT ON TUESDAY AND THIS 48-HOUR'S Page 175 Page 177 THE WEEKEND LATEST. I'LL COMMUNICATE THAT INFORMATION TO 1 1 NOTICE ISN'T DOING ME ANY GOOD AT ALL. THAT'S NOT FAIR. 2 COUNSEL. I HAVE ALL THEIR CELLPHONES. 2 MR. HART: I CAN'T BE RESPONSIBLE FOR COUNSEL 3 THE COURT: WE NEED TO HEAR -- THAT NEEDS TO BE 3 SCHEDULING HIMSELF OUT OF TOWN IN THE MIDDLE OF THE TRIAL. I IDENTIFIED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE, AND THEN WE WILL CROSS CAN DO WHAT I CAN DO AND I PROMISE I'LL DO IT AND IT WILL BE 4 WHATEVER BRIDGE AT THAT POINT. MORE THAN 48 HOURS IN ADVANCE. 6 MR. VOSS: I'M GOING TO BE TRAVELING. SO CALLING A 6 I MEAN, I'VE SAID, NO PROBLEM, JUDGE. I'LL 7 WITNESS, SO WHO IS EVEN THE POTENTIAL WITNESS? COULD WE AT HAVE IT BY TOMORROW, NOON LATEST. IF I DON'T GIVE HIM A NAME 8 LEAST KNOW THAT? BY TOMORROW NOON, THEN LAGREE THEY WON'T BE CALLED. 9 MR. HART: SOMEBODY ON THE LIST DEPENDING UPON HOW 9 MR. VOSS: I CONTEND IT'S UNFAIR SURPRISE. 10 THEY RESPOND. 10 THE COURT: OKAY. THE AMOUNT OF HAND HOLDING THAT IS 11 MR. VOSS: WE'RE NOT PLAYING HIDE THE BALL. WHO 11 HAVING TO GO ON THROUGHOUT THIS PROCESS IS BECOMING OUITE. 12 MIGHT IT BE? 12 FRANKLY RIDICULOUS WE'VE TRIED CASES TOGETHER ALL THE TIME. 13 THE COURT: JUST A MINUTE, PLEASE, EVERYONE. SO WE WE TREAT EACH OTHER WITH THE UTMOST RESPECT. AND I'VE SEEN 13 14 HAD INDICATED BEFORE THAT WE HAD A NUMBER OF PEOPLE ON THE 14 NOTHING BUT PETTINESS. IT'S BOTH WAYS. I'M NOT ISOLATING 15 WITNESS LIST, AND THAT WE THOUGHT THE THREE I'VE IDENTIFIED. 15 16 THE DEFENDANT AND PLAINTIFF AND HIS DAUGHTER, WERE THE THREE 16 THIS HAS NOT BEEN CONDUCTED TO THE LEVEL OF 17 THAT WE ANTICIPATED CALLING. PROFESSIONALISM THAT WE NEED AND EXPECT AND I WAS ASSURED OF 17 18 SO DO YOU HAVE ANOTHER ONE THAT YOU'RE LIKELY 18 IN THIS CASE. THERE'S BEEN --TO BE CALLING NEXT THEN, IF THERE IS THE NEED? BY IDENTIFYING 19 MR. VOSS: I APOLOGIZE FOR MY PART IN THAT. 19 20 WE'RE NOT COMMITTED TO CALLING THEM. BUT IN TERMS OF 20 THE COURT: I DON'T NEED ANY INTERRUPTIONS NOW. BUT 21 SEQUENCING AND EVERYTHING, THAT'S SOMETHING WE NEED TO KNOW. 21 WE, YOU KNOW, MOVED THE BALLS AROUND HERE A LITTLE BIT. WE 22 MR. HART: I UNDERSTAND THAT, JUDGE, BUT I HAVE A 22 KIND OF HAD A NUMBER OF SPEAKING -- WE'VE HAD A LOT OF THE MEETING LATER TONIGHT TO BEGIN TO SORT THAT OUT. I PROMISE I 23 OBJECTIONS. I'VE TRIED TO BE VERY LENIENT AND ACCOMMODATING 23 24 WILL TELL COUNSEL AS SOON AS I KNOW WHETHER IT'S WORKING OUT 2.4 TO EVERYBODY. AND I THINK I HAVE BEEN OUITE LENIENT AND 25 OR NOT. IT'S ONE OF THE REMAINING WITNESSES. I DON'T KNOW 25 ACCOMMODATING TO EVERYBODY, AND IT IS BECOMING TAKEN ADVANTAGE 26 WHICH ONE AT THE MOMENT OF, I GUESS, MIGHT BE THE BEST WAY TO SAY IT. 26

	Page 178
1	SO HERE IS WHAT WE WILL DO: I WILL EXPECT THAT
2	THERE WILL BE AN EMAIL OR A MESSAGE SOMETIME TONIGHT FOR THE
3	WITNESS TO BE CALLED. JUST THAT THEY'RE ON THE LIST IS
4	NOT WE'VE TALKED ABOUT SHARING WITH EVERYBODY AND GIVING A
5	FAIR VISUAL OPPORTUNITY TO PREPARE FOR THAT. THIS IS A VERY
6	TRYING AND DIFFICULT PROFESSION FOR EVERYBODY, AND I REALIZE
7	THAT. AND SO I TRY TO CUT AS MUCH SLACK AS I CAN, BUT WE ALSO
8	HAVE TO BE RESPECTFUL OF EVERYBODY'S CIRCUMSTANCES AS WELL.
9	WHAT WE ALSO NEED ON TUESDAY, AS WE TALKED
10	ABOUT, IS THOSE OTHER DOCUMENTS; THE INSTRUCTIONS AND PROPOSED
11	VERDICT FORMS AND A CLEAN VERSION OF 18 FOR TUESDAY MORNING AS
12	WELL. SO WE CAN GET RID OF THE HIGHLIGHTING. I THINK THERE'S
13	A COUPLE IT'S NEITHER HERE NOR THERE, BUT THERE'S SOME
14	LETTERS UP IN ONE OF THE TOP CORNERS. I DON'T KNOW THAT IT
15	MEANS ANYTHING TO ANYBODY, BUT NONETHELESS IT SHOULD BE
16	CLEANED SO THAT WE HAVE A PRISTINE DOCUMENT THAT IS IN THE
17	RECORD.
18	SO IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE WE NEED TO PUT ON THE
19	RECORD, ANY OTHER STATEMENTS OR ANY OTHER CONVERSATIONS?
20	BECAUSE WE ARE AT THE END OF THIS WEEK.
21	MR. HART: NO, JUDGE.
22	MR. VOSS: NO, YOUR HONOR. AGAIN, I APOLOGIZE FOR
23	TRYING YOUR PATIENCE, YOUR HONOR.
24	THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
25	(EVENING ADJOURNMENT.)
26	,
	Page 179
1	Page 179 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
1 2	
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS.
2 3	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE)
2 3 4 5 6	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER
2 3 4 5 6 7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY; THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY; THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES, AND IS A FULL, TRUE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY; THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES, AND IS A FULL, TRUE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY; THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES, AND IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT STATEMENT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN SAID CAUSE.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY; THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES, AND IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT STATEMENT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN SAID CAUSE.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY; THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES, AND IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT STATEMENT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN SAID CAUSE.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY; THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES, AND IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT STATEMENT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN SAID CAUSE. DATED THISDAY OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY; THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES, AND IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT STATEMENT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN SAID CAUSE. DATED THISDAY OF, 2024.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY; THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES, AND IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT STATEMENT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN SAID CAUSE. DATED THISDAY OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY; THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES, AND IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT STATEMENT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN SAID CAUSE. DATED THISDAY OF, 2024.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY; THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES, AND IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT STATEMENT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN SAID CAUSE. DATED THISDAY OF, 2024.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY; THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES, AND IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT STATEMENT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN SAID CAUSE. DATED THISDAY OF, 2024.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY; THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES, AND IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT STATEMENT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN SAID CAUSE. DATED THISDAY OF, 2024.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY; THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES, AND IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT STATEMENT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN SAID CAUSE. DATED THISDAY OF, 2024.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS. COUNTY OF ORANGE) I, MICHELLE LOTT-MEYERHOFER, CSR NO. 8226, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY; THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES, AND IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT STATEMENT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD IN SAID CAUSE. DATED THISDAY OF, 2024.

				Tage 1
	ACCUSED 25:3	ADJOURNM	AFIELD 51:7	ALBERT 41:11
$\frac{A}{A.M 65:14}$	31:16	178:25	86:5 108:10	ALIVE 159:23
	ACCUSING	ADJUNCT	162:1 166:23	ALLEGATION
174:11	27:9	155:19	AFTERNOON	55:8 125:19,20
ABILITIES	ACKNOWLE	ADMINISTR	94:23 102:2	ALLEGATIO
47:24 ABILITY 47:19	66:2,5 113:21	22:2 56:20	135:11,14,22	23:13 55:7
95:16	ACT 42:16	67:9 71:14	144:26 145:5	84:17
ABLE 43:13	53:18 115:24	143:3,17	164:25 169:26	ALLEGED 43:4
58:9 93:18	ACTING 11:23	ADMINISTR	170:1	ALLOW 58:11
94:13,13	ACTION 33:22	102:13	AGE 145:25	62:2 90:13
128:21 149:18	33:23 38:3	ADMIRALTY	146:15 152:2	113:22 151:9
149:22 150:1	42:2 131:8,9	2:14	AGENCIES	ALLOWED
161:19 172:11	158:11	ADMISSION	32:6,9 40:7	35:5 75:5
ABSENT 64:14	ACTIONS 6:20	90:11 125:25	87:21 118:10	128:21
ABSOLUTELY	ACTIVE 151:17	ADMIT 58:17	118:14	ALLOWING
11:25	160:21 166:2,4	69:3 85:2	AGENCY 40:17	18:21
ABUSE 52:9	166:13	112:26	67:13 80:8,15	ALLUDES
ACADEMIA	ACTIVITIES	ADMITS 35:9	87:21	139:7
84:21	121:8 152:10	ADMITTED	AGING 160:20	ALZHEIME
ACADEMIC	165:20	33:1 34:9	AGO 5:21 24:20	156:13 158:24
34:12,22 42:7	ACTS 52:2	42:10 48:7,8	29:26 31:14,23	AMBIGUOUS
82:11,19 87:12	ACTUAL	49:12,15 58:20	39:17 126:24	8:16 51:18
93:2,10 119:9	116:25 149:14	70:5,9,10,14	AGREE 67:26	77:4
141:16	161:4	73:25 74:4	68:10,12 81:6	AMENDMENT
ACCEPTABLE	ADD 47:22	78:23 82:9	101:8 143:9	28:14,15
24:17	ADDED 60:7	83:2,3,4 90:25	177:8	AMERICAN
ACCEPTABLY	96:24	107:19,20	AGREED	22:5,21 53:13
24:15	ADDITION	119:7 131:13	131:24 140:18	146:22,26
ACCEPTING	24:17 47:16	131:21 132:3	AGREEMENT	158:8
150:17 155:18	77:12 78:20	139:6,16	147:19	AMERICAN'S
ACCESS 27:14	122:3 128:20	ADMITTEDLY	AGREES 40:20	148:19
75:18	ADDITIONAL	100:1	AH-HA 12:14	AMERICANS
ACCOMMO	95:12 96:12	ADMONISH	AHEAD 8:21	149:25 158:8
66:21	98:15 171:17	173:26	18:18 46:6	AMOUNT 8:23
ACCOMMO	ADDRESS 6:12	ADO 18:16 45:2	62:23 68:5	177:10
177:23,25	12:16 63:14	ADVANCE	71:4 75:14	ANA 5:1 102:1
ACCOMPAN	169:13,16	177:5	77:23 80:2	ANCESTORS
162:19	176:7	ADVANCING	94:19 100:25	27:3
ACCOMPLIS	ADDRESSED	148:4	102:5 104:17	ANEW 66:23
161:23	10:1,23 30:15	ADVANTAGE	104:18 113:25	ANGELES 2:21
ACCOUNTA	53:22 97:7	177:25	121:21 136:4	ANNOTATE
80:6	132:11 135:1	ADVERSE 75:7	153:1	96:20
ACCURATE	174:3	ADVISED	AIR 21:15	ANSWER 7:11
13:4 36:12	ADDRESSES	63:19	AIRPLANE	7:26 8:7 11:9
115:15 168:11	10:7 13:23	ADVISORY	176:20	11:21 14:8
168:13	34:24 93:1	50:25 85:8,20	AIRPORT	15:25,26 16:12
ACCURATE	118:22	ADVOCACY	149:25	16:18 17:5,7
7:13 13:2	ADDRESSING	153:26	AL 71:19,24	17:20 20:8
115:9,13	57:24 100:11	AFFILIATED	72:24 74:17	37:1 47:19
117:26 128:11	132:12	78:25	75:24 86:20	74:10 75:6,21
	I	I		l

	I			İ
88:1,12,15	APPARENTLY	APPLY 10:19	ARCHIVES	122:22 123:8
95:8,16 113:19	26:11 65:16	42:16 80:19	26:11 56:22	123:20 126:10
115:3,11,15,17	APPEAR 48:1	93:2 115:24	73:17 81:23	127:5 128:7,10
115:19 116:1,8	APPEARAN	APPLYING	143:18	138:2 170:3
116:15 117:2	2:1 5:9	23:6 31:10	AREA 129:9	173:8
117:13,16,21	APPEARS	33:8 35:15	ARGUED 8:12	ARTICLES
118:1,7,17,22	31:11 37:9	39:11,14,25	13:15 119:19	24:12 30:3,4,7
120:7,12 127:7	47:4 67:25	40:14 115:21	127:3 138:1	30:13,17 37:15
127:14 128:3	98:26 138:22	APPOINTED	ARGUING	43:23 124:22
128:12 134:20	APPELLATE	65:15 148:9	131:15	158:4
138:16 141:12	6:20	APPOINTME	ARGUMENT	ASIA 33:21
ANSWERED	APPLICANT	65:12,14	13:13 15:16	109:2
59:23 88:23	35:17	APPOINTME	19:14 96:2	ASKED 8:6
94:4 137:3	APPLICANTS	162:20	121:17 125:23	14:15 15:10
154:23	34:14,23 42:8	APPRECIATE	ARGUMENT	23:11 24:11
ANSWERING	82:12 87:14	49:22 94:25	9:23 37:23	25:6 36:8
77:26 140:24	119:12	97:1,21 101:15	38:12 44:16	41:12,20 42:2
ANSWERS	APPLICATI	135:13 145:6	51:19 55:6	42:3 43:10
100:2	4:8 12:22	APPROACH	80:3 86:16	44:3,26 65:17
ANTI-SLAPP	19:21 25:23	89:25 136:15	143:21	75:6,11 85:18
112:11	31:17 34:17,25	APPROACH	ARGUMENTS	85:25 100:9
ANTICIPATE	35:6,10 36:15	103:12	5:18 15:21	127:1,19 128:2
14:23 19:6	39:11 40:24	APPROPRIA	17:1 45:7	128:9 129:12
98:14,15	50:5,17 53:25	17:19 94:15	ARISES 102:10	133:19 134:17
174:24	54:14,16 56:19	101:7	ARRIVAL	134:20 154:22
ANTICIPAT	58:4 59:10	APPROPRIA	22:10	157:7 166:21
175:17	60:7 61:4,14	107:5	ARRIVED	ASKING 12:19
ANYBODY	64:7 67:8 70:6	APPROPRIA	157:15	13:1,13 17:4,5
24:19 30:9	70:8 79:25	93:18	ARTICLE 4:6	40:3 73:3,4
31:16 35:23	80:7 82:4	APPROVAL	12:20,21 24:1	83:24 84:7
43:12 64:7,23	114:22 116:10	64:15	25:12 29:22,26	85:6 89:21,23
90:26 97:9,16	131:8,16,19,21	APRIL 21:11	30:8,15,20	92:18 93:13
97:17 103:21	131:22,26	24:12 29:11,20	31:3,22,25	97:8 114:18
121:26 126:13	133:16,21	32:13,13 33:3	32:2,11 33:6	120:4 122:24
169:13 176:23	134:12,26	50:12 71:18	33:10 37:15	122:25 138:26
178:15	141:17 143:7	73:16 77:8	46:21,23 47:3	140:1,25 141:4
ANYMORE	APPLICATI	81:20 115:5	49:26 53:7	141:8 159:16
153:6	25:26,26 26:10	118:19 119:14	61:19 73:1,9	ASKS 14:6 54:4
ANYWAY	26:13,14,17,18	120:3 127:25	74:8,13,14	60:5 74:21
42:26 44:10	26:26 27:21	139:2,10,12,12	75:19 76:1,14	ASSERT 111:19
173:22	36:1,9,11,19	139:13,14	78:6,9 80:13	112:14
APARTMENT	39:1,4,6,16,18	140:2,11 141:8	81:26 112:21	ASSERTED
150:16 156:13	41:6 54:3,7,8	148:16,17,23	113:6,10	84:14 130:7
158:17 159:11	58:18 59:9	148:25	114:15,20,20	168:20
APOLOGIZE	61:21 67:20	ARCHIVE	114:25 116:17	ASSIGNED
48:22 60:12	79:20,21 84:22	73:15 117:14	117:5,7,24	71:20 72:18
88:2 96:25	143:5	128:19 142:16	118:6,16	ASSIST 22:21
97:2,20 104:7	APPLIED 39:23	142:22	119:15,20	23:1,6
104:14 177:19	150:20	ARCHIVED 4:9	120:11,22,23	ASSISTANCE
178:22	APPLIES 174:8	4:10 73:13	121:19,25	165:20 171:8
	l	l	l	l

				rage 5
ASSISTANT	ATTORNEYS'	66:11 67:1	BEGINS 22:15	BINDER 46:12
72:23,24	142:20	69:17 73:17	24:12 130:25	55:26 56:1,3,5
ASSISTING	AUDIBLE	74:26 84:11	BEHALF 5:13	56:6,6 67:15
21:20 152:10	116:22	93:11 94:23	5:15 12:6	142:6 145:1
ASSOCIATI	AUDIO 63:20	104:16 109:17	35:17 39:23	BINDERS 46:4
78:26 146:23	64:13	116:18 117:8	45:22 89:11,16	46:12
168:3	AUGUST 7:10	131:5 135:10	92:20 144:18	BIO 10:18 41:16
ASSUME 6:17	67:21 166:17	136:2 143:1	BEHAVE	115:24
20:9 35:24	172:20	155:9,23	147:16	BIRTHDAY
66:10 98:11	AUTHENTIC	156:18,20	BEHAVIOR	172:19
ASSUMED	106:21	173:21	163:10	BIT 5:22 7:24
80:26 176:12	AUTHOR 72:15	BACK-AND	BELIES 11:2	19:2,5 20:1,24
ASSUMING	72:16 74:15	95:24	BELIEVE 13:15	34:19 51:6
42:23	75:19 86:9	BACKED 31:23	38:26 39:9	58:10 86:4
ASSURED	AUTHORED	BACKGROU	41:4 42:23	87:9 94:18,20
177:17	46:22 74:17	20:13 31:10	45:14 56:6	95:4 96:4
ATTACHED	AUTHORING	33:7 35:14	57:16 59:7	98:14 104:14
26:1,2 35:22	30:20	86:14 115:21	63:18 72:25	107:9 108:10
39:5 53:24	AUTHORITY	151:4 158:2	88:20 90:18	127:18 151:3
54:14 55:2	52:9 88:6	BAG 21:15,16	99:14 107:4	154:9 156:18
58:8 60:2 61:4	AVAILABLE	150:1	119:21 127:5	159:13 162:1
67:23 70:8,21	27:21 49:16	BALANCE	133:8 136:10	163:7 172:17
ATTACHME	129:13 145:6	15:13 16:18	138:2 143:17	172:18 177:21
62:7	AVOID 103:24	BALL 175:11	155:17	BITE 15:18,22
ATTACK 166:3	AWARE 27:10	BALL 1/3.11 BALLPARK	BELIEVED	BLIND-SIDED
ATTACK 100.5	38:8 102:18	99:17,19	15:10 137:3	6:7
162:17	156:2,6 170:6	100:14	BELONGS	BLOCK 74:19
ATTEMPTED	171:25	BALLS 177:21	144:1	74:22
9:7	1/1.23	BASED 78:14	BENEFICIAL	BLOW 79:5
ATTEMPTING	<u> </u>	84:10 109:13	38:11	BLUE 41:18
100:6	B 4:1 16:7	134:16	BENEFIT 20:6	BLURT 31:22
ATTEND	B-A-C 169:17	BASICALLY	70:13	BOARD 22:24
151:19	B.P.S.O.S 20:6	146:25 153:23	BEST 41:5	24:23 79:18
ATTENDAN	20:11 22:16	164:1 166:19	47:19,24 95:16	85:6,18,20
66:4	24:16 39:12	BASIS 25:2	102:17 170:18	93:9 106:1,2,4
ATTENDED	40:1 93:13	41:23 42:20,21	177:26	106:11,12,13
167:12	105:4,24	66:20 129:25	BEST-SELLER	112:24 167:22
ATTENTION	106:18 121:13	BBC 43:23	72:16	170:3
29:1 58:7	123:2 156:2	BEAUTIFUL	BETTER 22:2,3	BOAT 1:8 22:16
125:2 130:22	B.P.S.O.S.'S	157:9	38:25 86:24	22:17,19,20
132:21 152:18	23:1 30:23,24	BECOMING	97:3 157:12	23:5 39:24
156:10,16	BAC 169:17	37:22 177:11	BEYOND 8:6	74:23 89:12
167:14 172:11	BACHELOR	177:25	15:21 17:18	108:6,22 109:1
ATTORNEY	151:5	BEGAN 155:26	52:6 55:7,8	120:25 121:22
2:4 53:15	BACK 10:25	159:5	76:17 129:10	120.23 121.22
59:24 88:19	13:12 23:24,26	BEGINNING	BIG 25:10 30:8	BODIES 158:11
102:21 153:14	32:11 37:16	15:26 22:20	100:19 146:9	BONO 158:6
153:14	39:5,17 41:15	23:8 57:11	146:17 154:17	BOOK 43:22
ATTORNEYS	41:25 60:20	107:24 113:14	167:1	109:26 157:4
45:6	61:19 62:9,24	156:12	BIGGER 38:11	160:22,24,25
73.0		130.12	DIGGER 30.11	100.22,27,23
	•	1	1	1

161:24 162:16	BUD 103:24	174:25 176:2	176:22	CHANGES
171:21 173:3,5	BUDDHISM	176:23 177:8	CASES 177:12	160:26 161:4
BOOKS 19:9	26:4 59:18	178:3	CAST 23:10	162:2,3
24:5 148:5	BUILDING	CALLING 5:8	CATEGORY	CHARACTER
BORN 22:19	18:24	24:26 55:4	9:22	43:16,20 167:1
145:18,19,24	BUNCH 95:18	57:23 146:1	CAUSE 28:1,18	167:18,23
BOSS 154:11	96:1	156:14 159:16	133:8 179:11	CHARACTE
BOTHERING	BURDEN 13:19	168:25,26	CAUSED 43:4	84:6 137:10
163:26 164:12	96:13	175:6,17,19,20	CAUSING	CHARLES
165:23	BURIED 126:26	CALLS 33:18	163:13,15	109:18
BOTTOM	BUS 149:25	80:24 81:2	CC 109:16	CHASE 7:23
12:25 57:1	BUSINESS	83:10 123:17	CCP 7:18	29:7
71:22 82:7	176:12	159:11 164:14	CECILE 3:10	CHECK 27:20
125:6	BUSY 147:25	168:18	144:15,17,23	41:4,6 76:7
BOX 2:6 102:19	152:13	CAMP 21:25	145:10	103:3 135:18
102:22,24,25	BUTCHERING	CAMPS 109:1	CELLPHONES	CHECKED
BOXES 102:23	95:23	CANDIDATE	175:2	27:20 65:16
BRAYBROO	BUY 44:9	21:18 53:16	CENTER 1:2	CHECKING
2:13 5:13		68:24,25 134:6	33:22,23 42:2	66:9
BREAK 55:16	C	CAR 153:9	57:1 131:8,9	CHERRY 12:8
55:16 63:7,25	C 2:12 16:8	CARE 106:12	CENTRAL 1:2	CHI 20:26
67:8 90:6	C-E-C-I-L-E	152:17 157:13	CEO 79:26 80:6	CHIEF 38:16
94:18 96:16	145:10	159:13 160:2,4	80:9,9 81:12	CHIEFLY
135:7,7,16	C.V 42:4 54:8	160:8 165:12	133:1	84:18
BREAKING	54:15 79:22	169:14 171:18	CERTAIN	CHILDREN
159:16	C.V.'S 42:5	CAREFUL 28:5	145:25 152:19	71:14 145:16
BRIDGE 38:16	C23 1:3	129:8,10	CERTAINLY	150:4 155:9,13
99:1 175:5	CA 2:7,15,21	168:10	16:18	157:12 166:19
BRIEFED 75:25	CALIFORNIA	CAREFULLY	CERTIFICA	CHOSE 38:8
86:20	1:1 5:1 78:9	114:1 134:19	179:1	43:8 132:15
BRIEFLY 12:16	102:1 152:4,6	CAREGIVER	CERTIFICA	CHRIS 23:25
74:25 126:19	154:21 155:3,7	160:5	82:18	25:14 27:18
130:13 150:6	156:20 157:6,8	CARING 171:9	CERTIFY	74:15
151:9	179:3,8	CARRY 19:18	179:8	CHRISTIANS
BRING 6:18	CALL 24:6	CASE 11:23	CHAIR 106:2,4	121:9
17:23 21:15	45:19 54:26	16:22,22 19:8	106:13	CHRISTOPH
104:6 112:10	65:21 135:4	19:10,15,25	CHAIRMAN	74:18 116:24
149:19,20,22	144:9,15	20:2,4 23:9	22:24	CHRONOLO
149:22	157:26 169:16	25:5 28:20,25	CHALLENGE	156:19
BRINGING	169:19 173:16	29:13,14 31:4	85:1	CIRCUMST
6:19 48:20	173:18 176:4,8	35:25 36:2,7	CHALLENG	66:24
BROAD 9:22	CALLED 20:12	45:2 47:21,22	13:5	CIRCUMST
127:20	20:26 21:20	49:9 58:14	CHANCE 94:16	159:4 160:13
BROADLY	22:16 23:3	95:19,20 96:12	105:23 137:1	178:8
92:18	25:26 27:2	97:11 98:25	CHANGE 49:22	CITED 120:12
BROTHER	36:3 38:16	101:2 103:21	150:13 160:10	CITIZEN 36:17
157:11	45:22 75:7	144:10 174:6	160:18 161:9	36:22
BROTHER'S	136:17 144:18	177:18	166:7 167:1	CITY 166:17
166:16	151:1 169:5,6	CASE-IN-CH	CHANGED	CLAIM 26:5,14
BROWN 21:24	169:6,15	135:5 173:19	23:4	29:12 34:19

				<u> </u>
50:2 53:17	CLERICAL	COMFORT	78:7,11,25	83:18 84:8
61:5 62:15	152:13	150:14	106:7,14	87:7 106:15
68:1,14,15	CLERK 45:26	COMFORTA	107:26 108:2	125:10 164:2
70:23 120:5	144:21,25	46:6,15	158:7	CONCLUSION
141:9	CLIENT 10:13	COMING	COMMUTE	13:21 54:23
CLAIMED	14:6,11,23,24	150:15,21	153:6	61:15,17
12:21 19:20	16:11 29:25,25	171:8 172:25	COMMUTING	CONDENSED
25:23 26:15	30:4,8,14,18	172:26	153:5	136:17,22
34:24 50:5,16	31:21 32:16,16	COMITTED	COMPANY	CONDITION
51:15 53:16,24	32:23 33:10	53:26	65:6	107:14 161:4
54:13 55:2	34:5,5 39:14	COMMENT	COMPETING	166:14
60:4,6,8 79:16	40:20,23 41:4	12:26 119:15	34:14,23 82:12	CONDITIONS
79:24 82:3	41:8 42:16	124:20	87:14 119:11	163:10
114:21 116:10	44:19	COMMENT	COMPILED	CONDUCT
CLAIMING	CLINTON 22:2	69:15 94:8	106:14,15	71:20 72:19
49:26 54:20	CLOSE 6:7	COMMENTS	COMPLAIN	90:16 174:1
61:12	49:16 73:12	8:11,25 13:14	171:3	CONDUCTED
CLAIMS 24:13	145:1 166:5,12	43:26 44:2	COMPLAIN	50:22 177:16
53:12 54:25	CLOSELY	119:19 124:23	170:20	CONFIDENCE
60:2 172:4	75:22	127:3 138:1	COMPLAINI	154:9
CLARIFICA	CLOSEST	140:9 159:16	109:20	CONFLICTS
47:15 54:4	98:11	COMMERCE	COMPLETE	65:25 66:17
60:5 64:26	CLOSING 45:7	87:22	8:5 21:5,18	CONFRONT
74:21 95:12	101:3	COMMERCI	94:9 157:1	164:1
106:3 134:18	CLOTH 33:14	98:4	COMPLETED	CONFRONTI
141:7	CODE 163:19	COMMITTED	61:9 68:15	164:7
CLARIFY	COLLEAGU	35:4 50:1 53:7	72:14	CONFUSED
47:22 88:20	24:25 43:15,19	54:20 77:1	COMPLETE	137:17 138:6,9
122:26 170:6	COLLECTED	175:20	8:17 13:25	CONFUSING
CLARITY	106:14	COMMITTEE	15:18 35:8	32:5
113:15	COLLEGE	50:25 85:8,21	102:13 103:23	CONFUSION
CLEAN 96:18	22:11	COMMON	COMPLETE	137:22
96:23 99:4	COLLOQUY	61:13 76:10	9:18 10:3,23	CONGRATU
102:15 107:1,2	8:23 12:18	COMMUNIC	15:12 17:5	148:7
107:15 156:18	13:11 114:5	50:19 51:14,15	COMPLICA	CONGRESS
178:11	COLON 31:11	175:1	161:15	23:11 109:17
CLEANED	50:15	COMMUNIC	COMPOUND	129:26
178:16	COME 6:9	50:21 51:23	9:23 55:6	CONGRESSI
CLEANER	13:23 17:18	84:8 85:22	COMPUTER	31:13 32:18
107:10	30:26 41:20	COMMUNIC	164:22	33:12 41:8
CLEAR 5:21	43:13 67:1	176:11	CONCEPT 40:2	CONGRESS
9:2 13:19 15:6	76:4,6 85:1	COMMUNIC	CONCERN	10:20 13:3
17:20 39:22	98:18 103:16	122:19 174:9	34:3 43:12	23:25 25:9,14
41:18 70:3	104:16 136:1	COMMUNIST	100:3 101:14	29:17 31:7
84:17 96:5	173:21	121:11	107:24	32:3,6,15,17
97:9,11 128:25	COMES 19:12	COMMUNIT	CONCERNED	32:20,23 33:4
139:11 141:2	23:15 29:16,21	108:26	49:5 83:21	33:16 34:2,7
CLEARLY	30:2 44:3	COMMUNITY	98:25 100:23	34:12 35:12
14:12 60:7	45:12 98:12	22:7 23:1	101:1 121:7	41:19 42:9
133:15 134:24	121:19	30:25 43:21,21	CONCERNS	47:9 50:21,24
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	l

	•		-	
51:22 52:12	10:20 35:26	157:14	124:11,14	COUNTY 1:2
54:6 61:22	38:18 107:13	CONVINCING	125:21 126:11	22:5 25:9 64:6
71:12 74:17	115:3 125:10	13:19	128:14 130:25	78:7,8,11,13
76:3 80:14	133:16	COOK 160:6,7	131:3,4,9,10	78:14 156:22
81:19 83:9	CONTENTS	COPIED 105:11	132:16 133:3,4	166:20 179:4,8
84:7,14,23	91:18	COPIES 32:8	133:6 139:11	COUPLE 5:20
86:25 109:18	CONTEXT 8:22	61:20,25 62:8	142:21 156:21	5:21 6:11 9:20
115:2,4,10	11:17 15:19,23	84:22 93:25	170:5,17,22	19:9 25:8,25
118:8 128:15	16:7 17:19	95:25 96:17,19	171:9,10,12,13	63:13 65:2
138:26 141:22	31:3,12 35:11	96:19,23 97:24	171:15,16,18	66:4,16 101:17
CONGRESS	37:7 95:20	98:1,21 99:2	171:19,22,23	144:26 173:23
10:16 12:3	111:1	118:12 143:5	171:26 172:5	174:17 178:13
32:22 41:11,21	CONTINUE	COPY 42:3,3	172:13 173:2,4	COURSE 9:8
CONGRESS	49:21 70:11	47:3 54:15	173:9 179:10	20:15 22:23
31:15 42:6	78:1 85:14	57:17 59:9	179:11	25:5 36:2
CONSECUTI	101:11 114:11	61:23 68:1,13	CORRECTED	49:14 97:4
161:14	130:12 132:5	70:20 71:17,23	30:6 117:21	127:18 162:18
CONSIDERA	138:15	72:26 73:5,19	CORRECTING	162:25
13:13	CONTINUED	90:1 92:11	44:23 48:16	COURT 1:1 5:5
CONSIDERI	127:23 138:22	93:17 104:21	CORRECTLY	5:8,16,26 6:5
130:9	140:1 164:3	107:1,1,3,10	19:18,19,24	6:11,19 7:5,8
CONSTITUTE	166:11	107:15,16	26:6 114:24	7:16,22 9:16
110:20	CONTINUES	131:24 136:8	150:25	9:18,26 10:6
CONSTITUT	8:6 11:9,11	136:17,22	COUNSEL 2:1	11:7 14:17,20
20:17 125:24	CONTRACT	149:15,16,22	6:24 7:15 8:7	15:15 16:2,14
CONSTRUC	107:26	149:23 150:5	10:6 11:1	16:21 17:8,10
54:24	CONTRACTI	CORNER 57:3	13:26 14:9	17:17,22,25
CONSULTA	107:25	CORNERS	15:8 29:21	18:1,7,10 29:3
153:9 CONSULTA	CONTRAST	178:14	38:5,21 39:13	37:25 38:13
	39:8	CORPORATI 20:6	41:3 42:19,24 47:20 48:23	44:17 45:3
79:1,11 CONSULTA	CONTRIBUTE 158:8	CORRECT	49:9 62:6	46:4,11 47:15 48:7,10,16,20
158:6	CONTRIBUT	15:24 25:12	64:23 75:14	48:22 49:8
CONTACT	30:23	30:19 33:14	76:10 86:16	51:3,6,20 52:7
155:5	CONTROL	38:3,9,22	90:4 94:15	52:26 55:10,14
CONTACTED	76:17	44:11,12 47:2	95:10 96:11	55:19,21,25
24:10 43:16	CONVALES	48:2,3 50:2,3	114:6 127:16	56:2,5,9 57:1
65:10	171:18	52:16 53:18	130:15 135:17	58:2,9,16
CONTACTS	CONVENIENT	57:10,17 59:5	142:19 175:2	59:14,21 60:11
28:4	38:6	59:6 67:9,10	175:24 176:9	60:13,16,22,25
CONTAINED	CONVERSA	69:25 70:24	177:2	62:2,5,12,22
10:11 39:4	127:20 137:16	73:5,7,19	COUNSEL'S	63:5,7,10,12
86:12	174:8	79:13 83:13,14	11:18	64:7,12,15
CONTAINS	CONVERSA	83:22,23,26	COUNSELS	65:1,17 66:9
131:2 133:2	159:12 178:19	86:13 93:5	62:17	66:12,14 67:15
CONTEMPO	CONVERSE	105:5 110:16	COUNT 148:4	68:5 69:5,8,10
128:6	174:2	110:18,21,22	COUNTERS	69:15,18,20,23
CONTEND	CONVICTION	113:4,7,11	24:13	69:25 70:3,11
177:9	37:10	114:17 122:2	COUNTRY	70:14 71:3
CONTENT	CONVINCED	122:17 123:11	148:21	73:25 74:2
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

75:4,8,12 77:5	137:21,24	CREATION	CV 26:1 41:16	154:17
	138:12,16,18	78:10	C V 20.1 41.10	DEALT 148:3
77:14,17,19,21 77:23 78:1,4	138:20 139:6,9	CREDENTIAL	D	DEAR 132:13
78:17 79:4	139:11,15,25	82:11	$\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ 3:1	135:1
80:1,4,24 81:4	140:14,18,21	CREDENTIA	D-I-N-H 46:3	DEBATE 75:13
82:5,23 83:2,6	141:26 142:6	34:13,19,22	D.C 151:6 152:8	DECADES
85:10,15 86:4	142:13,15	42:7 82:19	153:7,15	
86:15,18 87:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	87:13 93:2,10	DAD 152:16,18	29:21,26 DECEMBER
88:14,18,24	143:6,13,23 144:4,7,10,14	119:9 141:17	152:18 154:3,3	_
89:6,9,15 90:2	144:16,26	CREDIBLE	154:5,8,12,13	23:16,23,24 159:15
	· /		154:16 158:3	DECIDE 16:21
90:7,11,15,18 90:24 91:3,7,9	146:6 147:9,23 148:26 151:9	16:26 17:1,1 CREDIT 29:12	167:14 169:14	16:23,25 27:22
	151:22 152:25	CREDIT 29.12 CRIME 35:4	170:7	DECIDED
91:11,14,17 92:2,7,12	151:22 152:25	53:8,13,18	DAD'S 154:11	171:20
93:20,24 94:5	156:8 157:20	CRIMINAL 9:5	DAILY 165:20	DECIDING
94:8,11,17	158:2 159:8,20	13:8,8 19:22	DAMAGE	176:24
95:1,3 97:1,7	160:12 161:11	31:16,17 35:1	76:17	DECISION
97:17,21 98:2	162:1,14 163:5	35:2,3,5 37:12	DAMAGES	69:16
98:5,9,19 99:1	163:7,19 164:6	37:18 39:10	42:26 43:4	DECLARATI
99:12,15,25	164:15 165:7	40:8,10,19,25	DAMAGING	110:13,14,21
100:3,6,13,19	165:26 166:24	41:2 50:1,6,9	76:6	113:5
100.3,0,13,19	167:19 168:1,7	50:18 52:2,3	DASH 57:4	DECLARED
102:4,5,20	168:19 169:22	53:16,26 54:21	DATE 72:3	125:10
102:4,3,20	170:12 171:3	55:4 77:2,4	107:15	DECLARES
104:2,8,10	173:12,17,22	116:2,3	DATED 50:12	70:22
105:21 107:2,7	174:14,16,24	CRIMINALLY	67:21 81:19	DECLINE
107:14 108:10	175:3,13 176:1	24:24 25:3	105:1 115:4	162:12,15
108:13,15,18	176:10,13,18	CROSS 3:7,12	120:22 127:25	DEDICATED
109:7,12,23	176:26 177:10	99:1 129:5,6	179:13	44:19 72:18
110:4,25 111:8	177:20 178:24	174:19 175:4	DAUGHTER	DEEP 107:18
111:17 112:1	179:7	CROSS-EXA	145:16 153:18	108:19
112:12,26	COURT-APP	126:20 169:24	157:12,13	DEFAMATI
113:21 114:1,7	1:26	CROWD 21:11	166:16 175:16	19:9 25:4
116:22 121:17	COURTHOU	21:12	DAVE@VSB	170:8
121:21 122:20	98:5	CSR 1:25 179:6	2:16	DEFAMATO
122:26 123:18	COURTROOM	179:17	DAVID 1:15	19:17 27:9
124:19 125:1	63:16,18,22,24	CULTURAL	2:12 5:12	43:5
125:20,23,26	63:25 64:2,8	26:24	DAY 41:12	DEFEND 30:24
126:3,5,17,19	64:21,22,23,24	CUMULATI	101:3,5 161:8	DEFENDANT
128:24,26	103:12,17,19	111:16,26	164:23,25	7:9 20:4 37:14
129:7 130:5,13	COUSIN 27:2	112:25	171:8 173:25	125:25 156:6
130:20 131:13	COVER 69:10	CURIOUS	179:13	175:16
131:19 132:2	69:20 70:6	149:10	DAY-TO-DAY	DEFENDAN
132:19 133:11	COVERAGE	CURRENT	171:8	102:9
133:18,22	21:10	125:17	DAYS 5:21	DEFENDANTS
134:19 135:6,9	COVERED	CURRICULU	28:21 30:16,18	1:10 2:11 5:13
135:15,18,24	65:6	26:1 59:16	65:6 66:4	5:15
135:26 136:10	CREATE 147:4	CUT 7:23 29:7	76:16 117:18	DEFENDAN
136:12,16,20	CREATED	62:3 137:5	166:18 176:12	43:17
136:25 137:8	22:20	173:20 178:7	DEAL 103:5	DEFENDING

121:9	31:8 34:1,14	161:22	79:19,21 92:21	160:22 162:17
DEFENSE	34:23,26 35:13	DEVELOPM	93:9 105:2	DISTRICT
26:16 97:8	47:11 50:6,17	78:25 107:26	106:7 134:25	33:19 78:8
101:7,13	51:25,26 52:18	DHYANA 26:4	147:1 148:18	DISTURB
DEFINITELY	67:11,21 70:22	59:18	154:11	103:17
37:1 145:25	71:15 79:25	DIAGNOSIS	DIRECTORS	DIVE 5:18
165:19	81:21 82:12	165:18	79:19 85:6,18	18:14 107:18
DEFINITION	85:23 86:2	DIEGO 156:4	85:20 106:1,11	108:19
25:4	87:13,21,22,22	DIFFERENCE	106:12 146:22	DOCERE 169:9
DEFINITIVE	87:23 89:24	116:13	148:19	DOCTOR 20:21
14:10,13	91:23 92:22,24	DIFFERENC	DISAGREE	26:19,23 30:15
DEFRAUD 25:1	92:25 93:14	116:14	13:25 84:6,9	32:21,25 33:1
DEFRAUDED	105:3 114:23	DIFFERENT	85:11 116:5	34:9,10 38:2,7
24:24 28:7	116:11 118:24	32:6 40:2	DISAGREED	38:26 42:10
DEFRAUDING	119:11	67:11,13,13	85:16	43:2 53:22
25:3	DEPENDING	94:11 109:13	DISAGREE	54:9,18 55:1
DEGREE 12:22	175:9	118:9 122:12	37:13 84:10,11	59:2 60:3,8
19:21 21:18	DEPO 16:11	130:16 146:8	DISCOVERY	61:10,12,26
22:12 25:23	32:3 98:26	149:7,8 155:8	36:2 43:14	78:22,23 84:24
26:5,8,15,22	DEPOSITION	172:4	DISCUSS 90:6	85:2,19 93:10
34:25 50:5,16	6:25 7:9,17 8:1	DIFFICULT	124:16	116:10 133:8
51:15 53:24	8:22 10:1	128:25 150:11	DISCUSSED	134:3,12,16
54:13 55:2	13:24 16:9	178:6	9:4 10:12	136:2 168:16
60:3 61:6,16	25:6 27:13	DIFFICULTI	102:10	168:25 169:1,4
62:16 68:1,14	32:1 40:21	49:17,18	DISCUSSING	169:6,8
68:26 70:23	43:10 53:4	DIFFICULTY	9:24 62:26	DOCTOR'S
79:16,25 82:3	57:9,18 76:9	97:4 164:26	149:8	65:12 163:1
82:10 114:22	102:9 113:14	DINH 1:8 3:5	DISCUSSION	DOCTORAL
116:13 119:8	126:23 128:23	20:5,5 45:20	6:10 7:5 30:1	12:22 19:21
DEGREES	136:9,23	45:21 46:3	58:2 90:4	21:17 22:12
22:11 151:9	137:25 141:1	156:5,11	102:7	25:23 26:3,5,6
DEL 2:15	DEPOSITIONS	DIPLOMAS	DISCUSSIONS	26:15,22 34:25
DELAY 104:7	36:3	82:18	63:24	39:2 50:5,16
DELIBERATE	DEPUTY	DIRECT 3:6,11	DISHEARTE	51:15 53:16,24
27:24	146:10,12	10:2 46:13	166:15	54:13 55:2
DELIBERAT	147:13 154:12	77:14 129:3,4	DISPARAGI	59:4,17 60:3
49:13,15,20	DERIVATIV	129:7,9 130:22	73:14	61:5,9,11,15
DELIVERED	80:19	132:21 135:4	DISPERSIONS	62:15 68:1,14
41:13 61:21	DESCRIBED	144:9 145:2,3	23:10	70:23,23 79:16
DEMANDING	58:25	173:7	DISPOSITIVE	79:24 82:3
32:7 93:9	DESCRIBING	DIRECTED	36:7	114:22 116:12
118:11	15:23	47:20 124:20	DISREGARD	131:3 149:3,13
DEMEANOR	DETAIL 152:12	162:2	47:16 51:8	DOCTORATE
166:8	DETAILED	DIRECTLY	52:26 147:10	35:23 59:11
DENIAL 90:15	141:19	22:18 33:26	164:9 170:13	60:19 68:16
DENIED 110:4	DETERIORA	47:19 72:11	DISSEMINA	134:5 149:23
DEPARTME	164:21 165:16	88:15 95:17	174:2	DOCTORS
1:3 10:17	DETERMINE	167:13	DISSEMINA	163:8
12:23 19:22	27:4	DIRECTOR	174:7	DOCUMENT
25:24 26:17	DETERMINED	22:24 71:13	DISTRACTED	48:12 58:22
	l	<u> </u>	I	l

71:2 79:7 83:7	117:1,4 118:8	132:13,16	154:8	65:5
86:24 87:4	118:9,25	133:21 135:1,1	EDUCATION	EMPLOYME
90:9 94:13	119:26 120:4	136:8 137:12	26:3 58:25	145:23
97:5 111:9	127:12 138:26	137:26 139:19	68:23 70:17	EMPOWER
112:23,24	141:8,22	143:15 144:9	87:22 146:10	158:8
128:21 132:15	DORNAN'S	156:11 157:24	146:12 147:5	EMPOWER
133:2 139:17	10:20 41:9,12	159:5,17	147:13 151:4	43:21
143:21 178:16	41:14 50:10,12	162:17 164:3,8	EFFECT 15:9	ENCLOSED
DOCUMENTS	54:6 61:18	DRAFT 5:25	28:9	78:6 79:10
26:16,25 33:13	72:9,21 80:20	6:3 100:12	EFFICIENT	ENCOURAGE
48:19 73:2,6	86:25 88:4	DRAFTED	94:21 96:5	96:16 97:24
73:15 74:9	89:1 115:4	106:4	EFFORT 78:12	98:21
86:21 87:3	116:19,25	DRAGGED	EFFORTS 22:4	ENDED 21:25
95:25,25 96:15	DOT 138:14,14	99:25	23:1 24:3,8	28:3
96:23 97:23	138:14	DRAMATIC DRAMATIC	EFILED 6:17	ENERGY
98:14,20,25	DOUBLE-CH	162:7	EGG 16:23	161:12 166:18
118:2 128:4,12	63:17 76:11	DRAW 125:2	EGG-ON-HIS	172:12
133:5 165:3	DOUBLE-CH	DRIVE 153:10	17:14	ENGINEERI
178:10	18:11	160:4	EIGHT 15:25	22:13
DOING 41:23	DOVETAIL	DROP 153:10	140:17	ENGLAND
99:18 101:12	33:2	DROVE 158:4	EITHER 7:26	27:4
129:12 150:25	DR 13:1,14 20:5	DULY 45:23	8:3 79:18 96:2	ENGLISH 47:3
152:13 164:24	22:9,23 23:5	144:19	103:23 114:16	48:2 86:12
164:26 177:1	23:15 24:2,8	DUOLOGY	133:23 147:2	116:6
DOLLARS	24:13,14 25:6	161:25	152:15 167:12	ENJOY 28:14
40:18 53:13	27:10 30:11,14	DUTY 72:15	ELDER 20:23	ENTER 21:24
DONATED	31:22 32:2	106:16 174:1,5	28:2	125:22
23:20 121:22	38:19 39:9	100:10 1/4:1,3	ELDERLY	ENTERTAIN
DONATORS	40:1,4 41:11	\mathbf{E}	28:10	15:4
121:13	41:16,26 42:2	E 2:4 3:1,1 4:1	ELECTRICAL	ENTIRE 10:21
DONORS 23:20	42:24 44:19	E-X 57:2	22:12	15:25 17:5
121:13	45:20 46:9,15	EARLIER	ELECTRONIC	30:8 36:7
DORNAN 11:14	46:20 47:26	15:23 65:17	174:9	37:15 57:20
13:3 25:9,10		102:10 141:18		
/	48:26 49:25	151:18	ELEVATE 96:3	68:18,18 78:3
25:14 27:14,18	51:13 53:3	EARLY 6:10	ELOQUENCE 154:15	ENTIRELY
32:3,6,15,23	57:8,23,25	93:8 94:18	EMAIL 23:19	11:23 ENTIRETY
33:4,9 47:9	61:3 67:1,7	117:18 148:17		
50:21,24 51:22 52:13 53:3	70:20 71:7	159:15,23	122:3,9,10,15	69:25 70:5,7 73:26 83:3
	74:6 75:17	165:11	122:16 123:3,3	
61:22 71:12,18	76:13,19 79:9	EASIER 19:11	123:12,20	ENTITIES
71:20 72:17,18	88:3 89:11	150:12	178:2	41:25 88:8
74:15 75:22	91:21 92:9	EASILY 26:11	EMAILED	ENTITLED
77:9 80:14,22	94:2 96:10	EAT 162:24	123:9 124:12	26:3 59:17
80:26 81:19	104:21 105:23	EDITOR 38:15	EMAILS 124:2	68:16 78:9
83:9,20 84:7	107:23 109:25	EDITOR 38:13	124:10 EMBADDAG	ENTITY 31:9
84:14,23 85:5	112:6 113:4	38:17,20	EMBARRAS	33:24 35:19
85:21 86:22	115:7 119:18	EDITORIAL	28:13	38:16 90:19
87:18 89:19	120:15 126:9	78:18	EMBASSY	EQUAL 96:6
90:21 93:5,7,7	126:22 127:2		21:10,12	ERIGERO 2:18
109:18 115:10	128:2 132:12	EDUCATE	EMPLOYER	3:12 5:14,15
	l	l	l	l

				1490 10
146:5 147:7,22	EVERYBOD	EXCLUDE 15:5	141:5 142:4,6	FACT 11:2
148:24 151:20	94:21 178:8	17:3	EXHIBITS 4:3	21:24 31:20
152:24 154:22	EVERYDAY	EXCLUDED	49:11,11 57:9	32:4 33:16
156:7 157:19	167:10	17:4	EXISTS 8:8	39:19 40:5,17
157:26 159:7	EVID 4:5	EXCLUDING	EXPAND 14:20	41:8 43:18
159:18 160:11	EVIDENCE	14:1,21 69:22	EXPANDED	44:22 52:22
162:13 163:4,6	13:20,21 16:4	EXCUSE 65:20	49:7,10	54:15 85:23
163:17,22	16:24,26 19:14	65:20 170:10	EXPANSIVE	110:2 112:8
164:5,14,16	23:12 25:18	EXCUSED 63:4	100:2	171:12,24
165:5,25	28:25 31:3	65:7,25 66:19	EXPECT	171.12,24
166:22 167:17	45:7,8,9,10,10	94:26 135:14	177:17 178:1	FACT-CHECK
167:25 168:6	45:14 48:4	174:13	EXPECTATI	74:7 76:26
168:18 169:25		EXECUTIVE	97:10 104:4	FACTS 29:18
	49:12 54:3,5,6 58:12,15 70:2	22:24 79:19		33:15 35:7
170:10,14	73:23 79:14		EXPERIENCE	
171:2,6 173:13		106:7 134:25	39:11,25 40:12	37:5,10 38:1,8
ERROR 39:19	82:26 88:10	EXERCISE	167:24	38:14,15,22,24
ESCAPED 22 17 19 10	89:5 96:10	157:9	EXPERIENC	39:3,8 41:10
22:17,18,19	106:25 113:1	EXERCISES	162:22	41:24 42:17
ESCAPING	123:17 124:26	104:15	EXPERT 43:2	44:18,23 96:6
21:8,11,13	128:19 131:14	EXHIBIT 4:5	157:26	96:8 97:13
ESPECIALLY	132:3 137:20	19:9 46:5,8,16	EXPLAIN 21:6	123:16 124:25
30:24 90:9	140:16 143:22	46:18 47:5	51:21 74:12	141:24
ESQ 2:12,18	163:19 167:18	48:1,4,8,15,15	84:4 109:10	FACTUAL
ESSENTIAL	EXACT 34:7	49:15 50:14	130:2 140:26	42:13 48:19
53:13	65:14	55:22,24 56:8	EXPLAINED	FACTUALLY
ESTABLISH	EXACTLY 8:25	56:14,26 57:2	53:21 129:20	39:15
13:19	11:14 12:1	57:11,17 58:12	EXPLANATI	FAIR 48:17
ESTABLISH	119:26 127:11	58:15,17,20	53:23 54:12	72:8 96:6
131:23	EXAM 129:6	62:20 67:7,14	97:8 164:11	97:12 102:26
ESTIMATED	EXAMINATI	67:19,22,23	EXPRESS	136:14 176:15
100:21	3:6 7:23,24	69:3 70:4,10	107:24 174:5	177:1 178:5
ESTIMATES	46:13 136:6	70:13,26 71:8	EXPRESSED	FAIRLY 6:6
99:9 100:19,20	145:3	71:9 72:2	33:20 34:2	69:13
100:23 101:12	EXAMINED	73:26 74:4	83:10	FAITH 121:10
EVACUATION	45:23 144:19	77:8 79:14	EXTENT 6:2	FALL 21:9
149:18	EXAMPLE	81:14,15 82:25	49:17,18 59:22	148:14,22
EVENING	164:22	82:26 83:3,4	63:24 64:22	149:17
174:13 178:25	EXAMPLES	91:5,15,16,21	159:8 162:22	FALSE 9:5
EVENT 8:3	167:9 168:14	92:16 96:24	EXTRA 97:22	13:20 31:17
27:19 152:16	EXCERPT 8:6	98:17 104:22	114:10	35:9 42:24
EVENTUAL	12:14 14:15	105:18 106:25	EXTREMELY	44:21,23 53:11
161:20	16:11 76:2	106:25 107:20	12:15 166:5	53:12 85:17
EVERYBODY	EXCESSIVE	109:26,26	EYES 45:14	120:5 130:2
14:17 47:18	8:23	110:3,8,10,19	F	141:9
65:25 66:7,19	EXCHANGED	111:4,8 118:18		FALSEHOODS
88:14 95:9,24	98:24	120:18,19	F 118:18	10:11 30:16
95:26 98:1	EXCITED	124:12 125:3	FABRIC 22:6	FALSELY
99:4 149:17	24:20	130:18 132:22	FACE 16:23	12:21 19:20
174:10 177:24	EXCITEMENT	133:7,26 139:7	32:26 42:9	25:23 34:24
177:25 178:4,6	150:9	139:15 140:24	78:22	50:4,16 51:14
	l			l

				Tage II
53:16 54:25	FAX 72:2,9	164:24	48:21	FORMERLY
79:16,24 82:3	FAXED 72:4	FINISH 75:5	FOLLOW	33:22
114:21 116:9	FE 2:7	100:24 171:21	54:24 60:26	FORMS 5:22
125:10	FEAT 161:21	FINISHED	62:7 95:22	6:4 35:19
FALSIFIED	FEBRUARY	22:10 130:12	114:8	174:8 178:11
10:18	85:2	140:19	FOLLOW-UP	FORMULATE
FALSIFYING	FEDERAL	FIRST 8:4 9:17	112:2	94:14
115:24	10:19 22:1,26	9:20 10:5	FOLLOWED	FORTH 41:26
FAMILIAR	23:26 28:7	11:20 12:24	64:6	115:14
152:10,20,23	31:10 33:8,20	14:22 16:20	FOLLOWERS	FORTHRIGHT
167:2	35:6,15 52:3	18:14 21:22	43:7	16:24
FAMILIES	53:12 83:11	27:25 28:14,15	FOLLOWING	FORTUNAT
71:15	86:3 87:20	29:16,19,19	5:5 17:25 63:5	150:24
FAMILY	92:18 93:3	34:8 39:16	63:10 66:12	FORWARD
147:20 148:12	115:22,25	40:7 43:8	70:4 95:1	21:19 110:1
150:6 152:5	118:13	45:17,23 47:7	102:4 104:8	FOUND 46:5
154:13 161:1	FEEL 61:13	48:23 50:11	119:2 126:3	54:2 65:16
165:24 166:5	FELT 30:5	62:5 63:15	135:24 141:6	69:19 73:17,20
167:8	161:22	72:26 79:10	174:14	78:10,24 93:7
FANTASTIC	FIFTH 119:5	83:5,16 84:12	FOLLOWS	141:24
174:10	FIGUEROA	85:7 90:2	45:24 137:26	FOUNDATION
FAR 45:13 51:7	2:20	96:10,14 97:19	144:19	48:18 131:11
52:6,7 99:10	FIGURE 25:10	101:4 127:14	FORCE 21:15	131:17,23
108:10 162:1	FILE 170:8	130:23 133:15	FORCED 74:20	132:18 141:25
166:23	FILED 120:16	144:19,21	74:22	143:25 147:7
FAST 11:8	172:5	145:11 153:8	FORCIBLY	152:24,26
21:19	FILL 35:16	155:23 156:6	75:23	156:7 167:26
FAT 19:9	176:5	157:24 158:22	FOREGOING	FOUNDATIO
FATHER	FINAL 109:13	160:24 168:25	179:9	112:12 129:8
147:21 148:9	FINALIZED	170:2,16,17	FORGET 5:20	FOUNDER
149:2,21	6:8	172:10,12	102:14	153:13
150:16,19	FINALLY 9:8	FITS 25:4	FORGETFUL	FOUR 8:21
151:19,26	13:12 30:18	FIVE 8:21 9:8	164:23	11:21 12:8
155:2,15	42:22 174:6	10:8 29:15	FORGOT	16:20 84:14,15
156:24 160:1	FINANCES	30:10 34:2,3	147:26	129:19 143:16
163:12 164:11	65:9	92:26 119:5	FORM 8:9,16	145:16 158:25
165:13 167:23	FINANCIAL	140:3,4,10	8:19 9:21	FOUR-MON
168:4,16,22	65:8	143:16 146:15	14:21 27:2,3,6	141:19
170:20,21,24	FIND 16:26	158:22	35:16,17 77:3	FOUR-MON
171:7,12	17:1,1 65:24	FLAGS 98:6	105:19 122:23	50:22 52:20
172:10,16	66:24 76:19	FLAVOR 28:24	129:4 174:5	71:21 72:19
173:8	85:25 112:14	FLESH 88:20	FORMAL	FRAME 143:7
FATHER'S	124:15,20	FLOOR 13:12	169:17	152:9 159:22
145:22 149:13	176:18	29:2	FORMALITI	160:1,9,17
152:10 160:10	FINDING 51:23	FLUSH 7:24	64:5	161:7 166:8
167:2	51:24,24 84:16	FOCUS 47:23	FORMALLY	FRANKLY
FATIGUED	84:18 87:5	58:7 100:7	83:12 169:13	177:12
162:16	FINDINGS	133:18 157:4	FORMER	FRAUD 77:2
FAVORITE	52:19 84:14	163:9	23:20 24:25	FRAUDULE
166:15	FINE 52:7 56:11	FOCUSING	121:12	31:9 33:7
	l		l	1

	İ	Ī	İ	I
35:14 115:20	GENERAL 7:22	136:22 167:14	42:15,22 43:3	GRANDCHI
FREESTAND	10:16 31:8	174:19	44:18 46:8,11	166:16
8:13	34:1 35:13	GIVES 6:4	48:24 49:9	GRANDCHI
FRENCH 20:25	47:10 49:11	GIVING 147:23	51:1,7 52:5	155:9,11 166:2
FREQUENT	51:26 52:1,19	178:4	55:14,25 65:21	166:6,12
108:1 129:16	81:21 83:24	GLANCING	68:2,4 69:12	GRANT 4:8
FRIEND 72:11	84:7 85:23	10:10	75:13 88:24	10:19 12:22
74:18 86:25	89:13,21,23	GLASSES	94:17,19 96:21	19:21 25:24,25
FRIENDS 24:6	90:20,23 91:1	171:2,4,5	96:22 99:11,16	25:26 26:10,13
24:25 43:15,19	91:26 92:24,25	GLEAN 25:19	101:2,6,9	26:14,17,17,26
148:7 149:7	115:25 118:24	GO 5:8 6:6 7:11	103:1 104:13	27:20 31:10
150:10 170:7	121:16 122:21	10:25 13:18	106:7 107:2,18	33:8 34:25
FRONT 68:7	122:22 127:20	17:18 18:18	108:19 110:26	35:6,15,26
69:6 76:14	141:23 153:21	28:18 33:13	111:13 136:2	36:9,11,15,18
96:2 127:26	160:18	39:17 42:15	136:18 143:13	38:26 39:1,4,6
154:1,16	GENERAL'S	46:5 49:8 52:5	151:9,10	39:11,11,16,18
172:26	82:14 84:2	55:18 62:23	155:16 158:12	40:1,2,24 41:6
FULL 30:7 31:5	118:26	63:1,12 66:6	163:1,5,18,20	41:20 50:6,17
37:8 52:12	GENERALLY	68:5 69:17	163:21,21	53:25 54:3,7,8
69:21 82:15	35:23 157:15	71:4 75:14	173:10 174:19	54:14,16 56:19
102:24 129:23	GENERATE	77:23 80:2	175:6	58:3,18 59:9
179:9,10	88:5 89:1	84:11 89:9	GOOD 5:10,12	61:4,14,20
FULL-TIME	GENTLEMAN	94:19 96:14	5:14 6:22 18:1	67:8,20 70:21
106:6	28:10	101:11 102:5,5	18:20 29:6	75:8 78:25
FULLY 84:16	GENTLEMEN	104:17,18	34:16 53:23	79:20,25 82:4
FUNCTIONS	18:12,20 62:23	113:25 116:18	55:1,15 66:8	84:22 87:16
147:2	94:17 104:11	117:8 121:21	72:11 74:18	93:2 114:23
FUND 52:3	126:5 135:9,12	131:5 136:3	80:12,14,18,20	115:22,25
FUNDING 14:4	173:24	144:11 147:16	89:9 99:7,21	133:16 134:12
32:6 33:21	GEORGE	153:1 155:23	137:24 144:26	134:26 141:17
40:10 83:11	145:12	157:9 177:11	145:5 160:7	143:5
115:25 118:10	GEORGETO	GOAL 146:23	162:10 169:20	GRANT-MA
FUNDS 53:12	151:6	GOES 11:6,7,16	169:26 170:1	80:7,15 86:1
78:12 87:16	GERRY 21:24	12:2 15:21	176:19 177:1	GRANTED
FURTHER 8:5	GETTING 6:8	23:18 32:26	GOSH 146:15	60:14,17
18:16 47:15	16:17 18:4	55:7,8 93:26	GOVERNAN	GRANTS 12:4
94:5 107:6	28:25 51:6	99:22 121:26	106:13	22:26 23:6
126:16 128:18	86:4 93:17	122:12	GOVERNME	34:14,23 35:21
135:3 140:8	99:13 157:8	GOING 5:25	14:4 22:1,26	39:14,23,25,26
144:5,8 169:21	162:1 166:22	6:5,6 7:2,26	24:24 25:1,3	40:15 42:7
173:15	166:23	13:23 15:5,9	28:3,7 33:20	82:12 87:14,19
FUTURE 98:16	GIANT 94:14	15:11,25 16:4	34:18 40:3,6	116:11 119:11
122:25	GIAO 146:2	16:4,6,7,15	40:10 77:2	132:23 CDEATED
G	GIVE 6:16 15:1	17:2,24 18:13	78:12 83:11	GREATER
G-I-A-O 146:3	19:5 29:8	19:3,6,17	84:20 92:18	15:23 31:3
GALLERY	43:11 89:26	28:23,24 29:7	93:3 147:2,3,4	GREW 145:22
63:21 103:14	144:26 173:26 177:7	29:7,18 30:19	147:9,10	GROUND 66:1
GAME 95:4	GIVEN 36:6	30:21 32:12 33:26 37:25	GRADUATE 20:16 22:11	66:1 GROUP 132:9
GAP 29:20	50:26 113:19	38:8,22 41:2	129:23	134:26
J. 27.20	30.20 113.19	30.0,4441.4	147.43	134.20
	1	•	1	•

		_		
GROUPS	38:12 44:15	113:13,17,26	HEALTH 67:21	104:8 114:22
148:22 149:7	45:19 46:8,14	114:4,12	70:22 71:15	116:12 126:3
GUESS 7:8	47:13,25 48:4	116:23 120:14	87:23 91:23,26	135:24 174:14
47:18 117:3	48:9,11,13,22	121:16,24	92:23 105:3	HELP 22:4
147:4 150:26	48:25 49:21,24	122:26 123:1	151:16 160:10	46:10 147:5
177:26	51:1,5,11,12	123:21 124:21	160:18 161:4	152:13 154:8
GUESSING	52:5,11,24	125:2,5,22	162:7 165:11	160:23 165:1
162:25	53:2 54:11	126:1,7,8,16	165:16 166:14	165:19 172:25
102.23	55:12,14,18,20	127:17 129:2,6	HEAR 20:13,20	HELPED 156:4
Н	55:22,23 56:1	130:4 131:11	21:17 28:10	HELPING
H 4:1	56:4,13 57:7	131:15,21	30:21 36:3	148:2,4 153:25
HALF 47:7	58:6,9,14,21	132:17 133:10	45:1,10 63:1	158:9 165:2
HALF-HOUR	58:23 59:12,15	135:8,21 136:3	65:19 66:18	HESSELTINE
10:22,24 11:3	59:26 60:9,12	136:5,7,11,13	69:8 95:9	1:15
11:22 12:3	61:1,2 62:1,3	136:17,22,26	110:25 111:18	HEY 24:6 31:23
HALFWAY	62:13 66:8	137:11 138:4	112:2,13 146:1	34:18
100:15,17	67:3,5,6,16	138:21 139:14	154:24 156:10	HICCUPS
HALLWAY	68:9 69:3,6	139:18 140:6	156:15 159:5	48:24
125:26 144:16	70:12,17,19	140:20,22	170:4 173:26	HIDE 175:11
HAND 177:10	71:6 73:22	141:25 142:2,7	175:3	HIGH 22:10
HANDLE 15:15	74:1,3,5,24	142:14,17	HEARD 15:16	26:9 154:6
HANDLING	75:2,15,16	143:8,14,24	34:7 38:5 45:4	HIGHER 23:21
15:14	76:12 77:7,25	144:5,11,13,15	45:5,13 49:25	148:20
HANDS 49:13	78:15 79:2,5,8	145:4 146:13	60:21 97:19	HIGHLIGHT
HANG 69:9	80:10,25 81:5	147:11 148:11	99:14,16 107:6	77:24 78:18
HAPPEN 18:24	82:20,25 83:5	149:1 151:11	110:24 153:15	HIGHLIGHT
19:3,6 28:7	83:8 85:9,13	151:24 153:17	156:3,14,14	71:1,3 178:12
87:20	86:6,23 87:11	155:1 156:17	157:24 159:13	HIRED 150:26
HAPPENED	88:17,25 89:10	157:22 158:14	167:13 168:25	HISTORICAL
14:2 22:6	89:20,25 90:20	159:21 160:16	170:2	24:5
24:20 29:13	91:5,8,10,20	162:5 163:11	HEARING 15:8	HISTORY
41:19 93:6	92:8,15 93:21	163:24 164:10	15:17 91:26	20:24,25 29:11
146:14 149:12	93:23 94:1,4	164:17 165:9	152:15	161:25
154:19 163:25	96:15,25 97:18	165:10 166:1	HEARINGS	HO 20:26
HAPPENING	97:22,26 98:8	166:25 167:21	31:7,13 47:9	HOLD 52:23
12:18 18:23	98:17,23 99:7	168:2,9,21	HEARSAY	61:5 143:6
28:16 39:18	99:21 100:17	169:20 173:10	52:24 62:1	151:17
HAPPENS 66:3	100:24 101:18	173:20 174:23	85:9 130:4	HOLDING
HAPPY 155:21	102:19,26	174:26 175:9	141:25 159:19	134:11 177:10
155:24	103:7 104:18	175:22 176:3	163:21 166:23	HOLIDAY
HARDSHIP	104:19,20	176:17,19	167:18 168:18	176:13
65:8	105:20,22	177:2 178:21	HEART 8:26	HOME 146:8,9
HARM 28:1,18	106:9,24	HART'S 88:22	166:3 171:21	146:11 149:6
HART 2:4 3:6,8	107:12,21,22	95:13 135:19	HELD 5:5 12:21	150:21 151:26
3:11 5:10,10	108:12,14,17	HE'LL 21:5	17:25 19:20	152:2 161:19
6:9,17,22 7:7	108:21 109:11	22:9 91:17	25:23 34:24	166:11 171:18
7:20,26 8:20	109:13,15,24	145:2	38:2,19 50:5	HOMEWORK
12:16 14:19	110:7 111:3,10	HEAD 64:11	50:16 63:5,10	146:24 148:1
18:3,5,9,17,19	111:11,18,20	65:7	66:12 80:6	HONG 109:2
29:3 37:22	112:4,17 113:3	HEADING 18:8	95:1 102:4	HONOR 5:10

5.12.14.6.22	HODDIDI E	ID 4:5	52.22	INCORPOR
5:12,14 6:23	HORRIBLE		52:22	
7:15 8:20 9:25	40:26 43:18	IDEA 7:1 8:14	IMPLIED 81:9	151:1
10:5 13:25	HOSPITAL 161:15 171:17	9:9 11:2,10,21	81:12 IMPLY 11:20	INCORPOR 8:14
15:12 16:17		11:25 13:17		INCORRECT
17:21 29:5	HOUR 11:26	15:11 16:11	IMPLYING	
37:22 44:15	28:23 99:14,16	113:10,11	20:20	39:15 81:1
45:19 46:10	101:4	119:22 127:7	IMPORTANT	103:26
47:13 48:9,14	HOURS 101:10	127:15,21	13:18,22 28:16	INCORRECT
49:5 51:11	177:5 HOUSE 32:13	137:5 138:14	147:15 150:4	12:20 114:19
57:6 60:15		139:20 140:10	166:5 168:12	INCREDIBLY
67:5 69:9,13	145:26 146:17	150:22	169:13 170:21	12:11
69:17 70:12	147:16 150:15	IDEAS 11:26	IMPORTAN	INDENTED
71:1 73:22	HOUSEHOLD	IDENTIFICA	29:15 41:3	111:12,21
80:23 82:26	65:7,9 153:19	91:6,7,15	IMPOSITION	112:6 INDEPENDE
89:4,25 90:5	HOYT 2:4 5:10	IDENTIFIED	18:21	
90:22 91:25	HOYTH@PR	5:19 126:10	IMPROPER	157:17 158:3
93:16 97:3,18	2:8	175:4,15 IDENTIFY 7:13	8:17 129:4	158:23
97:19 98:24	HUGE 103:5		IMPROVE	INDEPENDE
99:6,8 101:1	161:21	43:11,11	147:5	161:20,21
105:17 106:24	HUMAN 67:21	136:20	INACCURAC	INDICATED
106:26 107:21	70:22 71:15	IDENTIFYING	30:5,6	17:17 18:12
108:8 109:5,10	87:23 91:23	82:5 175:19	INACCURATE	65:23 66:17
109:21 110:1	92:1,23 105:3	II 2:4	10:14 14:7,8	97:17 175:14
110:23 111:15	121:9 153:13	ILLEGAL 12:5	16:6 37:4,4,10	INDICATION
112:10,23	HUMANITA	IMAGINE 28:2	59:7,18	99:8
114:3 121:14	43:21	28:9 174:18	INCLINED	INDIVIDUA
122:18 125:16	HUNDRED	IMMEDIATE	90:13	65:21
126:1,18 129:2	22:8	26:22 31:6,6	INCLUDE	INDO 131:8
130:11,19	HUNG 65:12	31:13 47:8	60:24 64:21	INDOCHINA
135:17,20	HURLED 43:8	76:16,18	65:17 69:14	20:26 42:1
136:5,15 137:6	HURRIEDLY	IMMIGRANTS	79:22 83:18	131:8
137:19 140:15	76:4	109:1	132:15	INDOCHINE
143:11 144:3	HUSBAND	IMPACT	INCLUDED	33:22
151:8 153:15	152:4	141:16	16:19 131:7,16	INFANTRY
159:18 163:17	HYPERCRIT	IMPACTED 20.5	131:25 132:8	72:14
164:5 165:6,25	19:1	30:5	133:6	INFLUENCE
166:22 167:17	I	IMPEACHED	INCLUDES	64:3
169:20 170:24 170:25 176:21	I.R.A.C 21:20	IMPEACHED	64:17 109:16	INFORMATI
	23:4 41:24,25	113:19	INCLUDING	35:22 36:18
178:22,23	56:18 70:21	IMPEACHING	21:1 33:11	38:25 40:9
HONORABLE	79:17 83:12	9:2	39:1 64:8 65:9	82:15 95:12
1:15 81:20	132:15 152:21	IMPEACHM	70:7 79:22	97:15 100:8
118:22	153:4,9,12,13	9:1 113:16,17	87:21 98:2	119:2 129:13
HOPE 19:24	153:20 154:11	113:19,24	108:26 109:17	130:8 168:13
45:8,14	I.R.A.C.'S 32:8	IMPINGE	114:10	174:2,8 175:1
HOPEFULLY	118:13	101:6	INCLUSIVE	INFORMED
107:16	I.R.A.C./S.E	IMPLICATE 50.0	1:9	52:18 65:5
HOPKINS	32:20 77:13	50:9	INCOMPLETE	66:7 129:14
155:19,25	78:21,26	IMPLICATI	58:4,5 61:8	141:23
157:2	70.21,20	24:1 39:18	134:7	INITIALLY
	ı	1	ı	ı

	I	I	I	ı
149:26	INTEND 9:10	INTRODUCED	56:23,24	165:9 174:23
INITIATED	127:19	20:18 143:22	142:16	175:22 176:17
29:19	INTENDED	INTRODUCI	ISOLATING	177:6 178:21
INITIATING	14:25 108:11	132:16 146:25	177:14	JUMP 163:5
44:21	INTENDING	INVENT 33:13	ISSUE 6:25 10:3	JUROR 65:2,4
INQUIRE 6:23	50:19 51:13	INVENTED	41:22 44:6	65:11,15,24
9:14 43:17	107:10 114:4	41:17	75:24 87:15	JURORS 5:17
49:6 107:12	INTENDS 6:24	INVESTIGA	109:4 118:2	18:2,26 65:21
INQUIRY 33:1	INTENSE 52:20	10:17 23:11	125:23 128:4	65:24 66:10,15
42:10 78:22	INTENT 7:18	31:8 33:6	128:13 129:16	66:17,19,22
109:22	INTENTION	35:13 47:11	129:21 134:11	101:17 103:21
INSERTED	51:17,21 52:10	52:2 82:14	137:10 176:4,7	103:23 104:6
54:8 131:26	INTERACT	84:2,8 85:26	ISSUES 8:24	104:10 136:1
INSPECT 90:16	103:22	89:13,22,24	30:3 108:23	JURY 5:6 8:10
INSPECTOR	INTERACTI	90:19 91:24	121:8 158:10	12:10 14:16
10:16 31:8	161:1	92:18 93:14	170:7 172:10	15:20 16:23,25
34:1 35:12	INTERACTI	119:1 120:5	172:12 176:3	17:15,23,26
47:10 51:25	165:24	141:9	ITEM 82:17	27:4 42:15
52:1,19 81:21	INTEREST	INVESTIGA	140:10	47:16 48:22
82:14 83:24	129:21 158:6	23:13,14 51:22	ITEMS 82:16	49:6,14 51:9
84:2,7 85:22	162:16	53:20 140:4		53:1 63:4,6,11
89:12,21,23	INTERESTI	INVESTIGA	J	63:13 66:13
90:20,23 91:1	27:17	11:12,15 31:14	J 1:15 2:18	79:3 94:26
91:26 92:24,25	INTERFERE	32:7 33:5,6,12	JACQUELINE	95:2 96:2,5,23
118:23,26	8:23	34:6 37:8,9	118:23	97:11 100:26
141:23	INTERFERI	41:2 50:22	JANUARY	101:2 102:8,19
INSTANCE	64:20	52:13,15,21,21	83:17 145:24	102:22,23,23
36:5 115:19	INTERNAL	71:20,21 72:19	159:15	102:24 104:3,9
INSTILL 167:7	106:13	75:25 84:15	JEFFREY	104:26 105:14
INSTITUTE	INTERNET	88:8 89:2,18	154:10	126:4 135:14
153:4	28:18 29:23	90:16 105:25	JERSEY 23:25	135:25 145:14
INSTRUCTED	INTERRUPT	106:19 118:10	74:19	174:13,15,17
40:7	64:3 74:25	119:23 120:1	JOB 19:13	JURY'S 70:13
INSTRUCTI	77:14	127:8,13	150:17,19	JUSTICE 1:2
5:22 42:15	INTERRUPT	129:18 138:24	153:4	JUSTIFICAT
45:5,16 178:10	75:6 127:22	139:21 140:4	JOHN 155:25	42:17
INSULT 162:7	138:15	140:25 141:14	JOHNS 155:19	
INTEGRATE	INTERRUPT	141:15,19	157:2	K
22:4	64:20	INVITE 121:17	JOINED 18:2	KEEP 44:26
INTEGRATED	INTERRUPT	INVITED 157:7	72:17	63:2 66:5,7
150:6	127:23	INVOLVED	JUDGE 1:15	104:12,13
INTEGRATI	INTERRUPT	160:2 164:20	12:16 14:19	158:19 168:10
22:21 152:11	177:20	INVOLVING	18:19 66:8	KEEPING
INTEGRITY	INTERVIEW	52:3	96:25 98:23	104:14
23:11 28:11	43:23 103:22	IRONICALLY	99:7,21 101:18	KEEPS 23:20
167:3,8	INTERVIEWS	35:3	104:19 105:20	KEPT 129:17
INTELLECT	63:23 64:22	IRRELEVANT	108:12,17	KHOA 1:5 5:8
149:7	103:18	94:7	114:12 126:16	5:11 9:5 10:12
INTELLIGIB	INTRODUCE	IRVINE 26:11	131:15 135:8	10:17 12:19,21
8:16	107:3	26:12 27:21	135:21 144:5	19:20 20:2,14
	l	l	l	

	I	1		
23:2,10 24:4	KINDS 158:13	172:6 174:26	36:17	LEFT 21:16
24:10,16,22,26	164:24	175:8,21,24,25	LAWFUL 36:21	37:16 148:22
26:2,15,18	KITCHEN	176:1,4,21	36:22,26	LEGAL 72:23
28:2 31:9 32:7	150:22 160:7	177:21 178:14	LAWSUIT 9:1	LEGISLATI
32:21 33:1,6	KNEW 12:4	KNOWINGLY	25:2 28:17	74:17,19
33:14,24 34:11	38:1 39:7	13:20	30:12 120:15	LEGISLATU
34:24 35:13,18	40:13 41:11	KNOWLEDGE	120:16 124:16	129:25
38:1,19 39:26	72:16 84:19,23	12:7 128:21	125:17 162:18	LEGITIMAT
41:16,26 47:11	84:24 85:19	173:7	163:3,8 165:1	20:21
50:1,4,9,16,23	87:24 145:24	KNOWLEDG	170:8 171:25	LENGTH 14:24
51:14,22 53:7	145:25 157:12	84:19	172:4	LENGTHY
53:15,20,23	KNOW 8:25	KNOWN 33:22	LAWYERS	69:13 171:14
54:13,18 57:23	11:8,18 12:10	83:12 168:4	143:20	LENIENT
57:25 59:1	14:11 15:22	KNOWS 81:11	LAY 49:13	177:23,24
60:2 61:5	16:7 19:8	87:18	160:11	LET'S 7:23
72:20 73:14	20:25 22:6,17	KONG 109:2	LAYS 26:2	19:26 48:11
75:26 76:5	22:18 24:7,19		LE 1:5 5:11 20:2	53:19 55:13
77:1,13 78:21	28:15 36:13	L	32:21 57:23,25	58:7,21 63:12
78:22 79:16,18	38:6 43:16,20	L 118:23	77:13 78:21	70:26 71:7
79:24 80:19	44:6 46:16	L.A 166:17	82:18 118:21	77:25 79:5
81:7 82:3	56:21 62:20	LABORING	130:26 132:12	81:14 83:18
83:22 84:20,21	64:19 66:25	28:26	133:3,8 134:2	92:17 102:5
84:24 85:1,19	72:22 81:22	LACKING	135:1	114:2 137:8
88:8 89:2,13	86:24 87:16,18	132:17	LEAD 110:9	142:3 156:18
92:19 93:1,15	91:12 95:19	LACKS 131:23	LEADERS	159:5 164:7
105:26 106:19	97:3 98:15	LADIES 18:12	21:26 33:18	165:21 167:19
114:21 116:9	99:18,22,23	18:20 62:23	84:25 89:17	LETTER 4:9,10
118:11,21	100:2,21	94:17 104:11	106:15	4:11 10:16,20
120:11 123:13	102:16 106:6	126:5 135:9,12	LEADING	11:14 13:3
125:10 126:10	107:1 112:21	173:24	129:3,7,8,10	31:7,14 33:3,9
130:26 132:12	117:5 123:22	LANGUAGE	132:17,19,19	34:8 35:12
132:13,16	124:2,8,9	43:24	162:13	47:10 50:12
133:3,8,21	125:17 129:22	LARGER 15:2	LEARN 93:4	52:13 53:20
134:2 135:1,1	131:17,18,25	LARGEST	163:15 170:4	54:25 57:22,22
145:15 169:19	137:15 143:16	82:23	LEARNED	57:24 58:2
KHOA'S 34:3,9	144:1 145:26	LAST-HALF	32:19,20,24	71:12,18,23
56:26 57:12	147:14,17	11:26	34:8 77:12	72:4 73:9,17
82:8,18 119:6	148:5 149:6,8	LATE 21:3 85:2	78:20 150:22	73:20 74:6,13
120:5 141:9	149:12,18	106:2,4,13	160:6 164:18	74:14,15 75:18
153:18	150:13,15	148:18 159:22	165:22 166:14	75:20 76:20,23
KIDS 147:16	152:14,15,18	172:13	170:16,17	76:25,26 77:9
150:9	153:24 154:7	LATEST 175:1	LEARNING	77:10,15 78:19
KIND 23:8	154:12 155:18	177:7	150:9,10	78:19 79:15
66:23 82:6	155:22 157:3,5	LATIN 169:8	163:26	80:13,21 81:7
100:14 102:11	158:4,10,11,11	LAVINIA 105:2	LEAVE 66:2	81:19,22 82:3
114:7 146:25	160:3,20	105:24	102:16 148:21	83:9 86:7,8,9
149:10 150:19	162:21,25	LAW 2:4 6:12	149:17 150:3	86:11,22 89:18
168:12 177:22	164:21 166:10	52:3 86:3 98:5	LECTURER	90:23 91:14,19
KINDLY 97:21	166:11 167:10	98:6 129:22	20:16	91:22 92:17
104:11	169:8,15,18	LAW-ABIDI	LED 168:14	93:6,13,17
	l	l		

100:11 105:1,9	44:19 161:23	136:18 141:7	105:23 116:19	<u> </u>
105:11,16,24	167:10	146:23 147:23	116:25 117:8	$\frac{11}{\text{M.I.A.'S}}$ 158:10
106:1,10,16,17	LIFESTYLE	151:3 154:9	120:18 122:13	MACH 20:12
106:22 107:23	157:23	156:18 159:13	131:6 136:15	23:16,17 24:1
109:19 115:4	LIGHT 110:2	162:1 163:7	154:5,6	24:7 120:24
115:10 116:19	LIKES 166:4	172:17,18	LOOKED 32:2	121:2,26
116:25 117:8,9	LIKEWISE	173:11 177:21	32:11 42:5	121:2,26
117:12 118:19	38:24 40:16	LIVE 22:5	57:18 73:8	123:23
118:20 119:14	43:6	152:2 158:15	74:6 117:9	MACHINES
119:14,26	LIMITATIONS	166:20	118:5,7,15	98:10
120:3,12	28:15	LIVED 158:17	128:14	MAGAZINE
127:11,24,26	LIMITS 101:8	158:17 166:6	LOOKING 64:4	38:18,19 78:8
128:16 132:8	LIMON 105:2	LIVING 146:8	68:20 77:15	MAGAZINES
132:10,11,15	105:24	146:11 150:16	92:11 120:3	148:3
133:15,17	LINE 7:11 11:2	152:7 153:18	123:25 128:20	MAIN 129:21
134:25 138:25	12:19 13:1	157:11 161:20	139:12 141:8	160:5
138:26 139:2	15:25 16:1	165:20	LOOKS 124:17	MAINTAIN
139:12,21	29:15 114:5,5	LLP 2:13	LOOSE 98:17	28:5
140:2,11 141:8	115:6 127:1	LOATH 125:22	LOS 2:21	MAJESKI 2:19
141:14,18	LINES 7:10,12	LOATHED	LOSE 44:13	5:15
144:1 154:14	14:26 16:3	15:7	101:16	MAKING 11:20
LETTERHE	137:7,9,13,25	LOBBYING	LOST 150:5	11:24 27:11
32:22 42:1	140:16	22:1	162:15	32:16 35:9
54:9,9,19	LIST 23:19,21	LOCALLY	LOT 19:10 21:1	50:10 101:13
LETTERS 23:9	109:16 122:16	25:11	28:4,21 40:6	145:6 150:10
25:8,17,18,19	123:3 175:9,15	LOCATION	42:21 76:15	159:16 176:13
27:14,18,18	178:3	149:24	109:9 118:1	MALICE 44:25
31:24,26 32:2	LISTED 79:21	LONG 6:14	128:4,12	MALICIOUS
32:5,11,12	82:16	31:13 52:8	129:20 143:4,9	104:1
33:18 43:8	LISTEN 88:15	99:9 104:15	149:4,6,19	MALIGN 33:14
50:10 53:4	88:18,21	123:2 126:22	156:4,13 158:4	MAN 160:6
55:15 72:26	134:19	126:26 128:3	158:5,5,6	MANAGE 64:2
83:10 88:5,7	LISTENED	141:19 152:1	162:16,18	MANDATE
90:21 100:8	149:10	174:20	165:3 169:13	52:1
118:8,16	LITERALLY	LONG-TIME	172:8 177:22	MARCH 78:7
120:10 128:15	10:25 18:23	25:9	LOTS 11:26	85:6 91:22
147:1 178:14	LITIGATION	LONG-WIND	12:1	93:8,8 105:1
LETTING	117:19 125:17	29:8,11	LOTT-MEYE	105:24 106:18
136:15	LITTLE 5:22	LONGER 99:10	1:25 179:6,17	108:24 151:18
LEVEL 177:16	6:9 7:24 17:13	LOOK 6:15	LOVE 155:22	161:20
LEVERAGE	19:2 20:1,13	10:7 12:17	155:23 169:11	MARINA 2:15
147:23	20:24 27:3	31:23,24 34:16	LOYAL 170:21	MARK 91:5
LIBRARY 98:5	34:19 58:10	38:11,25 42:15	LOYALTY	MARKED 82:8
98:6	86:4 87:9	46:25 47:5	170:23	84:17 91:15,16
LICENSE	94:18,20,21	49:16 53:6,19	LUNCH 94:18	104:22
151:17	96:4,26 97:22	55:13 56:14	94:22,26 96:16	MARKINGS
LICENSED 151:15	98:14 99:18 100:25 104:14	57:11 67:22	101:20 LUNCHTIME	90:10
	100:25 104:14	68:5,6 71:7 73:15 81:15	96:16	MARYLAND
LIE 28:17 LIFE 28:11	127:18 136:14	83:24 98:13	70.10	152:7 153:19
20.11	147.10 130.14	05.47 70.13		154:21 156:25
i	-	-	-	<u>-</u>

				- 5
MASSIVELY	120:24 121:3	43:25	68:19 77:4	176:13
11:16	120:24 121:3	MILLIONS	MISMANAG	MONEY 23:6
MASTER'S	123:4	44:1	52:4	23:20 34:18
22:13 26:8	MEDICAL 43:3	MIND 20:17	MISPLACE	40:3 147:4,9
MATCH 9:12	43:5 162:19	36:26 45:1	117:12	149:20 150:18
MATERIAL MATERIAL	165:17	63:2 76:7	MISREPRES	MONITOR
13:20 76:14	MEDICALLY	98:12	35:6 40:24	49:2 101:11
MATH 99:18	43:2	MINE 56:2	168:4	MONTH 148:15
MATTER 24:22	MEDICATION	74:18	MISREPRES	MONTHS MONTHS
31:20 33:4	163:2	MINH 20:26	34:12,22 36:14	84:15 129:19
102:14 130:7	MEET 153:13	MINIMUM	37:17 39:10	150:25 161:14
168:20	154:10	15:4,24	41:1 42:6	171:17 172:22
MATTER-WI	MEETING	MINISTER	82:10 85:26	172:23
55:17	175:23 176:6,7	146:10,12	87:12,17,19	MORNING 5:2
MATTERS 6:12	MEETINGS	147:13	93:1 119:9	5:10,12,14,23
29:9 62:26	145:26 147:15	MINOR 13:5	MISREPRES	6:4,13,14 18:1
82:14 84:3	155:8	MINUS 114:5	32:24 37:21	18:14,20 29:6
96:19 109:8	MEMBERS	MINUTE 46:25	MISREPRES	62:24 63:4,9
119:1,4,5	78:24 109:17	56:14 111:12	32:21 34:8	68:17 174:18
MCDONALD'S	78.24 109.17 MEMORY	175:13	37:4 42:11	178:11
150:20	31:23	MINUTES 5:17	77:13 78:21	MORTGAGE
MEAN 10:21	MENTAL 99:13	8:12,15 10:8	81:7	65:9
15:3 16:9 17:6	MENTALLY	10:10 12:10	MISREPRES	MORTIFICA
24:19 25:22	157:16	14:1,2,10,12	37:10 141:16	28:13
50:8 62:3	MENTION 5:26	62:24 81:15	MISSED 83:16	MOTHER
96:19 103:23	MENTION 5.26 MENTIONED	99:14,15,17	MISSING 47:8	156:13
115:11 127:15	71:20 129:15	101:4 119:20	57:19 59:8	MOTION 6:12
149:14 162:25	129:25 157:25	126:24 127:4	143:10 158:11	51:4 60:13
176:13 177:6	159:6	126:24 127:4	MISSPOKE	75:9 110:21,21
MEANING	MERIT 65:4	138:2 141:3	31:26	117:19
114:25	MERRY 28:18	169:23 173:23	MISTAKE 76:9	MOTIVATED
MEANINGL	MESSAGE	MISAPPROP	116:26	44:24
				MOTIVATION
32:4 MEANS 12:10	178:2	78:11	MISUNDERS 103:25	30:20
	MESSAGES	MISCHARA 140:16	MITIGATE	MOUTH 37:26
27:4 61:12	148:7 MET 41:8	MISCHARA	76:18	MOUTHFUL
86:12,26 115:23 123:8	129:16 149:24	89:4 97:5	MOCK 102:14	93:22 94:14
131:25 146:3			MOCK 102:14 MODIFIED	93:22 94:14 MOVE 37:24
	152:15 153:12	137:6,19	48:15	47:13 48:4
169:9 170:23	MICHELLE	139:23 MISDIRECT	48:13 MOM 158:23	
178:15	1:25 179:6,17			51:1 52:5,24
MEANT 14:1	MID 23:8,9	95:18	159:13,23	57:26 58:14
14:11,12,13,14	148:17	MISIDENTIFY	MOMENT	59:12 60:9
14:25 114:26	MID-90'S 23:2	75:19	12:14 17:15	70:26 73:22
117:4 169:10	MID-SENTE	MISINFORM	110:26 111:7	75:2 78:15
MECHANIC	127:22	40:17	126:18 164:6	81:14 110:1
161:15 MEDIA 20:12	MIDDLE 7:3	MISINFORM	173:14 175:26	142:3 146:5,8
MEDIA 20:12	57:3,4 77:21	10:18	MOMENTS	147:8,18
23:16,17 24:1	82:24 140:20	MISLEADING	45:11 69:12	148:24 151:10
24:7 63:17	177:3	11:17 12:11,15	145:1 MONDAY	151:20,22 155:2 157:14
64:5,17 103:1	MILLION	14:16 59:20	MONDAY	133.4 137:14
1	I	ı	I	ı

				rage 19
157:19,20	124:16	166:16	160:10,18,19	110:20 113:5
159:18 163:6	NEED 6:17	NEWLY-AR	160:26 161:4,9	OBJECT 7:3
164:5 165:5	42:16 47:18	153:25 154:4	177:1	8:4 48:14 51:1
167:17,20	48:17 49:10	154:18	NOTICEABLE	52:24 71:1
170:10	52:7 56:12	NEWS 43:24	172:14,15	90:5,7,22
MOVED 21:2	65:19 66:18	78:7 84:26	NOTICEABLY	94:16 105:19
152:1,3,5	69:15,16 78:18	159:16	161:5	113:18 122:23
154:20 156:20	88:14,21 91:17	NEWSLETT	NOTICED	129:2 173:10
157:5 177:21	93:16,24 95:4	20:12 23:18	160:24 172:10	OBJECTED 8:9
MOVING	95:4,7,23,25	NEWSPAPER	172:12	8:18,19 53:22
108:16 130:16	95:25 96:1,3	148:8	NOVELS	OBJECTING
146:16	96:18,23 97:23	NEWSREEL	146:26	15:12 131:17
MULTIPLE	97:26 101:16	21:10	NOVEMBER	OBJECTION
87:21	102:9,14,15	NEXT-TO-L	1:17 3:2 4:2	6:25 7:12 8:18
MYSTERY	107:9,15,15	82:22	5:1 65:13	9:21,22 11:9
176:15	108:15 110:26	NGUYEN 1:8	102:1	12:9 14:21,22
	128:17,26	2:5 3:5 20:5,5	NUMBER 21:5	15:3,7,12
N	129:2 133:18	45:20,21 46:3	23:21 24:10	16:13,14 37:22
N 3:1,1	134:19 135:15	156:5,11	27:6 33:17	38:12 44:15
NAME 23:4,17	157:20 163:9	NICE 146:17	34:3 35:21	47:13 48:6,7
43:11 45:26	171:7 175:3,19	NIGHT 6:15	57:2,4 58:17	51:3,8,18 55:6
46:1,2 65:10	175:21 176:10	65:16	62:20 65:4,4	57:26 58:16
71:22 78:11	176:18 177:17	NINE 32:14	65:10 66:22	59:12 62:1
85:7 144:22,23	177:20 178:9	NIP 103:24	70:5 72:3,6	70:1 73:24
145:9,11,11	178:18	NON-PROFIT	82:8,8,16,17	77:3 78:15
156:11 157:25	NEEDED	78:13	83:19 84:18	80:3,23 81:2
159:5 176:8	112:24 150:4	NONRESPO	91:7 95:26	83:1 85:9
177:7	151:19 165:19	47:14 51:2	130:18 139:7	86:16 89:4,14
NAMES 32:5	NEEDS 63:21	58:1 59:13	140:3,4,10	91:25 94:12,14
NARRATE 88:2	64:14 95:7	60:9 75:3	142:6 175:14	105:17 108:8
NARRATIVE	102:16 172:25	78:16 148:25	177:22	109:21 110:23
59:10 60:4.7.8	173:1 175:3	159:19 170:11	NUMBERING	111:15,19,25
75:7,11,12	NEGLIGENT	NOON 176:18	111:9	112:3,10,23
130:14	27:11,23 42:20	176:20 177:7,8	NUMBERS	121:14 122:18
NATURE 100:1	NEGOTIATE	NORTH 21:1	65:3	123:16 124:18
NAVASA 78:9	158:12	NOT-PROVEN	NURSE 151:14	124:25 125:16
78:13	NEIGHBORS	87:15	151:15,16	130:4 131:11
NAVY 106:6	148:8	NOTE 11:6	157:10 165:12	130.4 131.11
129:24	NEITHER	65:11 85:15	NURSES 161:17	133:10 137:6
NEAR 6:8	178:13	99:13 113:21	NURSING	137:19 138:11
158:17	NERVOUS	114:7 161:2	151:5	139:23 140:13
NEARBY	154:1,3	NOTED 4:3	NUTSHELL	140:15 141:25
158:18	NEVER 99:21	90:25	28:20	140.13 141.23
NECESSARI		NOTES 63:20	28:20	
85:16 98:16	147:25 150:22		0	143:21 144:3
101:6	160:6 162:23	64:1,9 65:3,23	O.R.R 71:13	146:5 147:7,22 148:24 151:8
NECESSARY	162:26 163:2 NEW 6:2 23:25	66:6,16,26 98:13 99:18	78:25	148:24 151:8 151:20 152:24
16:15 66:22	74:18 92:6		O.R.R.'S 107:25	151:20 152:24 154:22 156:7
88:21 95:12,20	129:23 150:10	103:8,15 104:5 179:10	O.S.I 151:1	
98:21 121:18	150:10 162:12	NOTICE	OATH 27:19	157:19,26 159:7,18
70.21 121.10	130.10 102:12	NOTICE	J. 11 2 / . 1 /	139:/,18
	1	Ī	1	1

160:11 162:13	24:14 31:17	OKAY 6:22 7:7	OLD 20:22	OPPORTUNI
163:4,17,20	35:1,2,5 37:12	14:19 15:6	21:10 25:25	6:5 14:18,23
164:5,14 165:5	37:18 39:10	17:9 20:11,12	26:10 27:3	15:1 17:17
165:25 166:22	40:8,11,19,25	26:10 27:26	146:14 147:20	29:4 36:4
167:17,25	41:2 50:1,7,9	28:26 30:18	172:16	47:21 49:12,19
168:6,18	50:18 53:17	48:11 49:4,25	OLDER 146:23	52:8 60:26
OBJECTION'S	54:1,21	50:13 53:19	149:9 157:8	62:7 88:19
90:25	OFFENSE'	54:17 55:13,19	ONCE 6:5 48:1	95:10,13,21
OBJECTIONS	116:2,3	56:21,25 57:16	48:2 155:10	96:7,11 97:12
9:22 96:2 97:3	OFFENSE.'	58:8,14 60:1	164:18 166:13	99:3 104:3
106:26 113:22	13:9	61:17 62:14,22	ONE'S 141:16	151:1 176:14
177:23	OFFER 7:1	67:26 68:22,25	ONES 6:1 13:14	178:5
OBJECTIVE	12:12 17:13	69:2 70:17,26	28:16	OPPOSED
163:10	58:11 82:25	72:21,25 73:3	ONGOING	22:18 28:26
OBLIGATION	106:24	73:8,16,22,25	129:18	70:5 172:4
95:15	OFFERED	75:2 76:13,26	OPEN 5:5 17:25	OPPOSITION
OBSERVABLE	85:10,11,16	77:16,19,23,25	44:26 63:2,5	33:20 83:11
162:3 163:9,10	105:18 113:15	79:23 80:11	63:10,17,26	ORANGE 1:2
OBSERVATI	113:17,18	81:13 82:25	64:16 66:12	22:5 25:9 64:5
160:12	130:8 168:19	84:1 86:4,7,24	95:1 102:4	78:7,8,11,13
OBSERVE 64:2	OFFICE 12:3	87:4 94:17	104:8 109:25	78:14 156:22
173:8	41:9,12,13,14	101:10 103:9	111:2 123:20	166:20 179:4,8
OBSERVED	51:25 52:1,18	104:5,24 105:4	124:3 126:3	ORDER 10:19
162:2 164:2	61:19,21 71:13	106:10,21	135:24 171:21	40:9,17 53:12
OBTAIN 40:10	72:9,22 80:15	107:14 109:3	174:14	88:4 91:9 93:2
40:18 53:12	82:14 84:2	109:12 110:14	OPEN-HEART	165:12
93:2	86:25 87:3	111:4,14 112:1	161:14 162:6	ORDINARY
OBTAINED	88:4 89:1,19	113:4,13	166:9,10	38:26
22:11 26:12	92:22 105:2	115:26 116:4	171:12	ORGANIZAT
52:19 142:18	107:25 119:1	117:11 118:18	OPENED 46:16	12:6 21:20
142:19	141:23 152:13	121:12,25	123:12	22:16,20,25
OBTAINING	OFFICES	122:11,20	OPENING 5:18	23:3 26:20
14:3 22:26	142:20 163:1	123:6 124:9	7:2,3,21 9:10	33:21 35:18
OBVIOUS 15:8	OFFICIAL 1:26	128:24 130:25	9:12 15:7 16:3	38:18 54:10,19
76:7,10,11	13:7 31:7,14	132:26 133:2	16:10,13 17:11	76:6 78:14
OBVIOUSLY	32:13 35:12	136:25 138:8	17:13 18:6,7	83:12 108:1
7:16 15:3	47:10 54:9,19	138:18 139:9	18:13 19:3,5	147:5 150:26
44:11 63:17	115:23 179:18	140:7 141:6	19:13 39:13	152:21,23
64:24 96:19	OFFICIALLY	144:5 145:13	45:6,7 49:26	156:3
OCCASIONA	155:20	146:20 147:6	53:15 97:15	ORGANIZAT
152:14 153:10	OFFICIALS	148:12 149:12	OPENINGS	159:17
160:23	154:6	151:3,25 152:5	15:15 16:2	ORGANIZAT
OCCURRED	OH 6:7 14:12	153:3,18 155:2	17:24 100:26	89:17 92:21
103:26 136:13	32:3 43:18	155:14 156:1,5	OPINION 13:13	153:24
OCTOBER	44:9,10 56:2,2	156:18,24	119:17 120:2	ORIGINAL
154:26	71:24 73:11	157:1,5 160:1	127:2 137:26	93:11 116:8
ODDS 108:1,7	82:22 122:5	161:6 165:4,21	141:7 158:1	131:25
108:23,25	134:13 138:17	166:13,26	160:11 174:6	OSTENSIVE
OFFENSE 9:6	152:22 153:12	169:22 170:19	OPPORTUNI	22:19
13:8 19:22	160:3 169:10	177:10	59:24	OUGHT 16:19
	I	I	I	I

44.10.107.2	40.11.26.40.4	27.0 47.7	DADTICULAR	102 05 104 12
44:10 107:2	48:11,26 49:4	37:8 47:7	PARTICULAR	123:25 124:13
OUTLAST	50:14 56:25	50:15 52:12	87:4 99:24	124:16 130:1
65:16	57:2,3,5,12,21	53:19 77:15,20	128:20 142:23	146:1 147:15
OUTSIDE 5:5	57:25 58:21,24	77:21 78:3	143:1 166:7	148:20 149:6
18:6 62:25	59:8 60:24	79:6,10 82:6,8	PARTICULA	152:15,15
63:5,10,12,25	67:22 68:21	82:22,23 111:5	22:1,25 111:5	156:4,14 158:5
64:23 95:1	69:3,7,10,20	111:9,23 140:3	PARTIES 20:1	158:9 159:11
102:7 104:2	70:5,6,7,10,13	PARAGRAP	36:4 109:20	166:4 167:11
152:7 171:18	71:22 77:15,18	141:5	PARTS 48:21	167:13 168:15
174:14,16	82:7,13,23	PARALEGAL	PARTY 7:17	169:15,18
OVERALL	83:5 84:1,12	2:5	113:23 167:12	175:14
100:20,23	89:8,8 102:10	PARAPHRASE 7.20.0.10	PASS 159:25	PEOU 65:4
101:12	103:11 105:6	7:20 9:10	PASSED 74:19	PERFECT 5:26
OVERHEARD	105:12 106:22	11:13	129:26 159:26	PERFECTLY
159:11	109:17 110:10	PARAPHRA	PATH 163:21	24:15
OVERRULED	110:10,11,17	50:11 119:25	PATIENCE	PERFORMA
51:20 55:10	111:4,7,8,8	127:11	104:12 126:6	96:4 PEDIOD 24.5
59:14 62:12	113:14 114:2,5	PARAPHRA	178:23	PERIOD 24:5
78:17 80:4	114:5,9 115:6	8:4 12:15	PAUSE 94:19	147:19 151:25
85:10 86:18	125:2,4 127:1	PARENTHES	129:1	156:25 162:4
89:6,15 107:17	128:2 130:22	61:8	PAY 22:26	171:14
112:2,12 113:2	131:7 132:7,7	PARENTS	152:18 156:10	PERJURY
122:20 123:18	132:14,21	156:20 157:16	156:15 167:13	110:15
125:1 130:5	134:8,9,10,13	158:15	172:11	PERMIT 12:14
131:13 132:4	136:18,19	PARIS 20:16	PC 2:19	174:2
133:11 138:12	137:14,25	PART 6:20 8:7	PEACE 147:19	PERSECUTED
139:25 140:18	138:23 140:16	14:5 15:26	147:19	121:10
142:13,15	140:26 141:7	16:10 17:6	PENALTY	PERSON 42:2
146:6 148:26	143:16,16	20:11 22:6	110:15	43:11,13,20
151:22 152:25	PAGES 4:8 8:21	27:26 29:16	PENDING	44:8,9 88:4
154:25 156:8	9:8 10:8,25	32:15 38:25	124:16	148:20 152:17
157:20 158:2	13:11 17:7	40:22 49:4	PENDLETON	169:5 174:3
159:20 160:12	46:26,26,26	50:11 54:8	21:25	PERSONAL
162:14 165:7	47:26 57:19	68:20 69:26	PENNSYLVA	12:7 24:2
167:19 168:7	60:2,21 67:24	70:18 71:2,14	153:5	96:20
168:19	68:6 69:1,4	77:8 83:16	PEOPLE 1:8	PERSONALLY
OVERWHEL	70:4,6,9,10	88:7 92:22,23	21:1 22:17,18	43:16 142:22
33:17	73:26 83:3	105:3 112:6	22:20 23:5,19	PERSONS
P	91:11,13 105:8	125:9 127:14	23:20 24:10	84:19 DEDSDECTI
P-E-O-U 65:4	105:10 110:9	129:24 131:5	26:19,23 27:8	PERSPECTI
P.O 2:6	110:19 130:26	131:19,22	39:24 43:25	15:17 25:13
PACKAGE 4:8	136:21,23	132:3,15 133:5	44:1 53:21	PESTERING
133:21 149:14	137:1 143:10 PAID 65:6 70:1	133:20 141:10	54:26 74:23	66:5
PAGE 3:4 4:8	PAID 65:6 79:1	145:11 160:20	89:12 102:21	PETTINESS
6:26 7:10,11	PAIN 162:18	161:25 171:21	102:24 108:6	177:14 PH D 20:5 15 20
11:7 12:17,24	PANIC 148:20	177:19 PARTICIPATE	108:22 109:1	PH.D 20:5,15,20 21:4 22:12
12:26 13:6,12	PAPERWORK 149:14	18:22	120:25 121:2,5	26:6 34:4 59:1
14:3,6 15:26	PARAGRAPH	PARTICIPA	121:7,10,12,23 122:4,6 123:3	
15:26 47:5	12:25 31:5	18:25	· ·	61:5 68:24,25 68:26 82:9
13.20 17.3	12.23 31:3	10.23	123:10,13,19	00.20 82:9
	1	1	1	1

83:21 106:5	44:21 45:17	POINTS 92:26	PREPARED	PREVIOUSLY
119:6 120:6	72:20 85:4	116:7	39:3	139:16
125:11 129:23	96:13 144:18	POLICY 132:9	PREPARING	PRINCIPLE
129:23 134:6	145:14 174:21	134:26 153:26	75:18 76:14	28:11 167:8
141:9 168:5	174:25 175:16	POLITICAL	PRESENCE 5:6	PRINT 19:19
169:4,5	176:22	158:10	17:26 63:6,11	136:18
PHILADELP	PLAINTIFF'S	PORTION	63:13 66:13	PRINTED
153:5	11:23 69:25	58:24 79:2,9	95:2 102:8	77:10
PHILOSOPHY	70:8	PORTIONS	104:3,9 126:4	PRIOR 11:22
26:4 59:17	PLAINTIFFS	58:17 78:19	135:25 168:15	12:3 13:26
149:9	45:19,22 96:9	102:8 114:2	174:15,16	14:2 27:20
PHONE 65:10	101:13 143:22	POSED 95:8	PRESENT	41:6 65:23
156:14 159:11	144:15	109:8 137:13	16:22,22 26:16	92:5 94:3,6,8,9
PHONETIC	PLANE 21:15	POSITION 40:4	78:24 96:7,10	94:12 122:24
43:24	PLANNED	42:25 66:21	96:14 97:10,12	132:7,14 141:3
PHOTOCOPI	151:18	72:21 119:13	PRESENTAT	PRISONERS
98:10	PLAYING	146:9 165:12	9:12 16:24	158:10
PHOTOGRA	175:11	POSSIBLE	96:5	PRISTINE
64:19 103:15	PLAZA 98:6	34:17 88:16	PRESENTED	178:16
PHOTOGRA	PLEASE 18:7	95:17 97:11	17:18 55:9	PRIVATE
64:13	45:20,26 48:5	99:5 175:4	96:22	33:21 83:11
PHOTOS	58:21 62:10	POST 123:3	PRESENTING	PRIVILEGE
146:18	63:2 67:19	POST-LAWS	28:25 53:11	125:17
PHRASED	73:23 92:14	121:15 124:22	130:2	PRIVILEGES
51:19	104:26 109:25	POST-LITIG	PRESENTLY	146:11
PHYSICAL	120:18 136:1	122:19	56:8 173:3	PRO 1:26 158:5
149:15,16	144:21 145:9	POSTED 123:9	PRESENTS	179:7,18
160:13 161:18	145:13 175:13	124:13 129:17	99:2	PROBABLY
PHYSICALLY	PLENTY 61:20	POTENTIAL	PRESIDENT	21:9 23:21
157:16	128:18	52:2 98:20	22:24 79:18	55:25 99:16
PHYSICIANS	PLUS 47:3	175:7 176:2,8	80:9 81:12	145:2 156:11
161:17	POINT 7:8 9:3	POTENTIAL	133:3	159:14 161:5
PICK 12:8 63:7	9:8 13:22	19:10 113:23	PRESIDENTS	172:4
104:17 135:18	15:11 46:4	PRACTICE	148:9	PROBATIVE
147:26	52:14 58:18	107:25 123:2	PRESIDING	12:13
PICKED 21:16	63:8 65:26	PRAISE 152:16	1:15	PROBLEM
PICTURE 21:9	66:4 70:9	PRECEDED	PRESS 84:26	18:10 30:9
PIN 102:8	76:17 81:13	133:21 134:15	147:1	55:21 65:1
PLACE 98:3	85:1 88:21	PREFER 7:1	PRESUMES	100:22 164:19
PLACES 109:16	91:18 93:25	PREFERRED	123:16 124:25	166:14 176:19
PLAINTIFF 1:6	102:6,12 107:8	169:11	PRESUMING	177:6
2:3 3:4 5:11	107:11 139:1	PREJUDICIAL	7:18	PROBLEMS
20:2,3,14	152:19 161:3	12:13	PRETEND 8:7	43:15,19 65:20
29:10,19,22	161:13 167:19	PREMARKED	11:17	66:18 162:24
30:2,8,11,18	171:25 174:25	4:3	PRETTY	163:3,13,16
32:25 35:8	175:5	PREPARATI	164:22 174:19	165:17
36:6,10 37:1	POINTING	176:25	PREVIEW	PROCEED
37:11,14,15,19	41:1 134:1	PREPARE 12:4	19:12	130:19
39:7 40:23	138:25 139:20	132:23 176:14	PREVIOUS	PROCEEDING
42:25 43:2,14	140:24	178:5	78:26	103:14

PROCEEDIN	64:14,18	165:11	61:7 79:2	118:4,15,18
5:5 17:25 63:5	PROHIBITION	PUBLICATI	101:7 102:23	119:13,17
63:10 66:12	174:7	10:12 27:16	114:20 137:8	120:2,9 121:16
95:1 102:4	PROJECT	28:6,12 38:16	178:18	121:18 122:23
103:18 104:8	79:21	90:12 170:4	PUTTING 16:2	122:25 124:15
126:3 135:24	PROJECTS	PUBLICATI	19:17	127:1,16,20,24
174:14 179:11	108:1	29:20 38:23	17.17	129:4 130:16
PROCESS 8:22	PROMINENT	73:14	Q	131:16 133:19
18:22 19:1	153:14	PUBLISH 29:22	QUALIFY	133:22,24
35:16 47:19	PROMISE 62:4	48:9,11 70:13	61:10	134:20,21
48:23 62:4	175:23 177:4	77:25 83:5	QUARTER	137:3,7,9,12
78:26 86:1	PROOF 85:19	107:10 121:25	94:19 125:7	137:13,21,24
94:2 99:26	85:22 93:9	122:11 139:4	QUESTION	138:5,6,9,15
177:11	96:13	PUBLISHED	7:10,19 8:6,9	138:17,22
PRODUCT 24:2	PROPOSAL	13:20 24:13	8:10,13,16,17	139:25 140:1,8
24:8	40:6 57:20	30:15 43:22	8:19 9:7,21	140:20 141:3
PROFESSION	70:21 79:20	44:3 48:12	10:1,2,7,8,23	143:7,15,26
178:6	PROPOSALS	56:10 58:18,22	11:5,22 14:10	152:25 159:9
PROFESSIO	32:8,8 41:13	70:15,16 73:1	14:15,23,24	159:19 162:2
177:17	41:16,20	78:7,8 79:7	18:3,5 31:16	163:9 165:8
PROFESSOR	118:12,13	83:7 112:20	34:21 36:10,25	170:9,15 172:6
20:14,14,17,21	PROPOSED	117:7,24 118:5	37:3 47:20,21	QUESTIONED
21:1,26 23:2	178:10	118:16 120:23	47:24 51:10	140:10
23:10 24:4,10	PROSPECTI	128:10 139:16	58:3 59:22,25	QUESTIONI
24:16,22,25	45:15	139:17 148:2	60:10,17,18,21	65:22 67:4
26:1,15,18	PROTECT	157:4 159:17	61:1 62:6,9	96:11
28:2 50:9 53:7	44:25 75:22	PUBLISHER	68:12 69:2,20	QUESTIONS
56:26 57:12	PROTECTED	148:3	69:23 75:6,9	20:8 27:7,7
59:1 60:2 61:5	28:11 102:17	PUBLISHES	75:14,17 77:3	36:4 93:17
80:19 81:7	PROTECTION	23:24	77:6,26 78:5	95:8,14,16
105:26 106:19	13:24	PUBLISHING	80:17,18 85:12	97:16 100:9
109:25 145:15	PROUD 154:13	27:24 73:9	88:1,13,15,15	110:2 126:16
145:26 146:2,3	PROVEN 85:26	128:6	88:18,20,22,23	129:3,10 144:5
146:7 153:18	PROVES 11:23	PUFF 34:18	90:20 91:17	144:8 169:21
155:19 168:16	PROVIDE 77:1	PULL 31:24	92:3,4,9,14	173:15
169:2,2,3,5,6	82:15 85:19	PULLED 41:15	93:11,19 94:3	QUICK 7:5 18:5
169:11,12,19	119:2 136:11	54:15	94:6,8,9,11,12	149:18 174:19
PROFESSORS	PROVIDED	PURPORTE	94:16 95:11	QUICKLY 6:6
169:15	40:9,17 65:10	43:4	97:6 99:21	28:26 99:19
PROFFERED	114:14	PURPOSE 7:17	100:5 105:19	152:1
113:20	PROVIDING	8:11 105:15	108:18,22	QUITE 25:10
PROFICIENT	38:25 153:23	108:11	109:3,8,8,14	37:23 56:12
164:22	PROVING 9:3	PURPOSES	111:13,18	99:23 155:7
PROGRAM	PSYCHIATR	7:14 23:22	112:2,13,15	158:23 160:21
24:2,7 84:26	153:4	PUSHED 20:26	114:13,18	171:14 177:11
PROGRAMS	PUBLIC 20:6	PUT 12:20	115:1,7,13,16	177:24
23:26 30:23	33:1 42:10	17:18 23:16	115:26 116:4	QUOTATION
PROGRESS	43:20 63:18,26	24:23 48:13	116:14,16,21	111:13,21
75:25	64:16,17 78:22	49:9,10 55:3	117:6,6,11,15	112:6
PROHIBITED	103:14 151:16	56:12 58:21	117:17,23	QUOTE 31:6

				rage 24
33:17 41:4,6	READERSHIP	70:2 72:9	63:19	30:23 41:13
128:3	123:15	73:14 83:10	RECORDING	71:13 80:16
QUOTING	READING 8:2	87:18 107:5	63:20 64:12,19	89:19 92:22
34:11	10:25 12:14	131:12 142:14	103:16	93:14 105:2
34.11	15:2 24:6	143:22 146:9	RECORDS 43:3	121:8 132:9
R	35:23,24 49:19	148:7	RECOVER	134:25 152:10
R-U-O-N-G	60:20 82:21	RECEIVING	42:26	154:4,18
145:12	92:10 113:18	34:13,23 42:7	RECOVERED	REFUGEES
R.O.V.R 10:11	113:20 114:13	82:11 87:13	161:13 162:8	21:21 22:3,21
23:26 24:2,7	120:13 126:22	119:10	RECOVERING	23:7 44:20
24:19,24	126:26	RECESS 62:24	166:8	75:22 108:26
RADIO 84:26	READY 18:4	63:4,9 94:22	RECOVERY	129:17 150:8
RAIL 103:16	46:17 136:3	101:20 135:14	125:18 162:10	150:17 151:2
RAISE 15:7	REAL 99:18	135:22	171:15	153:25 154:8
83:19 102:14	152:1	RECKLESS	REDIRECT 3:8	161:26
RAISED 43:12	REALIZE	27:23	135:7,19 136:6	REGARD 107:6
RAISING 44:6	178:6	RECKLESSLY	137:2 174:17	REGARDING
RAMIFICAT	REALLOCA	28:17	REFER 20:14	6:25 30:22
141:16	100:21	RECOGNIZE	20:19 25:13	33:11 58:2
RAMP 21:16	REALLY 29:15	46:20 56:15,17	26:23 27:17	91:26 134:11
RANCHO 2:7	30:10 86:26	67:19 71:9,11	74:10 145:15	REGARDLESS
RE-ASK 75:9	93:16 97:26	72:6 81:15,18	168:16	80:8 125:23
REACHED	155:17 162:25	104:24 110:8	REFERENCE	REGIME
173:25	172:3	120:19,21	10:15 58:4	121:11
READ 7:19 8:10	REALTIME	RECOLLECT	76:13 91:1	REGISTER
9:1,13 10:3,21	74:14	126:23	108:3 131:2	6:20
13:2 14:7,9,20	REASON 6:21	RECOLLEC	137:17	REGISTERED
15:2,5,13 16:9	24:18,21 57:16	90:1,8,13,14	REFERRED	11:9 151:15
16:19 17:5,7	59:7	91:4,22 92:3,5	8:26 25:8	REGULATED
19:24 40:21,22	REASONABLE	92:17 93:12	26:18 27:18	86:3
43:7 49:6	11:19 32:17	95:17 100:10	59:9 117:20	REGULATIO
51:16 62:9,11	REASONAB	105:15,19,25	136:23 169:4	52:3
76:1,15 78:3	42:14	109:19 146:16	REFERRING	REJOINED
79:9,12 83:19	REASONS 15:8	147:20 149:2	11:22 38:7	66:14 104:10
86:7 98:16	21:5 39:9	155:14 156:1	53:3 74:13	135:26
102:9 111:12	157:5 171:20	170:18	110:9 127:21	RELATED
111:17,21	REASSERT	RECORD 5:8,9	136:21 137:15	102:10 142:23
113:13,22	112:3	6:21 7:9,14	137:25 140:2	RELATING
114:4,12 115:6	REBUTTAL	24:11 25:13	141:4	129:17 130:26
115:8 116:23	176:22	30:21,22 46:1	REFERS 10:8	141:3 163:7,8
118:3 122:1	RECALL 20:24	62:11 63:12	22:16 25:14	RELATION
123:12,13,20	21:22,24 44:26	64:7 70:4	33:4	63:17 73:9
124:3 127:1	57:8 89:23	73:26 91:12	REFRESH	166:8
128:5,8,13	106:17,22	95:24 99:4	89:26 90:7,13	RELATIONS
137:21 148:8	108:22	102:5,11 105:8	90:14 91:4,21	158:7
READER 38:26	RECEIVE 31:3	113:26 114:4,7	92:2,16 93:12	RELATIONS
44:13,14 51:22	66:16 107:2	114:8 144:22	100:10 105:25	88:4,26 145:14
61:13	RECEIVED	145:8 171:4	REFRESHED	RELATIVELY
READERS	33:17 50:25	178:17,19	105:15,18	154:17 161:21
43:22 123:24	58:12 65:22	RECORDED	REFUGEE 23:1	RELATIVES
			l	

159:15	99:12	REPUTATION	RESETTLE	RESPONSIB
RELEASING	REMOVE 85:7	28:5 30:5,24	21:21 41:14	29:12 30:1
65:24	RENEW 108:8	43:6 44:7	71:13 80:16	35:25 38:17
RELEVANCE	110:26 111:15	167:2	89:19 93:14	65:8 129:18
80:23 81:3	REPATRIAT	REQUEST	105:2	177:2
89:14 109:6,22	75:23 130:1	11:11,13,14	RESIDENTS	RESPONSIVE
121:14 122:19	REPATRIAT	15:13 34:6	25:10	133:22
124:18,25	74:20,22	41:14 58:13	RESIGNED	REST 50:15
125:16 133:10	REPEAT	60:20 82:13	155:15	RESTRAIN
138:11 142:12	108:20 133:24	84:2 89:7,12	RESOLVED	24:18,21
143:11 151:8	REPHRASE	89:19 90:15	125:9	RESULT 11:12
154:22 164:16	77:5,6 105:20	92:5 112:26	RESOURCE	119:24 127:9
RELEVANT	173:12,13	118:26 119:22	33:22,23 131:8	RESULTED
110:2	REPORT 10:19	119:25 120:1	131:9	34:13,22 42:7
RELIANCE 8:4	11:12 13:2	127:8,10,12	RESPECT	82:11 87:13
RELIED 11:5	115:9 119:24	138:24 139:21	11:18 18:26	119:10
12:1 73:1,6	127:9 141:19	140:4,25	26:23 53:21	RESUME 4:7
100:7	REPORTED	142:25 143:1	170:26 177:13	26:1 39:5
RELY 32:18	92:12 115:3	176:23	RESPECTED	53:24 54:14
41:18 74:7	REPORTER	REQUESTED	33:18	55:2 56:26
RELYING	1:26 11:7 54:4	52:17,21	RESPECTFUL	57:12 58:7
32:17 33:12	60:5 63:15,25	105:25	178:8	60:2,18,18,22
REMAIN 63:21	64:8 68:3	REQUESTING	RESPECTFU	60:24,25 61:4
164:7	74:21 106:3	10:16 31:7,14	176:23	61:24 62:6,8
REMAINDER	126:2 128:26	32:6 35:12	RESPOND	65:22 67:3,23
16:12,19	134:18 179:6	47:10 52:13	76:16 80:5	68:13,20 69:7
REMAINING	179:18	65:7 88:8	86:19 108:18	69:11,21,26
125:6 175:25	REPORTER'S	91:23 99:2	109:7 121:21	70:8,21 79:22
REMAINS	1:16 179:1	106:18 118:10	133:18 139:26	94:23 130:26
31:19 87:15	REPORTERS	141:14,15	153:2 156:9	132:7,14
REMEMBER	64:5	REQUESTS	159:9 175:10	133:21 134:15
23:16 24:9	REPORTING	40:1,2 50:26	RESPONDED	RESUMES 26:2
25:10 27:2	85:17	65:25	55:10 134:23	61:25 62:15
37:8 64:10	REPRESENT	REQUIRED	168:22	RETIRE 155:17
65:17 72:5,10	35:9	12:5 64:5	RESPONDING	165:11
73:8 106:5	REPRESENT	REQUIRES	47:23	RETIRED
108:6 109:3,9	38:3,4	109:9	RESPONSE	151:17 155:18
146:8 149:5	REPRESENT	RESCUING	16:15 29:10	155:20 156:24
150:21,25	103:2	121:9	41:14 51:8	RETIREMENT
154:2 164:25	REPRESENT	RESEARCH	59:23 76:5	24:5
167:6,7	32:14 DEDDESENT	42:1 62:26	78:4 94:5,9	RETURN 72:15
REMEMBER 76:22 148:1	REPRESENT	117:24 128:9	95:14 116:20 116:22 137:9	76:17 95:3 103:2 130:18
149:4 153:26	10:6 35:20 39:20	128:18 129:13 151:2 160:23	140:8 170:13	103:2 130:18
155:16 168:24	REPRESENT	174:1,7	RESPONSES	161:19
REMIND 45:1	33:24 134:2	RESEARCH	43:14	REVIEW 8:20
45:15 146:18	REPUBLICA	150:26	RESPONSIBI	69:16 137:1
REMINDER	125:18,20	RESERVING	147:13	REVIEWED
45:4	REPUBLISH	135:4 144:9	RESPONSIBI	27:15,16 81:25
REMINDING	125:14,24	173:16,18	80:8 81:12	91:19 106:17
KEMINDING	123.17,24	1/3.10,10	00.0 01.12	71.17 100.17
	•	•	•	•

61:14	172:26 173:1,6	89:22,24 90:18	44:4 52:24	SEATED 20:22
REVIEWING	173:13,16,18	91:24 92:19	53:7,10,11,15	144:25
74:14	173:22,24	93:9,15 105:26	53:26 54:2,5	SECOND 16:16
REVISING 6:1	174:16 176:3	106:19 108:3,7	60:19 74:8	17:18 30:17
REY 2:15	176:10	108:23,24,25	83:15 96:3	56:5 76:5
RID 178:12	RIGHT-HAND	109:20 118:11	98:19 127:15	77:20,21 79:5
RIDE 99:23	57:3	155:15,21	139:21 140:8	79:10 84:1
RIDICULOUS	RIGHTS 153:14	S.E.A.R.A.C.'S	SAYS 9:11,12	85:3 145:11,16
177:12	RIGOROUS	32:8 54:16	9:13 12:9 13:4	151:3 161:24
RIGHT 5:16 7:6	52:20	85:6,7 118:12	13:6,7 14:6,10	171:21 176:4
7:16 11:12	RISK 9:2,2,11	S.O.S 1:8 14:3	24:7 25:15,22	SECONDLY
14:17 18:15,18	80:26	22:20 23:5	28:6 30:18	107:4
19:16 28:19	RISKS 17:14	39:24 89:12	32:19 33:16	SECTION
34:17 36:19,26	ROADMAP	108:6,23	34:8 35:18	163:20
37:5 38:23	19:6 99:10	120:25 121:23	37:11 38:4,21	SECURE 78:12
40:19 45:3	ROB 153:13,16	S.S.A 56:19	40:5,16,23,23	SECURITY
46:11,24,25	ROBERT 25:10	SAFETY 87:22	47:8 52:12	56:20 67:9
48:16,26 52:15	71:18 74:15	SAIGON 21:9	53:20 57:2	143:2,17
57:19,21 61:8	77:9 83:20	21:10 145:19	59:4 65:5	SEE 8:21 12:17
62:22 63:13	ROLE 17:2	148:10,14,23	74:14 79:15,17	18:23,25 19:9
66:9,11 69:18	ROOM 18:26	149:17	82:3,17 83:9	23:9,12 25:3
75:14 81:6,22	49:15,20	SAM 146:3	87:7,8 107:23	25:18 26:25
82:2 84:13	102:23	SAN 156:4	115:20 116:1	27:15 28:16,24
88:24 90:24	ROPERS 2:19	SANCTIONI	116:12 133:17	31:2 32:12
91:19 95:3,6	5:15	97:9	SCAN 165:2	35:21 43:3
97:26 104:4,6	ROSE 22:23	SANTA 2:7 5:1	SCANNING	47:6 48:15,26
104:18 105:14	ROTH 109:18	102:1	164:24	49:2 50:14
105:21 107:7,9	ROUGHLY	SANTOLI	SCHEDULE	56:26 57:12
109:7 110:12	172:23	41:11 42:2	100:25	58:24 66:3,26
110:19 111:10	ROW 172:26	71:19,25,26	SCHEDULED	67:23 70:20
113:21,26	RULE 51:3	72:11,12,13,24	65:13	71:21 72:2
115:12 116:23	60:11	74:17 75:24	SCHEDULING	79:5 86:8
118:4 119:23	RULES 64:6	86:21 129:16	66:18 177:3	87:10 90:2
120:7 121:19	RUN 104:15	SAT 76:15	SCHOOL 22:10	91:1 93:26
122:1,7,14		SAVE 129:26	26:9 147:26	94:16 98:13,25
123:5 125:8,26	S	SAVING 104:13	150:10	99:22 105:18
127:8,25 128:7	S 3:1,1 4:1	104:15	SCHOOLS	108:4 111:4
130:5 134:4	S- 146:3	SAW 30:4,4	150:11,11	112:5 121:19
135:4,26 136:4	S.E.A.R.A.C	67:23 116:15	SCIENCE 151:5	125:6,12 141:6
137:12 138:5	10:18 23:4,5	147:14 158:24	SCOPE 55:7	141:10 142:5,8
138:25 139:3	25:25 31:9	160:21 162:23	SCOREKEE	143:1,4,4,18
139:22 140:2	32:7 33:7	164:21 165:16	102:21	143:19 150:19
140:25 141:12	35:14 39:26	SAYING 10:13	SCOREKEE	157:8 162:12
142:3 144:9,16	41:25 47:12	10:13,15,23	102:22,25	163:20 164:7
145:17,21	50:22,25 54:7	13:26 16:10	SCREEN 49:7	165:3,3,24
148:14 151:22	56:18 61:21	17:7 21:12	49:22 56:7	166:7,18,20
152:7,9 153:24	72:20 75:26	24:26 31:12,15	SCROLL 87:9	167:9,22
155:5 159:4,22	78:9,10 79:17	32:10,22,23,24	89:8	172:26
161:3,9 165:7	83:12 85:19,21	34:5,6 35:3,4	SEAT 65:4 95:3	SEEING 19:7
172:2,9,16,23	88:9 89:2,13	37:1 41:16	144:7,11	19:12 78:2
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				rage 27
161:6 164:23	158:16	SHORTCUT	134:25	SLEEPING
SEEK 95:11	SEPTEMBER	58:10	SIGNIFICAN	162:24 163:2
SEEKING	120:22 163:23	SHORTEN	134:10	SMALL 49:7
125:18 152:25	SEQUENCING	28:22	SIGNIFICANT	SMALLER
SEEN 61:18,20	175:21	SHORTHAND	162:15	136:18
103:2 143:6,19	SEQUITOUS	179:10	SIGNING 35:26	SMITH 23:25
168:10,15,23	99:23	SHORTLY 5:17	40:1 106:22	25:14 27:18
177:13	SERIES 32:2,5	SHOW 16:4,7	SIGNS 158:24	31:7 32:4 47:9
SELECTION	118:7	19:14 26:12,14	SILVERMAN	52:12 74:15,18
100:26	SERVE 72:13	29:18 35:7	2:13 5:13	75:22 76:3,3
SELF-PROM	108:2,26	38:1,8,14,15	SIMILAR 22:16	116:24 117:1,3
34:3 82:9	SERVED 84:20	38:22,24 39:3	61:23 62:12	117:20 118:9
83:21 119:6	85:20	39:8 44:18	143:5	SNIPPET 15:22
SENATE 154:1	SERVICE	45:9,14 54:8	SIMILARLY	SO-CALLED
154:16	153:24 174:12	54:18 60:1	123:9 124:12	8:3 36:7
SENATOR	SERVICES	62:15 79:23	SIMPLE 75:17	SOCIAL 56:19
109:18	67:21 70:22	89:7 90:24	SIMPLY 58:3	67:9 143:2,17
SENATORS	71:16 87:23	91:3 106:20	121:18 130:8	152:16
154:4	91:23 92:1,23	158:24 160:20	168:20 170:15	SOCIETY 22:5
SEND 106:10,16	105:3	164:20	SIMULTANE	22:22 148:3,4
122:6,9	SESSION 5:2	SHOWED	23:6	158:9 170:21
SENDING	64:24 94:24	41:12 53:21	SINGLE 39:5	SOMEBODY
106:22	102:2	143:20	43:11,13	23:10 28:17
SENSE 92:20	SET 24:11 30:21	SHOWING	SINGLED	83:20 87:16
141:2 150:14	30:22 115:13	90:7,12 92:2	30:11	120:4 141:9
164:12	SETS 23:8	167:9	SINGLING	142:20 168:25
SENT 31:7	SETTINGS	SHOWN 41:20	37:17	171:4 173:1
35:12 47:10	169:18	42:13 68:2,4	SIR 172:24	175:9
52:13 88:7	SETTLE 46:6	79:10 90:26	SISTER 149:9	SOMEBODY'S
109:20 122:22	145:1 153:25	96:22 105:12	SISTER-IN-L	15:7
124:9	SETTLEMENT	SIBLINGS	157:13	SOMEDAY
SENTENCE	92:22	155:12	SIT 64:1,4,8,17	154:14
12:24 50:20	SEVEN 107:26	SIDE 45:1 75:23	102:22 103:7	SON 20:12
51:14 71:22	109:16	75:24 98:7	103:14 113:8	SONG 23:16,17
82:17,24	SHAME 28:12	101:13 102:13	SITTING	24:1,7 120:24
114:24 116:6	42:25 43:18	147:16	172:26	121:2,26
129:14 141:4	44:5	SIDEBAR 126:2	SITUATION	122:11 123:4
151:21	SHARE 54:2	SIDES 18:14	147:21	123:23
SENTENCES	87:2 93:20	45:5 96:6	SIX 91:13	SOON 26:21
13:1,10 14:7	100:3 101:14	97:12	105:10 150:15	148:21 175:4
51:16 112:5,8	163:12 176:8	SIGN 12:6	161:14 171:17	175:24
112:9,19,20	SHARED 54:5	35:17 39:26	SIX-PAGE	SORBONNE
113:6,9 114:17	84:22 154:13	106:7,16	91:14	20:15 21:4
115:8 123:13	162:23 165:22	132:24	SKIPPED 14:5	SORRY 20:8
125:15 126:9	169:3	SIGNAL 138:8	SKIPPING	23:23 51:5
137:18,23	SHARING	SIGNATURE	16:12	56:4 60:6 62:3
165:6	150:21 154:2	110:9,17 133:3	SKY 41:18	68:3,11 71:24
SEPARATE	178:4	SIGNED 35:19	SLACK 178:7	74:3 82:7,21
50:14,20	SHORT 21:8	39:3 79:19	SLAPP 110:20	108:14 109:25
SEPARATELY	75:21 100:26	105:6,7 110:14	110:21 117:19	110:10 120:26
	l	I	I	I

				rage 20
121:16 122:5	145:10	26:6,17 31:8	29:10 42:12	135:10
121:10 122:3	SPEND 28:24	34:1,13,23,26	43:17 44:21,24	STRICKEN
131:24 138:20	101:5 107:18	35:13 40:5	45:6,7,9 53:11	47:16 51:8
143:25 159:3	129:15 147:24	45:26 47:11	110:20 124:23	58:3 110:3
160:14 161:10	160:25 165:12	50:6,17 51:25	130:3 137:4	147:10 164:8
171:2	SPENDING	51:26 52:18	164:8 178:19	STRICT 148:1
SORT 22:13	100:3 129:24	61:26 67:11	STATES 12:20	STRICT 148.1
64:12 175:23	SPENT 28:22	79:25 81:21	33:19 34:1,18	86:2
176:7	147:26 161:14	82:11 85:23	114:19 123:24	STRIKE 47:13
SORTS 64:13	SPRING 30:2	86:2 87:13	STATESMAN	51:1,4 52:5,25
103:15	72:22	89:24 92:24,25	20:23 28:3	57:26 59:12
SOUND 15:18	SS 179:3	116:11 118:24	20:23 28:3 STATING	60:9,13 75:2,9
15:22 24:8	STACK 41:13	119:10 144:21	40:12	78:15 133:6
	STAFF 41:9,10		STATIONARY	
SOURCES	/	166:6 179:3,7		146:5 147:8
27:20	41:11,21 42:2	STATE' 114:23	32:14 93:13	148:24 151:20 157:19 159:18
SOUTH 2:20	66:6 71:19	STATE?' 12:23	105:4	
20:23 21:2	72:19 78:25	STATED 65:26	STATUS 22:2	163:6 164:5
28:3 33:21	79:1 89:1 93:5	72:23 113:5	168:5	165:5 167:17
84:21 145:19	93:7	134:15,24,26	STAY 148:20	170:10
SOUTHEAST	STAFFER 54:6	STATEMENT	STEIN 153:13	STRONG 164:3
109:2	72:17 79:11	7:3 8:5 9:5	153:16 154:2,5	STUDENT
SPAN 87:23	STAGE 23:9	10:14 11:4,19	168:26	129:23
SPEAK 16:16	STAND 45:20	12:9 15:3 16:3	STEP 95:4	STUDENTS
104:3 128:24	66:26 67:2	16:10 17:11,13	STEPHEN 2:18	20:16 146:1
SPEAKING	99:20	19:3,5,13,17	5:14	154:7
8:18 95:6 96:1	STANDS 146:22	19:23,24,26	STEPHEN.E	STUDIED 21:3
97:2 125:22	START 6:10	24:23 25:20,22	2:22	STUDIES 39:2
157:15 177:22	10:25 18:13,16	26:13 27:8,11	STICK 101:16	STUFF 10:11
SPECIALIST	19:16 46:7,8	27:12,22 29:9	STIPULATION	29:8 37:15
65:13	48:17 77:17	29:16 30:10,26	143:12	44:2 95:18
SPECIALS 6:1	82:5 88:17	31:2,5,10 33:7	STOP 11:10	114:10
SPECIFIC	95:5,7 96:9	33:11 35:8,11	59:21 95:23	SU 146:2
47:20,24 95:14	101:6,16	35:14 37:9	132:2,2,2	SUBCOMMI
122:21 124:19	127:16 135:10	39:13 40:9,16	STOPPING	109:18
134:20 146:2	174:11,18	41:7,22 42:17	165:7	SUBJECT
SPECIFICAL	STARTED	42:20,24 43:13	STOPS 56:5	24:19,21 26:21
33:19 61:26	46:11 51:6	44:3,7,10 47:6	STORY 45:1	27:14 34:7
107:25	57:21 66:10,23	49:26 50:10,13	154:2	55:16 149:8
SPECIFY 61:10	89:18 99:13	50:15 53:14	STRAIGHT	154:7 174:3
SPECIFYING	158:23 165:1	59:16 77:9	24:11 30:21,22	SUBMIT 31:18
134:5,6	169:22	79:24 85:17	62:22 140:7	42:14
SPECULATI	STARTING	109:6 115:21	STRAIGHTF	SUBMITTED
80:24 81:2	45:11 55:15	125:18 128:22	30:13	54:7 57:24
123:17 147:7	63:1 108:10	130:6 141:6	STREAMLINE	80:7 133:5
156:7 164:14	130:13	142:1 168:20	94:20	143:2,16 174:6
167:25	STARTS 26:3	170:3,15,16	STREAMLIN	SUBMITTING
SPELL 19:23	82:24 95:26	179:11	104:13	36:9,11
46:1 144:21	STATE 1:1	STATEMENTS	STREET 2:20	SUBSCRIBED
145:9	10:17 19:22	11:24 14:13	STRESS 162:22	121:2
SPELLED 20:9	21:25 25:24	15:10 18:13	STRETCH	SUBSCRIBE
	l			l

				rage 29
121:18 122:5	97:13 108:2,26	T- 145:12	TAUGHT 149:9	49:10,11 62:5
SUBSCRIPTI	176:21	T-H-A-N-G	168:13	77:1 90:12
121:6	SUPPOSEDLY	46:2	TAX 40:18	95:24 96:21
SUCCESSFUL	92:7	T-H-U 144:23	53:13	99:13 114:9
22:25	SURE 6:24 18:9	145:11	TEACH 155:22	116:5 131:14
SUED 76:20,23	19:18 66:25	T-R-U-O-N-G	155:23 169:7,9	149:20 163:7
SUFFERED	69:13 78:2	144:24	169:12	163:25 172:11
28:12 42:25	96:18 99:9	TABS 158:19	TEACHING	175:20
SUFFICIENT	101:13,16	TAKE 8:20 11:1	155:18 169:12	TERRIBLE
14:22 93:25	103:10,13,20	15:17 36:3	TECHNICAL	42:25 44:5
SUGGESTED	104:2,4 114:2	38:2,7 46:25	129:3	TESTIFIED
42:19 113:20	114:9 117:25	55:13 56:11,14	TELEPHONE	27:13 45:23
SUGGESTING	128:10,24	62:23 63:7,19	83:10	93:8 143:18
10:3	136:12 163:17	64:1,8 68:6	TELEPHONES	144:19
SUGGESTIO	163:19 174:26	69:10,12,16	33:17	TESTIFIES
15:2	176:4,5	71:4,7 81:14	TELL 8:15	21:6
SUGGESTS	SURGERY	93:23 94:18,22	14:10 22:9	TESTIFY 91:18
35:22	161:14 162:7	103:8,15 104:5	23:18 44:5,5	145:6 154:1
SUITCASES	166:9,10	111:12 120:18	47:2 68:18	TESTIFYING
150:5	171:12,21	135:6,6,15	71:17 79:15	11:3 85:9
SUITE 2:14,20	SURPRISE	149:25 157:13	81:15 82:2	86:17
SUMMARIES	161:17 177:9	159:12 161:11	97:5 104:26	TESTIMONY
45:9	SURROUNDI	TAKEN 15:18	105:14 113:8	6:25 12:1
SUMMARIZE	60:23	25:6 130:6	114:16 118:4	15:13 16:9
157:23	SUSTAIN 51:7	177:25	118:19 141:18	17:12 18:6,15
SUMMER	77:6 141:26	TAKES 24:14	142:10 145:8	19:12 30:22
155:10	143:13 173:12	TALK 20:1	145:13,17,21	36:5 41:21
SUPERIOR 1:1	SUSTAINED	48:20 64:23	150:6 151:3	45:11 126:23
179:7	38:13 44:17	103:1,21	165:23 167:5	139:24
SUPERVISOR	47:15 52:26	136:18 147:19	167:12 175:24	TEXTBOOKS
65:9	80:24 81:4	154:16 166:4	176:15,17	146:26
SUPPORT	109:23 124:19	167:13 176:6	TELLING	THANG 1:8 3:5
25:19 27:15	132:19 143:23	TALKED 5:22	19:14 50:8	5:9 13:1,14
42:13 43:3	144:4 164:15	53:4 57:9	53:14 61:11	20:5,5 22:9,23
57:22,24 60:8	165:26 166:24	114:1 158:5	80:11 83:20	23:5,15 24:13
74:17 110:20	168:1 170:12	162:26 171:11	86:11 139:19	24:14 27:10
120:10 132:10	SWEAR 68:17	171:11 178:4,9	140:23 155:16	30:11,14 31:22
133:16 134:1	SWEARING	TALKING 7:22	162:6 163:8	32:2 39:9 40:1
134:26 164:21	65:23	9:14 12:2	167:11 168:24	40:4 42:3
170:7	SWORE 66:19	13:11 14:3	TELLS 123:25	44:19 45:20,21
SUPPORTED	68:17	17:10,11,11	TEM 179:18	46:2,9,15,20
89:18	SWORN 45:23	23:25 67:7	TEMPORE	47:26 48:26
SUPPORTING	144:19	74:26 93:5	1:26 179:7	49:25 51:13
44:19 55:8	SYSTEMATIC	117:2 126:13	TEN 155:13,13	53:3 57:8 61:3
57:23	52:20	127:24 137:22	TEND 120:10	67:1,7 70:20
SUPPORTS	SYSTEMS	138:14 139:4	134:1	71:7 74:6
13:21 77:9	151:1	149:5 154:4	TENS 74:20,23	75:17 76:13,19
118:20	т	169:14	129:26	79:9 88:3
SUPPOSED	$\frac{\mathbf{T}}{\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T}}$	TALKS 12:3	TERMS 7:24	89:11 91:21
14:11 55:7	T 3:1 4:1	26:8	16:23 45:5	92:9 94:2
	I	l	l	l

105:23 107:23	70:23 131:3	100:6 103:8	55:16 56:19	TITLED 148:20
109:25 112:6	134:5,6 149:3	107:2,7 117:18	58:19 62:24	TODAY 29:6
113:4 115:7	149:13,23	117:21 136:13	63:3,8 65:14	39:10 62:15,18
119:18 120:15	150:5	140:20 146:26	66:19 68:6	66:1 94:18
126:9,22 127:2	THING 17:6	152:1 154:14	69:10,16 73:12	96:26 100:24
128:2 136:8	18:14 24:7	154:18,24	74:16 75:18	113:8 145:6
137:12,26	26:24 29:9	155:26 161:16	79:18 81:25	172:16
139:19 143:15	30:9 33:5 40:7	170:9 171:24	87:19 90:5	TOLD 21:14
144:9 156:5,11	66:22 85:25	177:24 178:12	93:25 94:21,25	27:19 65:19
159:17 164:3,8	90:11 95:23	THIRD 82:17	95:11,13 97:19	84:23 86:26
THANG'S 24:2	100:20 129:9	82:17,17,24	97:22,24 99:8	91:25 94:7
24:8 25:6	146:25 147:17	85:5	100:4,4,19,20	103:8,21
42:24 157:24	148:4,5 152:14	THOUGHT	100:21,23	106:10 137:2
159:5 162:17	153:11 161:24	9:19 25:16	101:6,8 102:6	147:15 149:25
THANK 5:16	THINGS 5:20	60:23 76:11	104:13,15	149:26 153:6
17:16,20,22	6:8 9:4,20 10:7	94:4 134:22	104:13,13	154:5 163:2
18:10,19,20	10:9,24,24	175:15	107:19 109:10	164:1,3 171:14
29:1,3,5,6	11:4,5 14:1,25	THOUSANDS	113:9 117:3	173:26
37:25 45:3,19	17:10 24:15	43:7 44:2	118:2 126:16	TOM 145:12
45:26 49:23	27:22 28:7,21	74:20,23	127:26 128:4	TOMORROW
51:11 57:6	28:24 29:12	129:26	128:13,15	174:26 176:6
61:17 63:3,8	30:12,19 34:2	THREE 13:11	129:22,23,24	176:17,18,20
64:26 65:17	35:6 44:2	26:10 30:16,18	130:1 135:4	177:7,8
66:8,15 67:5	45:10 63:1,13	65:6 76:16	143:7 144:8	TONIGHT
70:11,12 75:15	64:14 66:18,20	116:15 140:16	145:2,7 146:19	102:15 175:23
94:25 97:1,21	94:20 96:12,17	146:15,21	147:24,26	176:7 178:2
98:23 99:6,7	100:25 104:12	148:9 155:13	150:8,9 151:19	TOP 64:10 72:2
99:12 100:13	104:13 129:20	158:24 172:23	151:25 152:9	84:1 89:7,8
101:19 104:11	136:14 149:19	175:15,16	152:14 153:10	90:9 178:14
104:19 107:21	149:19,20,21	THREE-QUA	154:12 155:19	TOTAL 47:1
110:5 114:3,12	151:2 154:15	100:15	156:16,25	129:19 155:13
126:1,5,5,17	158:7,13	THROW 95:18	157:10 159:22	TOTALLY 13:4
130:10,11	164:24 168:23	97:15	160:1,4,9,9,17	115:15
134:22 135:2,8	174:17	THROWING	160:25 161:7	TOUCH 150:23
135:12,20,21	THINK 5:24	97:14	161:11 162:3	TOUR 72:15
136:5 144:13	15:18 16:4,14	THU 3:10	162:17 163:14	TOUTING 39:1
144:25 145:5	17:2,19 18:7	144:17,23	165:12 166:8	TOWN 177:3
163:22 165:9	21:14,23 22:12	THURSDAY	167:6,19	TRACK 107:16
169:20 170:19	23:12,21 28:23	1:17 3:2 4:2	168:24 174:12	TRACKER
172:9 173:15	40:20 44:10	5:1 102:1	174:25 176:25	123:23
174:11 178:24	45:8 46:26	TIENG 43:24	177:12	TRAFFICKI
THANKS 144:6	48:16 49:9	TILL 94:19	TIMES 101:12	121:9
THERAPIST	51:6 55:25	TIME 8:18 9:26	101:17 146:24	TRANSCRIB
161:18	56:22 57:23	18:21 20:19	158:25 168:23	13:2 115:9
THEREON	58:9 62:18,19	21:8 23:2	174:1	TRANSCRIPT
174:6	63:16 71:4	27:10 28:24	TIMING 107:8	1:16 102:9
THESIS 21:7,16	75:5 87:9	31:13 33:25	TITLE 53:22	136:9,23
26:3,6 39:1,4	88:11,12 94:15	39:21 41:19	59:4 68:16	137:25 179:9
59:4,17 61:9	95:19 97:14,26	42:4 47:17	147:1 169:12	179:10
61:12 68:16	98:19,21 99:19	48:23 50:24	169:14	TRANSLATED
01.12 00.10	70.17,41 77.17	TU.23 3U.2T	107.17	
	-	-	-	<u>- </u>

114:24 115:11	168:19	115:7 116:7	UNDERNEA	96:16,20,21
TRANSLATI	TRUTHFUL	118:13 122:12	41:15	97:24 100:12
13:7 47:3	35:8 36:18,23	123:13 124:23	UNDERSTA	158:12 164:3
114:14 115:20	36:25 40:7	125:13 124:23	17:8 19:11,26	176:13,25
115:23 116:6	TRUTHFUL	137:3,18,23	36:8,10,16	USES 20:7
TRAVEL	51:24	141:5 151:18	75:8 96:7 99:4	USUALLY
148:13	TRY 8:10 91:4	155:12,12,13	99:25 103:7,20	102:22 123:15
TRAVELING	98:14 103:24	157:11 165:6	107:7 108:12	UTMOST
175:6 176:14	114:9 129:25	176:3,24	131:22 132:2	177:13
TREAT 177:13	178:7	TWO-BEDR	137:13 149:4	1//.13
TREATMENT	TRYING 11:1	150:16	159:8 172:3	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
22:3	11:18 12:8	TYPE 147:17	175:22	V 5:8
TRIAL 18:25	28:21 33:14	148:4,5 150:17	UNDERSTA	V.A.A 146:22
19:4,7 25:4	34:17 51:15	152:14 153:11	11:19 39:22	147:1,3 148:19
27:1,26 49:14	69:14 96:14	TYPICAL	42:17 65:18	148:19
64:3,20 102:15	97:9 99:10	167:23,23	134:1 147:12	VAGUE 8:16
107:15 173:25	102:8 103:22	TYPICALLY	153:19,21	9:23 51:18
174:4 177:3	104:12 112:13	102:25	167:5 172:7	77:4 122:24
TRIALS 28:22	140:7 151:26	TYPO 117:5	UNDERSTA	159:7 165:25
TRICK 76:7	178:6,23	1110117.3	172:7	173:11
TRIED 101:17	TUESDAY 5:23	U	UNDERSTA	VAGUELY
177:12,23	6:4,10,13,14	U 146:3	37:20	106:20 113:11
TRIP 96:26	6:15,15 173:21	U.S 21:10,21	UNDERSTO	VALUABLE
TRIPLY 85:23	174:11,18	22:10 24:24	27:9 36:17	149:19
141:24	176:26 178:9	40:6,10,17	96:25 101:18	VAN 2:5
TRUE 13:15	178:11	72:15 81:21	145:22 149:11	VENTILATI
15:10 25:16	TUNE 106:14	92:23 109:1	UNDERTAKE	161:16
27:11 42:12	TURN 29:1	118:24 129:24	171:20	VERBATIM
47:2 57:17	45:17 55:24	147:4,10	UNFAIR 177:9	41:5
61:3,6 73:5,19	56:25 67:14	148:13 150:7	UNFORTUN	VERDICT 5:22
76:19 79:24	95:6 101:10	150:15 152:11	66:20,23 90:1	6:4 27:2,3,4,6
85:17 88:3,26	126:7 130:15	154:6 157:4	UNITED 33:19	178:11
89:11 112:21	TURNS 19:10	158:12	34:1,18	VERIFICATI
113:10,12	TWISTS 19:10	UC 26:11,12	UNIVERSITY	85:3
119:16,21	TWO 6:12 7:12	27:21 56:23,24	145:26 148:10	VERIFIED
124:24 127:5	8:25,26 9:4	142:16	151:6 155:19	27:24 84:15,16
128:23 137:4	10:6,9 13:1,10	UCI 56:22	UNLAWFUL	84:19 85:24
138:3 140:9	14:1,7,13	UH-HUH	35:10 37:2,3,5	VERIFY 93:18
170:2 171:7	17:10 20:4	149:16 159:1	37:11 39:7	VERIFYING
179:9,10	29:19 30:7,17	171:1	40:23,23	51:24 141:24
TRUONG 3:10	31:6,26 32:5	ULTIMATE	UNPROVOK	VERSION 21:8
144:15,17,24	32:12 37:15	18:25 80:8	29:25 30:3	24:15 97:13
145:5,12,13	43:8 46:12	81:12 112:13	UNREASON	178:11
TRUST 80:20	47:9 51:16	112:14	11:24	VERSIONS 6:2
TRUTH 11:19	53:3 55:3	UNAFFECTED	UNSOLICIT	VERSUS 117:1
25:19 26:12	73:14,26 83:3	43:6	29:24 30:3	VICE 148:9
27:4,5,25	101:10 106:26	UNCLE 169:16	UNTRUTHF	VICTIMS 121:9
44:25 68:18,18	109:18 112:5,8	169:17,17	40:9 43:17	VIDEO 23:24
68:18 85:11	112:9,18,20	UNCLEAR 75:4	USE 7:18 15:22	63:20 64:13
129:14 130:7	113:5,9 114:17	97:17 122:25	15:25 94:13,21	VIDEOS 43:23
	1		ĺ	

43:23	109:26	129:5,11	176:4,5	7:13 11:8
VIET 43:24	VOLUNTEER	130:11,16,17	WANTED 18:9	13:10 14:17
VIETNAM	95:8,17 129:24	130:11,10,17	19:16 45:15	15:6 16:2
20:23,25 22:17	VOSS 2:12,13	131:12 132:6	66:6 78:1	17:10,11,11
26:4 59:18	3:7 5:12,12,13	132:20 133:13	102:12 135:18	24:6,18 28:13
72:13,14 84:20	5:25 6:23 8:3	133:25 135:13	149:22 151:19	35:3 37:16
84:21 121:11	8:23 9:16,17	135:20 136:21	157:3 161:23	40:7 46:8
145:19,20	9:24 10:5	137:2,6,19,23	161:24	48:16,24 62:22
147:5 148:22	12:18 13:25	138:11 139:8	WANTS 14:9	64:16,24 66:21
150:11,14	15:6,24 16:9	139:10,23	17:14 92:3,4	71:4 75:13
158:9	16:17 17:4,9	140:13,15	95:9	77:24 86:4
VIETNAM'S	17:16,20 29:2	142:12 143:11	WAR 72:14	93:17 94:11,17
158:12	29:4,5 37:24	143:21 144:3,8	WASHINGT	94:19 99:17,19
VIETNAM/C	38:1,15 44:18	151:8 173:16	151:6 152:8	100:3,6,17,24
158:6	45:3 48:6,14	173:18 175:6	153:7,14	100:25 101:2
VIETNAMESE	48:19 49:5,22	175:10 175:0	WASN'T 12:5	101:12 103:10
21:20 22:3,21	49:25 51:18	176:20 177:9	24:16 36:25	104:12 108:10
23:7 28:3	55:6 56:7	177:19 178:22	39:15 41:17	108:19 114:9
30:25 43:24	57:26 58:11,16	VS 1:7	57:20 103:5	114:10 115:6
44:20 48:1	60:15,20,23		141:2 160:22	130:13 136:18
72:16 78:11	64:4,10,26	W	174:19	137:21 139:4
146:2,4,22,26	69:8,9,12,22	W 3:1	WATCH 103:8	139:11,12
147:3 158:7,8	69:24 70:1	WAIT 9:18	163:20	147:23 151:10
161:26 169:1	71:1 73:24	59:21 60:11,16	WAY 2:14 8:1	162:1 163:5,21
169:16 170:20	75:5,11 77:3	77:5 80:1	8:15 10:9,22	163:21 165:7
VIETNAMES	77:26 80:3,23	85:10 86:15,15	12:10 14:14	166:22,23
84:25 89:17	81:2 83:1	88:14,14,14	28:18 44:9	173:22 175:11
106:15	86:16 89:4,7	95:6 108:13,13	61:13 64:3,19	175:20 176:26
VIETNAMES	89:14 90:2,5,9	108:13 111:18	64:20 74:7	WE'VE 10:23
33:18	90:14,17,19,22	121:17 125:26	86:2 93:18	45:5 65:22,23
VIEW 142:22	91:1,25 92:5	125:26 129:1	97:11,13	99:9,16 102:7
VIEWED 43:25	92:11 93:16,22	133:18 140:14	100:15,16	177:12,22
44:1	94:3,12 95:21	143:13	103:18 137:8	178:4
VIEWERS	96:1 97:2,19	WAKE 44:20	138:8 177:26	WEAKER
43:22 123:24	98:24 99:6,8	WALK 114:1	WAYS 63:1	161:12 162:23
VINNY 27:2	99:14 100:1,9	157:9	122:12 177:14	WEAR 171:4,4
VIOLATION	100:14 101:1	WALKING	WE'LL 5:18	WEATHER
52:2	101:14,19	176:26	17:23,23 18:14	157:9
VISIT 41:12	103:3,5,12,26	WANT 6:6	22:13 28:24	WEBSITE
155:8,10	105:17 106:26	10:21 17:6	46:6 63:7,7	20:11 23:17
VISITED	108:8 109:5,21	34:16 42:3	66:3,10 93:24	120:24 121:1
147:15 159:10	110:1,5,23	46:9 55:16	93:26 94:22	121:26 122:1,6
VISITING 12:2	111:2,7,15,25	66:25 77:5	104:6,17	122:12 123:9
146:1 149:6	112:10,23	95:18 100:20	107:15 113:21	123:24,26
156:14 159:12	113:15,18	101:3,9 103:3	113:22 114:8	124:13,17
VISUAL 178:5	114:3 121:14	103:7,10,13,20	121:17,19	159:17
VITAE 26:1	122:18,23	103:24 104:2	135:6,18 136:2	WEDNESDAY
59:17	123:16 124:18	114:15 132:21	144:26 151:22	65:14 101:9
VOICE 127:18	124:25 125:16	148:19 166:18	174:18	WEEK 21:6
VOLUME	126:18,21	166:20 170:6	WE'RE 6:7 7:8	107:3 158:25

				
173:25 178:20	52:9 54:5 57:6	82:24 146:2	81:26 107:24	46:2 47:8
WEEKEND	58:5 60:6 67:2	169:1,9	116:9 117:10	56:24 83:18
174:10 175:1	68:4,8 69:17	WORDS 11:21	157:4 162:16	118:3 122:8
176:16	69:19 74:22	12:9 15:9,22	WRITINGS	128:4,5,13,13
WELCOME	75:7 77:16,18	16:20 19:23	43:7 121:15	138:19 146:18
63:18,19 64:1	77:20,22 78:3	29:15 30:10	WRITTEN 30:7	149:16
64:17 103:14	78:6,20 80:6	31:20,21 34:21	30:8,17 37:16	YEAR 87:20
103:23	82:7 85:14,18	37:26 41:4	47:6 50:4 87:1	148:12 151:7
WELCOMED	86:20 88:23	43:4,19 44:24	87:20 93:13	151:12,18
21:23	89:16,26 90:12	48:1 86:12	120:15 167:22	154:20,25
WENT 5:21	90:25 91:4,13	115:1,2 164:4	WRONG 20:9	155:10 160:14
21:14 22:10	92:14 93:23	WORK 6:13	21:16 24:23	160:15
31:24 32:11	94:7,10 95:7	18:24 22:15	80:22 115:16	YEARS 20:22
40:20 41:9,25	96:22 99:9,22	43:22 75:24	115:18	22:8 24:20
63:16 84:26	99:24 100:22	146:24 150:25	WROTE 8:11	29:21 31:23
114:8 129:18	100:24 101:5,5	151:14 152:13	13:6,14,15	39:17,23 72:17
146:24 150:22	104:16 106:4	153:3,7,20	23:17 25:16	87:24 98:9
160:6	108:20 109:9	155:22 157:2	29:19 30:11,15	107:26 123:6
WEREN'T 38:4	110:6 112:16	WORKED	31:25 32:16	147:20 151:18
38:5 39:19	113:23,23	21:19 102:11	39:22 41:4,19	155:25 158:22
WHATSOEV	121:20,22	153:8	44:25 61:19	168:3 172:16
103:16	123:19 125:4	WORKING	74:13 76:16	YES-OR-NO
WHITEBOA	130:10,12	20:15 21:4,7	85:5 91:22	59:22
19:17 47:6	133:12,20,24	25:5 26:20	92:17,20,21	YESTERDAY
77:11 112:9	134:22 135:3	41:26 48:23	93:8 100:10	5:19 18:13
124:24	136:22 138:13	74:16 75:21	113:6,9 115:22	45:4,16 65:19
WHOLESALE	138:17,19	104:12 106:6	116:9,16	66:1,17,20
15:5 17:3	139:13 140:1	129:23 147:25	118:21,25	YORK 166:16
WHOLEY	140:19 142:16	149:3 160:22	119:15,18,21	YOUNG 106:5
29:24	144:14,18,20	160:25 173:3	120:10 124:22	149:10 152:17
WIFE 72:16	144:23 146:7	175:24	126:9 127:3,6	157:11 166:4
157:11	147:25 153:1,3	WORKS 78:4	128:15 129:14	YOUNGER
WILLIAMS	154:26 156:10	WORLD 28:4	137:4 138:1,3	149:5
81:20 118:23	158:3 159:10	WORRIES	154:14 158:4	YOUTUBE
WILLINGLY	160:14 161:12	171:3		43:24 44:1
163:12	162:15 163:23	WORSE 28:8	X	
WIRELESS	168:8 174:21	WORTH 103:6	X 3:1 4:1 15:23	Z
174:9	174:24 175:7,7	WOULDN'T	16:4	Z 16:5
WISDOM	175:15 176:15	21:24 36:26	XUAN 1:5 5:11	ZEN 26:4
154:15	176:22 178:3	102:15	20:2 32:21	ZOOM 70:17
WISH 174:10	WITNESS' 11:9	WRITE 24:12	57:23,25 77:13	176:6
WISHED	113:14 139:23	29:22,26 44:11	78:21 82:18	
154:14	WITNESSES	89:16 161:24	118:21 130:26	0
WITHDRAW	3:4 5:19 18:6	WRITES 24:15	132:12 133:3,8	1
109:11	36:4 175:25	24:22 25:12	134:2 135:1	$\frac{1}{1104000}$
WITHDRAWN	WONDER	33:10		1 1:8 4:8 69:3
92:6 94:3	63:22 103:17	WRITING 11:4	Y	70:5,10 77:18
WITNESS	WONDERING	24:5 31:21	Y 16:4	82:7 87:7
45:18,22,25	64:21	32:1,10,18	YARDS 32:14	110:19 132:21
46:2 51:21	WORD 79:11	76:5 77:1	YEAH 37:12	1:30 94:23

10 105:1,24	77:8 78:7	156:12 159:23	16:1 24:20	124:18 125:16
114:5 147:20	81:20 82:15	160:9,19 161:2	29:21 31:23	146:5 147:22
10:30 55:18	83:17 85:6	2021 159:26	39:17 46:21,23	154:22 160:11
62:22 65:14	91:22 93:8	160:10,17	74:8 75:19	167:25 168:6
10:45 62:24	100:11 105:1	170:6	76:1 77:10	36 4:8 67:22,24
10.4 3 02.24 100 1:8	105:24 106:18	2022 161:5,7,8	81:26 112:21	69:5,6,21,24
107 4:11	108:24 118:19	162:3,3,12,21	116:17 118:6	70:6,10
12 13:1 87:24	119:3,14	163:14 165:21	120:11 126:10	37 69:4,6
111:5,9,23	127:25 140:3	170:5,17 171:9	128:7	38 69:4,6 70:13
115:6 147:20	19TH 101:3	170.3,17171.9	25TH 30:16	39 4:8 67:24
126 3:7	17111 101.5	2023 120:22	73:1,9 116:17	69:6,21,24
13 120:18,19	2	125:14 163:23	123:8	70:7,10
124:12 125:3	2 32:13 46:12,12	163:25 172:4,8	271 12:12	3RD 72:3
148:6	71:22 82:23	2024 1:17 3:2	27TH 29:20	JKD 72.3
136 3:8	87:7	4:2 5:1 102:1	28 148:22	4
13TH 65:13	2:50 135:9	179:13	29 148:22	4 57:25 60:24
14 39:23 125:2,4	20 12:19 46:26	2025 172:20	29 148.22 2ND 71:18 77:8	87:7 120:22
148:6 150:9	47:26 123:6	2025 172:20 21 7:10 46:26	139:12	132:7 133:26
145 3:11	200 123:19	127:1 137:7,9	139.12	134:8,9,10,13
15 62:24	2001 123:5	137:13,26	3	44 14:3
16 109:26 110:8	2005 155:4,6	2100 2:20	3 68:21 82:17	46 3:6
110:10,19	156:20 157:6	22 29:20	87:7	4640 2:14
110.10,19	157:14,16,24	22 29.20 221 4:7 55:22,24	3/10/96 4:11	48 4:6 177:5
169 3:12	2006 39:15	56:14,26 57:11	3:05 135:10,19	48-HOUR
1700 5:24 13:18	2010 29:26	58:15,17,20	30 8:12,15 10:8	176:10
18 91:5,8,11,15	158:26	67:7,23 68:13	10:9 12:10	48-HOUR'S
91:16,21 92:16	2017 160:5,8	130:18 131:5	14:1,2,10,12	176:26
104:22 106:25	161:14 162:7	132:22 142:4	32:13 33:3	
110:3,10	171:12	221-4 131:6	81:20 82:15	5
178:11	2018 161:20	133:7	118:19 119:3	5 57:12 65:4,5
19 114:5	166:11	221-5 130:22	119:14,20	82:8,8 83:19
1961 145:24	2018/2019	221- 3 130.22 222 4:8 56:5	127:4,20,25	84:18 87:7
1970'S 29:13	162:10	67:14,19,22	137:16 138:2	111:4,7,8
1970 S 29.13 1970S 100:4	2019 23:23,24	69:3 70:4,10	139:10,13	5.6 43:25
1976 S 100.4 1975 21:11,23	29:26 156:12	70:13	141:3,8	5/25/20 4:6
29:8 148:14,16	157:24 159:5	223 74:1 139:8	30-2021-1201	50'S 21:1,2
148:25 149:17	159:15,23	233 4:9 71:8,9	1:7	50'S/EARLY
1983 151:13,14	160:9,17,19	74:2,3,4	30TH 50:12	21:3
1985 143:2,4,7	161:2 166:11	234 4:10 81:14	115:5 120:3	500 123:19
143:17	2019/2020 24:4	81:15 82:26	139:2,12,14	501(C)(3) 20:6
1986 67:21	2020 24:12	83:3,4 139:10	140:2,11	33:21 83:11
1988 152:3	29:10,11,20	139:15	148:23	58 4:7
	30:2,16 39:22		352 4:11 12:13	
154:26 155:6 1990'S 39:15	44:20 46:21,23	238 4:6 46:8,16 46:18 47:5	100:3 107:4,17	6
1990'S 39:13 1990S 100:4	73:1,10,16		107:20 108:9	6 65:11 93:8
1990S 100:4 1995 23:16 75:1	74:8,26 76:2	48:1,4,7,8 50:14	107:20 108:5	6,000 43:25
1996 32:13,13	77:10 100:12	24 7:10 137:7,9	110:23 111:16	60 168:3
33:4 50:12	112:21 116:18	,	111:25 112:10	60'S 21:3 145:23
	118:6 123:8	137:13,26 240 98:24	112:14,23	147:18
71:18 72:3,22 74:26 75:1	126:10 128:7	25 7:11 10:25	121:14,23	61 57:21
/4.20 /3:1	120.10 120.7	23 /.11 10:23	121.11122.10	
	I	ı	ı	ı

		 	rage 33
675670 2:6	9/11 123:5		
6TH 85:6	9:00 174:11		
	90 7:12 11:7		
7	15:26 99:14,15		
7 1:17 3:2 4:2	101:4,4 114:5		
5:1 47:5 48:11	136:24 138:23		
48:15,15,26	140:16,26		
49:4 50:14	141:7		
56:25 58:21,24	90'S 21:19 22:11		
67:21 102:1	22:15,23 23:8		
110:10,11,17	23:10,24,26		
110:19	61:19 151:26		
7-ELEVEN	156:2		
150:20	90017 2:21		
70 4:8	90292-6602 2:15		
70'S 147:18	92067 2:7		
74 4:9 148:6	93 20:22 172:16		
75 99:17 148:15	172:21		
776 3:5 45:22	94 172:20		
<u> </u>	94TH 172:19		
8 000 22.10 21	96 155:26		
8,000 23:19,21	98 157:3		
121:4,12 122:4	99 23:23		
122:6 123:9,13			
124:2,10,13,16			
8,000-PERSON			
122:15			
8:00 6:12			
80 14:6 80'S 22:1 151:26			
80'S 22:1 151:26 800 2:14			
800 2:14 801 2:20			
801 2:20 81 12:17,24			
113:14 114:5			
154:18			
82 154:18			
8226 1:25 179:6			
179:17			
83 4:10			
84 12:26 115:6			
85 13:6			
88 128:2			
89 6:26 7:10			
13:12 15:26			
102:10 127:1			
136:23 137:14			
137:25 140:26			
8TH 7:10			
9			
<u> </u>			